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Preface to the Second Edition

This work is the first of a set of four studies which were prepared at different times yet which lay out the same general theme in a chronological and logical sequence: The genesis and development of the alleged homicidal gas chambers at Auschwitz-Birkenau.

The orthodox history of Auschwitz\(^1\) is known to be based on an order to exterminate the Jews of Europe – allegedly sent by Hitler to Himmler in the spring of 1941 and from there to the Auschwitz camp commander Rudolf Höss. This order is said to have materialized in the construction of the alleged extermination camp of Birkenau. This order, according to the interpretation that has become canonical, was implemented progressively in four stages:

1. In September 1941 the first experimental homicidal gassing was allegedly carried out at Auschwitz using Zyklon B, which is said to represent the “discovery” of the chemical weapon for extermination.
2. At the beginning of 1942 the activity of homicidal gassing is said to have been transferred to the morgue of the crematorium at the Auschwitz main camp.
3. In the following months, two houses located outside the Birkenau camp were purportedly converted into homicidal gas chambers (the so-called “Bunkers”) and began the mass extermination of Jews and sick prisoners.
4. In March 1943, finally, the extermination activities are claimed to have been moved into the four crematoria of Birkenau, all allegedly equipped with gas chambers.

I have investigated these four stages in four extensive studies as mentioned above, namely:

- **Auschwitz: The First Gassing**, the present study (the first Italian edition by Edizioni di Ar, Padua, 1992)

The last entry in this list is a two-volume tome, which is five times as voluminous as any of the other three books, as it deals with the fourth, best documented and most important stage, the alleged gassings in the crematoria of Birkenau.

The first entry is herewith published in an expanded and revised edition.

---

\(^{1}\) By this I mean the version disseminated by the Auschwitz Museum, which is the repository of orthodox historiography on Auschwitz.
Introduction

Since the original Italian version of this book was published in 1992, there have been numerous new developments in the subject’s historiography, although none of them has been important enough to mandate a change of this book’s conclusions.

Even today, almost twenty years later, official historiography has not only failed to produce a reply to this study, although it has been translated into French, English and German, but in its obdurate historical dogmatism has not even found it necessary to address the topic in greater depth. It is, however, more important than ever before. The first homicidal gassing in the Auschwitz concentration camp was allegedly carried out between September 3 and 5, 1941, in the basement of building (block) 13. This building was later named Block 11 because of an administrative change in the numbering of the buildings. This first gassing is said to have been the starting point of the alleged mass extermination process at Auschwitz. After having gone through the intermediate stages of the mortuary in crematorium I of the Stammlager (Auschwitz main camp) and the so-called “Bunkers” at Birkenau, this claimed extermination process later led to the alleged homicidal gas chambers of the Birkenau crematoria. As such, the first homicidal gassing would therefore constitute the birth of the homicidal gas chambers and mark the “official” introduction of Zyklon B into the Auschwitz holocaust history. Moreover, it would also constitute the archetype of the alleged “selections” of registered detainees in the camp hospitals for the “gas chambers.”

A book published in 2005 underlines the importance which this presumed event has for orthodox historiography:

“This first mass gassing was no longer just a mere experiment in order to find out whether Zyklon B was really suited for the systematic mass murder of humans. During those September days the basic test run took place for mass extermination with gas in Auschwitz.”

From this event the principle of the division of labor during the alleged mass extermination process is also claimed to have originated – that is, assigning specific tasks to various teams of prisoners – and the camouflage-speech tactics.

---


4 _Ibid._, p. 25.
The consequence of this notable inertia on the part of official historiography is that the bibliography on this topic is, as yet, practically non-existent. Up until 1992, only a single article had been dedicated to this subject,\(^5\) aside from my own contribution presented at the “Ninth International Revisionist Conference” of 1989,\(^6\) which I later expanded to the present study. Because the article mentioned above had appeared in a Polish journal, it remained practically unnoticed by western specialists on Auschwitz until 1987, when an abridged translation became available in German.\(^7\) Hence, for decades – and practically even today – the main and almost sole historical source for this topic has been Danuta Czech’s *Kalendarium der Ereignisse im Konzentrationslager Auschwitz-Birkenau*.

Only an exceedingly small number of specialists of the camp’s history later looked into the first gassing at Auschwitz, and then only in a very perfunctory way, although the enormous documentation contained in the archives of the *Zentralbauleitung* (Central Construction Office) as preserved in Moscow has meanwhile been studied extensively. There is a very simple explanation for this: this documentation does not contain even the vaguest indication in support of an alleged homicidal gassing in the basement of Block 11.

Having searched those archives, Jean-Claude Pressac devoted half a page to the topic in 1993, writing:\(^8\)

“Today, the first homicidal gassing in the Auschwitz camp is considered to have taken place in the basement of Block 11 between December 5 and the end of that month (earlier on, it was dated September 3 to 5).

Witnesses claim that it concerned 250 inmates judged to be terminally ill and some 600 Soviet prisoners who arrived at the camp on October 7. During the month of November, a special Gestapo commission from Katowitz was in session in the concentration camp aiming to identify among the prisoners ‘fanatical’ communists for liquidation; by the end of November, 300 had been selected.

The openings of the basement having been covered with dirt, Zyklon B was introduced and the door was closed. According to Höss (who was not present) death is said to have occurred immediately. Others speak of a gassing that took two days, involving a second load of toxic [gas], the first not having killed all victims.

---

5. Stanisław Kłodziński, “Pierwsze zagazowanie więźniów i jeńców w obozie oświęcimskim” (The first gassing of detainees and prisoners of war in the Auschwitz camp), *Przegląd Lekarski* (Medical review), No. 1, 1972.


The fact that hydrogen cyanide has a vaporization point of 27°C, that it was used in an as yet unheated basement in the middle of the Silesian winter, and a lack of knowledge concerning the lethal dose might explain the overly long duration of this gassing.

The victims, somewhere between 550 and 850, were incinerated in the two double-muffle ovens of the crematorium over a period of one to two weeks of intensive work, which damaged the second oven.”

The change of date proposed by Jean-Claude Pressac has no foundation in documents. He has simply taken as a historical fact a hypothesis of mine, which appears on p. 159 of the Italian edition of the present study (cf. below, chapter V.1.). The sources Pressac cites in note 110 on p. 101 – Jan Sehn and Kazimiersz Smoleń – are the very sources from which I drew the said conclusion.

Concerning the “overly long duration” of the gassing, I refer the reader to what I have written in chapter IV.6.3. Here, I must point out another error of J.-C. Pressac’s which will deliver the coup de grâce to his explanation: it is not true that Block 11 was unheated in December 1941. In fact, a heating device had been installed in this building by the end of May 1941.9

Pressac’s reference to the damage to the second furnace has no provable relationship with the cremation of the corpses of those allegedly gassed. In fact, in the letter of January 31, 1942, quoted by Pressac, Maximilian Grabner, the head of the Political Department at Auschwitz, did not mention in any way an “intensive load” on the oven. He merely requests the Construction Office to repair the second oven by making use of the presence of a Topf engineer, who was at that time installing the third oven.10

I will address the question of the “lack of knowledge about the lethal dose” in chapter I.

J.-C. Pressac returned to the argument in an interview given by him in 1995, which, however, was obviously edited prior to its publication. Referring specifically to my study, he expressed a reasonable skepticism:11

“Mattogno has also looked into the first homicidal gassing at Auschwitz, which the Poles claim to have taken place between September 3 and 5 in the basement of Block 11 of the main camp. According to the Poles, this gassing is the direct consequence of the order given to commander Höss by Himmler himself in Berlin; in the summer of 1941 to be precise. Except that [the gassing] involved Russian prisoners and terminally ill patients, but not Jews. Initially, Mattogno concluded that this was a simple invention, later, that this incident did not have a historical basis. I have talked to

---

9 “Block 13 (Zellengebäude) Heizungsanlage fertiggestellt,” (Block 13 (cell building) heating installation completed). “Tätigkeitsbericht vom 5. bis 10. Mai 1941,” RGVA, 502-1-214, p. 52. The numbering of the Blocks was later changed (Block 13 became Block 11).

10 RGVA, 512-1-312, p. 77.

the Poles about this question. Here is the somewhat off-handed reply: ‘This gassing began on the anniversary of an inmate who was present and who therefore remembers the date precisely.’ The inmate in question by the name of Michael Kula had declared to remember it very well, because it was the day of his arrival at the camp, August 15 ... and not September 3. It is now known that Höss did not receive the order to kill the Jews in the summer of 1941, but at the beginning of June, 1942.

If this gassing did take place, it was in December of 1941 or even in January of 1942 and had nothing to do with the massacre of the Jews.”

(Emph added)

In the five-volume anthology *Auschwitz 1940-1945. Fundamental problems of the history of the camp*, which appeared in 1995 and which represents the authoritative history of the Auschwitz Museum, little more than four pages are devoted to the first homicidal gassing in the section written by Franciszek Piper entitled “Gas chambers and crematoria.”

An abridged version of this section, published a year earlier in English, deals with the question in half a page.

The year 1995 also saw the publication of the three volumes of the *Sterbebücher von Auschwitz* (death books of A.), which made possible a more accurate analysis of the fate of those 135 inmates of Block 28 who are said to have been gassed in Block 11 (cf. below, chapter V.2), but the historicity of the first homicidal gassing has not been strengthened in any appreciable way by this token. The first volume of this work contains, in fact, a long article by Kazimierz Smoleń on the “Soviet prisoners of war in concentration camp Auschwitz,” which also deals with the first homicidal gassing in Block 11, but without contributing an original idea – let alone new documents – to this topic. The author limits himself to the well-known sources of Danuta Czech’s *Kalendarium*, Rudolf Höss, and Wiesław Kielar.

In his *summa holocaustica* on Auschwitz, Robert Jan van Pelt has treated the question of the first gassing with conspicuous brevity, making use only of an article dated July 1st, 1942, of a single eyewitness, Wojciech Barcz, and of a pamphlet published in 1943. I shall analyze these sources in chapter III.

As I have underlined above, the principal historiographic source for the topic of the first homicidal gassing is still the Auschwitz *Kalendarium*. In the

---

16 Ibid., pp.132f.
first German edition of this work, its author Danuta Czech described the event as follows:18

"September 3. For the first time tests were done at concentration camp Auschwitz for the mass killing of human beings by means of gas – Zyklon B. Ordered to do so by the SS, paramedics moved 250 sick inmates from the inmate hospital into the basement cells of Block 11. Some 600 Soviet prisoners of war were herded into these cells as well. (In the POW camps, officers and political commissars had been singled out in accordance with order No. 8 of July 17, 1941). Once they had been lodged in the cells of the Bunker, the basement windows were closed by means of dirt, SS-men poured in the Zyklon-gas, and the doors were shut.

September 4. Section leader Palitzsch, wearing a gas mask, opened the doors of the Bunker cells and discovered that a number of inmates were still alive. Another load of Zyklon B was therefore introduced and the doors were shut once again.

September 5. In the evening, 20 inmates from the penal company (Block 5a) and paramedics from the inmate hospital were led to the yard of Block 11. Previously, they had been told that they were to do a special type of work and were not to speak to anyone about what they would see, under threat of death. They were also promised a suitably increased food ration once the work was done. The officers Fritzsch, Mayer, Palitzsch, camp surgeon Entress, and others were present in the yard of Block 11. The inmates were issued gas masks and were ordered to go into the basement and to carry the corpses of the gassed into the yard. The uniforms of the gassed Soviet prisoners were removed and the corpses thrown on wagons. The gassed inmates wore camp uniforms. The transfer of the corpses to the crematorium lasted well into the night. Among those gassed were the 10 inmates moved into the Bunker on account of the escape of detainee Nowaczyk."

In the second German edition of the Kalendarium, Danuta Czech added new details to the account – many contradicting those of the previous edition – and indicated also the archive references (mostly testimony from the Höss trial).19

"September 3. Now that the killing by gas, ordered a few days ago by Karl Fritzsch to be tested on a small group of Russian POWs, has succeeded, the camp directorate decides to repeat this test in the basement of Block 11. In all likelihood, this is linked to the news that the Gestapo was planning to bring in a major transport of officers, people’s commissars, and prisoners of war for liquidation. In this connection, camp surgeon SS-Hauptsturmführer Dr. Siegfried Schwela orders a selection to be carried

out in the inmate hospital, resulting in the singling out of some 250 in-
mates. The paramedics are ordered to take the selected inmates into the
Bunker of Block 11, by means of stretchers if necessary. In the Bunker,
they are herded into a few cells. The basement windows are closed by
means of dirt. Then 600 Russian POWs, officers and people’s commissars,
are herded into the cells, they have been selected by a special Gestapo
command in the POW camps. Once the POWs have been lodged in the
cells and the SS-men have thrown in the Zyklon B gas, the doors are shut
and made gas-tight. This action takes place after the evening roll-call, dur-
ing the curfew, i.e., the inmates are prohibited from leaving their blocks
and moving around in the camp.

September 4. In the morning, section leader Gerhard Palitzsch, protect-
ed by a gas mask, opens the cell doors and notes that some of the POWs
are still alive. As a consequence, more Zyklon B is thrown in and the doors
are closed once more.

In the afternoon, all doors of Bunker 11 are opened and the gaskets are
removed, as it has been ascertained that the second dose of Zyklon B has
killed the Russian POWs and the Polish inmates. One waits for the gas to
dissipate. After the evening roll call, curfew is again ordered.

During the night, section leader Palitzsch calls in 20 inmates from the
penal company, as well as all the paramedics from the sick bay and the
inmates Eugeniusz Obojski and Teofil Banasiuk, who are corpse carriers
operating two carts for the transportation of corpses in the mortuary and
the crematorium. All of them are taken to the yard of Block 11. Prior to
that they have been told that they are to do special work and have been in-
formed not to mention to anyone what they would get to see, under pain of
death. At the same time they are promised better food rations once the
work is done. SS officers Fritzsch, Maier, Palitzsch, as well as SS-surgeon
Schwela and SS personnel acting as block leaders in the camp are already
waiting in the yard of Block 11. Inmates Obojski and Banasiuk are issued
gas masks and accompany Palitzsch and other SS personnel, also wearing
gas masks, down into the cellars of Block 11.

They return from the cellars without gas masks, thus showing that the
gas has dissipated. The inmates are divided into four groups. One group,
with gas masks, brings the corpses of those killed from the cellars up to the
ground floor, a second one removes the clothing of those killed. A third
group carries the corpses into the yard of Block 11 where they are loaded
onto carts by the fourth group. The dead Russian POWs are in uniform;
their pockets contain documents, family photographs, money, miscellane-
ous objects, and cigarettes. In the yard, dental surgeons supervised by SS
personnel remove gold crowns and gold teeth. The inmates drag the carts,
fully loaded with the corpses of the POWs and the Polish inmates, from the
yard of Block 11 to the crematorium; they are led by Obojski and Banasiuk
and supervised by SS personnel. Among the dead are the corpses of ten
inmates, who had been sent to the Bunker on September 1st as punishment
for the escape of the inmate Jan Nowaczek and condemned to death by camp commander Fritzsch. The corpses of those selected in the camp hospital are in their underwear. Bringing up the corpses, undressing them, searching them, and removing them takes until morning and is not yet finished by then.

September 5. After the evening roll-call, curfew is ordered. The same group of inmates active the night before marches into the yard of Block 11 in order to finish removing the corpses to the crematorium. Once there, the corpses are placed into a large and long hall, which is already half full. The crematorium command cannot keep up with the incineration of the corpses. Several more days are needed for the incineration of all corpses.”

As we will see in the following chapters, this version was produced by the investigating judge Jan Sehn in 1946 on the basis of Michael Kula’s testimony.

The aim of this study is to verify the historiographical soundness and historical reliability of this account and, in so doing, to verify the reality of the first homicidal gassing in the basement of Block 11 of Auschwitz.

The text presented here in English is an updated, corrected and enhanced version of the original Italian edition, augmented by new sources, which I have discovered over the last thirteen years.

Some arguments, which had a novel character in 1992 (in particular the critique of Rudolf Höss’s declarations), can now be regarded as having been accepted at least by revisionist historiography and known to all. I have therefore decided to leave them aside.20

In addition, several hypothetical arguments have been omitted in this revised text that have not been sufficiently confirmed.

Carlo Mattogno
Rome, December 2011

---

Chapter I:
Genesis and Significance of the First Gassing

Because of what the account of the first homicidal gassing at Auschwitz describes and what it has come to mean, it has its place in a little known historical context, which must be outlined briefly before we can approach the subject in greater detail.

The First World War brought with it the massive use of poisonous airborne chemicals by all belligerents. Between 1914 and 1918, some 250,000 tons of such materials were fabricated, and the casualties due to gas were about one million soldiers, of whom 78,000 died.\(^\text{21}\) In the summer of 1917, between Neuilly and the left bank of the Maas River on a frontline ten kilometers (about six miles) wide, some 400,000 gas grenades were launched, thousands more in August and October near Verdun, and in December of 1917 on the Russian front.\(^\text{22}\) By 1918, gas grenades made up 50% of the projectiles stocked in the arsenals of the German artillery. In a single day during the spring offensive of 1918 the Germans launched 200,000 rounds of Yprite.\(^\text{23}\)

After the end of the war, toxic chemicals were further developed – especially for tactical purposes – in all countries that had been involved in the conflict. Instruction manuals and government publications were issued, as well as a wide array of technical literature.\(^\text{24}\) From a scientific point of view, the fundamental work was undoubtedly the German book *Toxic Gases, Vapors, Fogs, Smoke and Particulates*\(^\text{25}\) by Ferdinand Flury and Franz Zernik.

Even though the use of aggressive chemicals had been banned by the 1925 Geneva Convention on gases,\(^\text{26}\) they were considered by all former belliger-

ents for a possible future war. An Italian book dated 1935 opens with the following lines, which reflect the spirit of the time:\textsuperscript{27}

“Recent air exercises in various countries have demonstrated that it is impossible to prevent bombardments and the use of gas against an open city by means of anti-air defenses.”

The preface of a 1937 manual about the defense against noxious gases by the British government stated:\textsuperscript{28}

“The measures for safeguarding the civil population against the effects of air attack which these Handbooks describe have become a necessary part of the defensive organisation of any country which is open to air attack. The need for them is not related to any belief that war is imminent. It arises from the fact that the risk of attack from the air, however remote it may be, is a risk that cannot be ignored, and because preparations to minimise the consequences of attack from the air cannot be improvised on the spur of the moment but must be made, if they are to be effective, in time of peace.”

The extent to which the protection against toxic gases was an issue is testified by the German magazine \textit{Gasschutz und Luftschutz. Zeitschrift für das gesamte Gebiet des Gas- und Luftschutzes der Zivilbevölkerung}, established in 1931, which from the outset collected prestigious contributions on the question of protection against chemical weapons.

As we shall see in chapter III, in 1941 the story of the first gassing developed along such lines.

In 1946, the Polish investigating judge Jan Sehn, in his famous report on the results of the inquiry into the Auschwitz camp, elaborated the fundamental idea which was to guide official historiography in the years to come. Sehn placed the first gassing within the framework of the alleged plan for the extermination of the Jews that was to be implemented in that camp:\textsuperscript{29}

“All these methods of assassination could not, however, eliminate those detainees who were unfit for work; in particular, they could not accomplish the enormous tasks associated with the annihilation of giant transports of hundreds of thousands of Jews who were almost exclusively destined to be exterminated on the spot.

For this reason, the Germans organized the mass murder of people by means of gas. These methods were tested during the summer of 1941 in the Bunker of Block 11 on 250 inmate patients brought in from the camp sickbays, and on 600 prisoners of war. Once the victims had entered, the windows of the basement were covered with earth, and an SS man with a gas mask emptied the contents of the Zyklon B cans through the door and

\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{27} M.G. Gusman, \textit{Contro il flagello del gas nemico}, Editrice Napoletana, Naples 1935, p. 11. \\
\textsuperscript{28} \textit{Air Raid Precautions. Handbook No. 1. Personal Protection against Gas}. His Majesty’s Stationery Office, London 1937, p. 3. \\
\textsuperscript{29} J. Sehn, “Obóz koncentracyjny i zagłady Oświęcim” (The Auschwitz concentration and extermination camp), \textit{Biuletyn Głównej Komisji badania zbrodni niemieckich w Polsce}, vol. I, Poznań 1946, p. 120.
\end{flushleft}
closed it. The next day, around noon, Palitsch [sic], wearing a gas mask, opened the door of the Bunker and discovered that some inmates were still alive. More Zyklon was then added, and the door was closed again.\[30\] It was opened only in the evening of the following day. By then all inmates were dead."

Jan Sehn’s interpretation was then re-elaborated by former Auschwitz Kommandant Rudolf Höss in his Polish prison and furnished the basis for the description of the extermination of Soviet POWs.

In the text “Die Endlösung der Judenfrage” prepared in Krakow in November of 1946, Rudolf Höss describes his well-known alleged meeting with Himmler in Berlin “im Sommer 1941” (in the summer of 1941).\[31\] On that occasion the Reichsführer-SS had allegedly informed him that the Führer had decided on “the final solution of the Jewish question” – or rather, in a blatant historiographic anachronism, on the alleged extermination of the Jews\[32\] – and that Auschwitz was to become the central place for that extermination:

“Shortly thereafter, Eichmann came to see me at Auschwitz. He explained to me the details of the actions planned for the various countries. […] We then discussed the implementation of the extermination. Only gas would be considered. […] Eichmann returned to Berlin to inform RFSS about our discussion. […] At the end of November, a general meeting of the staff of the Jewish Department took place at Eichmann’s office, to which I was invited as well. […] I was not in a position to find out the date set for the action. Furthermore, Eichmann had so far not been in a position to procure an appropriate gas.

In the fall of 1941, a Secret Special Order given to the POW camps called for the identification by the Gestapo of the Russian Politruks, commissars and, in particular, political officers; they were transferred to the nearest concentration camp for liquidation. On a routine basis, Auschwitz

\[30\] According to Jan Sehn the gassing took place in the entire basement, including the hallway, yet for Danuta Czech it occurred only inside the prison cells. Therefore the former stated “the Bunker door” (see photos 9-11 in the Appendix), whereas the latter wrote “the cell doors of the Bunker.”

\[31\] The inescapable chronological contradictions within Rudolf Höss’s statements led orthodox Holocaust historian Karin Orth to date his alleged meeting with Himmler a year later. K. Orth, “Rudolf Höss und die ‘Endlösung der Judenfrage.’ Drei Argumente gegen deren Datierung auf den Sommer 1941,” in: Werkstattgeschichte, 18, November 1997, pp. 45-57. But by so doing, it is claimed that Höss set up the alleged “extermination machine” before having received the order from Himmler. See in this regard my study Hitler e il nemico di Razza, Edizioni di Ar, Padua 2009, pp. 69-81.

\[32\] As late as February 10, 1942, the term “Endlösung” (final solution) certainly still referred to the Madagascar project, as is irrefutably demonstrated by the letter written by Franz Rademacher (Head of the Jewish Department in the German Ministry of Foreign Affairs) to minister Bielfeld in the same Ministry. NG-5770. See C. Mattogno, Raul Hilberg…, op. cit. (note 20), chapters 1,1-2, pp. 5-14.

received smaller transports of this type; they were killed by shooting in the gravel pit near the Monopol buildings or in the yard of Block 11. While I was away on a mission, my deputy, Hauptsturmführer Fritzsch had used gas on his own initiative for the liquidation of these POWs in such a way that he herded the Russians into the individual cells located in the basement and then, using a gas mask, he threw Cyklon B into the cells, which caused the immediate death. […]

When Eichmann came the next time I advised him about this use of Cyklon B, and we decided to use this gas for the future mass annihilations. Killing of the Russian POWs mentioned above by means of Cyklon B was continued, but Block 11 was no longer used, because after the gassing the entire building had to be ventilated for at least two days.”

Rudolf Höss later came back to this topic in his “Autobiography,” which he wrote in February of 1947.34

“Before the mass annihilation of the Jews began, however, the Russian politruk and political commissars were liquidated in nearly all concentration camps in 1941/42. According to a secret decree of the Führer, special Gestapo commands selected the Russian politruks and political commissars in all POW camps. Those selected were moved to the nearest concentration camp for liquidation. […]

Thus, such selected political officers of the Red Army also came to Auschwitz for liquidation. The first – smaller – transports were shot by execution details of the military.

While [I was] away on a mission, my deputy, head of the protective custody camp Fritzsch, had used gas for killing. It was the hydrogen cyanide preparation Cyklon B, which was commonly used in the camp for disinfection and was readily available. He informed me about this after my return, and for the following transport this gas was used again. The gassing was implemented in the stockade cells of Bunker 11. I observed the killing, protected by a gas mask. Death in the crowded cells occurred immediately upon introduction [of the gas]. There was only a short, almost strangled, outcry, and it was over. I did not really register this first homicidal gassing, perhaps I was too much impressed by the general procedure.”

A comment contained in a publication by the Auschwitz Museum underscores the degree of acceptability of this witness with respect to the first gassing:35

“From previous investigations results that the first test to use gas for killing took place in the basement of Block 11. A further killing of inmates in this block could not be ascertained. Even though Höss denies his presence at this first test killing, he does admit his presence a few lines further

34 Ibid., pp. 125f.
along when he writes: ‘I did not really register this first homicidal gassing, perhaps I was too much impressed by the general procedure. I remember more distinctly the gassing of 900 Russians soon afterwards in the old crematorium, as the use of Block 11 was too cumbersome.’”

In fact, Rudolf Höss has declared explicitly that he was present at the first gassing, wearing a gas mask. The conclusion is that he witnessed the first homicidal gassing at Auschwitz while being away from the camp!

Let us now consider the consequences arising from the above statements as far as the present study is concerned. First of all, as Rudolf Höss declared after having been summoned by Himmler in June of 1941 36 and as Eichmann traveled to Auschwitz “kurze Zeit danach”37 (shortly thereafter), Eichmann had not been able to identify a suitable gas for the planned mass murder of the Jews during the five months between June and the end of November 1941.

Secondly, because Eichmann had not yet been able to find such a gas and because Rudolf Höss informed him about Fritzsch’s experiment “on Eichmann’s next visit,”38 the first gassing can have taken place only after the end of November 1941. This dating is, however, in explicit disagreement with the official entry in Danuta Czech’s Kalendarium: September 3, 1941.

Moreover, as we shall see in chapter V, the first Soviet POWs arrived at Auschwitz in early October of 1941. From November on, they were being examined by a Gestapo commission that identified some 300 political commissars among them, some of whom were then executed in small groups. Leaving aside the chronological contradiction with respect to the official texts, there was therefore no compelling motive for gassing these detainees rather than shooting them.

The assertion that Eichmann, working under highest orders, had been unable to find a suitable gas for the exterminations over a period of five months is quite unbelievable. Any layman would have easily been able to find texts concerning toxic gasses in any German technical library; in particular the comprehensive work by Ferdinand Flury and Franz Zernik. In this compendium of over 600 pages, technical and chemical details are given for all the toxic gases known in the early 1930s, including the 34 poison chemicals used by the belligerents during the First World War. An Italian researcher at the time noted:39

“[I]t is undeniable that Germany, at the beginning of the war, was far superior in chemistry, both scientific and technical or industrial. […] the scientific section, i.e. the Kaiser-Wilhelminstitut in Berlin, directed by professor Haber, who can be said to have been the mastermind behind the initiative for and the research into the means of chemical warfare, […]

36 NO-1210/D-749a; PS-3868.
37 There exists no document regarding this visit. Eichmann did declare that he went to Auschwitz on three occasions, but during the “Ungarn-Angelegenheit” (Hungarian matter), i.e. in 1944. State of Israel. Ministry of Justice, The Trial of Adolf Eichmann, Jerusalem 1995, vol. VII, p. 220.
38 This visit is not sustained by any documents either.
was the official center for development and can be credited with many brilliant discoveries in the chemical, physical, and medical fields.”

Among the toxic gases tested during World War I was also hydrogen cyanide, which the French called “Vincenite,” a chemical of which 4,000 tons were manufactured during the war. It contained a mixture of 50% hydrogen cyanide, 30% arsenic trichloride, 15% stannic tetrachloride, and 5% chloroform, and was used as a warhead in ordnance shells. Other cyanides, such as cyano-chloride (“Mauginite”) and cyano-bromide (“Campiellite”) were also used.

Thus, Eichmann could have solved his problem within a week, even if he had had to do all the work himself. Having received the order from Himmler, as Rudolf Höss tells us, he could have found the solution without any effort whatsoever and even faster by turning to the Hygienic Institute of the Waffen-SS in Berlin, headed from 1943 onward by SS-Standartenführer Joachim Mrugowski. The competence of this body in the field of highly toxic gases for disinfestation is borne out, i.a., by one of its publications of 1943.

Moreover, hydrogen cyanide, said to have been accidentally “hit upon” by Fritzsch, was in normal use for disinfestations at Auschwitz in the form of Zyklon B, and all the regulations regarding its use stress its extreme toxicity. Thus, the gas for the alleged exterminations was already known to everyone concerned.

Jean-Claude Pressac initially attributed to the first gassing the character of a first experimental testing of hydrogen cyanide for mass exterminations:

“Because the lethal dose for humans was not known, the SS had made a botched trial gassing in the basement of Bunker 11 of the main camp on 3rd, 4th and 5th September 1941, the victims being 850 Soviet POWs and other prisoners. It was subsequently seen to be more convenient to gas people as required in the very place where all corpses inevitably had to go eventually: the morgue of crematorium I. But trials to perfect the technique could not be carried out in this crematorium attached to the camp, hence the idea of establishing Bunker I in an isolated location on the edge of the Birkenau wood.”

Some testimonies summarized by Stanisław Klodziński go even further:

“The detainee Dr. Eng. Artur Krzetuski (ID 1003) recalls that the preparations for the use of gas (Zyklon B) on humans began by observing the

\[\text{Ibid., pp. 67f.}\]

\[\text{In this regard see my study Raul Hilberg…, op. cit. (note 20), pp. 146-148.}\]


\[\text{S. Klodziński, op. cit. (note 5), p. 82.}\]
effect of gassings on pests. This information is confirmed by the detainee Jan Liwacz employed in the metal workshop [Schlosserei] of the Industriehof [industrial area]: he remembers that a few weeks prior to the events that took place in the Bunker of Block 13, camp commander Höss, his deputy Fritzsch, section leader Palitzsch, and SS-man Lachmann from the Political Department came to the workshop. They sent away all the detainees and ran a test gassing of the shop with Zyklon B. The next day, opened gas containers were found in the area."

At the Frankfurt Auschwitz trial, the former Polish detainee Eugeniusz Motz declared:46

“In 1941, I spent three months in the clothing depot, between August and October, give or take a month. My boss there was Breitwieser, his deputy Reichenbacher; the capo came from Silesia, his successor was Walter Petzold. During that time, a first test was run for the delousing of the dirty laundry by means of gas. We had to patch up all cracks in the room; Breitwieser took a gas mask and sprinkled the gas on the laundry, after which we also had to seal the door. The next day, Breitwieser got his gas mask, opened the door and the window(s), the room was ventilated, and the laundry was then taken away to be washed. In our presence, Breitwieser said to Reichenbacher: ‘Now we also have something to exterminate the inmates with.’”

Hence, the SS would have tested the effect of hydrogen cyanide on bugs and fleas in preparation of the first homicidal gassing!

Jean-Claude Pressac’s explanation is without foundation, because the lethal dose of hydrogen cyanide on humans had been clearly known for several years. The scientific work by Ferdinand Flury and Frank Zernik mentioned above gives an accurate description of the toxicological symptoms of hydrogen cyanide on humans and states, i.a.:47

“Low concentrations (around 0.05 mg/l or 45 ppm) cause only headache, nausea, vomiting, palpitations; these symptoms disappear after some time. Higher concentrations from around 0.1 mg/l or 90 ppm on up are life-threatening or rapidly fatal. At intermediate concentrations, first symptoms will appear only after some minutes. Death usually occurs within an hour. If respiration still exists at that time, recovery is possible. Occasionally, though, delayed death occurs even after 24 hours. High concentrations – around some 0.3 mg/l or 270 ppm – cause death quickly: with a feeling of compression and sudden screams, the so-called ‘hydrocephalic scream’ (Lewin), there is collapse, cramps ensue, breathing stops after a few minutes, and death occurs after 6-8 minutes.”

---


The two authors then include a table entitled “Toxicity of inhaled hydroycyanic acid according to Lehmann-Hess,” which shows that a concentration of 0.3 mg of hydrogen cyanide per liter of air (about 270 ppm) – the highest one considered – is “immediately fatal.”\textsuperscript{48} Two further tables concerning the effect of toxic gases and vapors on human beings – which would have solved Eichmann’s research problems immediately – confirm that a concentration of 0.3 mg/l of hydrogen cyanide is “sofort tödlich” (immediately fatal), a concentration of 0.2 mg/l being fatal within 5-10 minutes.\textsuperscript{49} These concentrations correspond to about 0.2 and 0.3 g/m\textsuperscript{3}, respectively.

Since the Auschwitz command was in touch with the Tesch & Stabenow company, which supplied the camp with Zyklon B for disinfection purposes and because disinfections were carried out in the camp by appropriately trained personnel, all data concerning the toxicological symptoms of hydrogen cyanide were readily available. Hence, there was no need to run tests in order to find out something that was extensively documented.

Furthermore, the results of those alleged experiments (and of alleged later tests in the mortuary of Crematorium I and in the so-called “Bunker I”) are quite surprising. Concerning the amounts of Zyklon B normally used for the alleged homicidal gassings at the crematoria, Rudolf Höss declared:\textsuperscript{50}

“For gassing 1,500 to 1,700 persons, between 5 and 7 cans of 1 kg each were needed; the number of cans varied with the size of the gas chamber and the weather, that is to say, in cold and wet weather 2 or 3 extra cans were needed.”

And again:\textsuperscript{51}

“For this [gassing of 1,500 to 1,600 persons] one needed – it varied with the crematoria, in the very large ones 7, in other rooms 5 cans. But it also depended on the weather. If it was very cold and wet, one had to take an extra 2-3 cans.”

Hence, to gas 1,500 persons in Crematoria II and III (the “large crematoria”) at least seven cans of Zyklon B were needed, or 7 kg of hydrogen cyanide.\textsuperscript{52} The alleged gas chamber of those crematoria – Leichenkeller (mortue) 1 – had a volume of 506 m\textsuperscript{3}, from which we must deduct the volume of the 7 concrete columns (0.4×0.4×2.01m\textsuperscript{3}),\textsuperscript{53} which supported the central longitudinal beam of the ceiling, and this beam itself (0.4×0.4×30m\textsuperscript{3}), or a total of 7 cubic meters. If we assume that the body of an adult occupies some 75 liters of space, 1,500 persons occupy about 112 m\textsuperscript{3}, leaving an effective volume of air of about 387 m\textsuperscript{3}.

Hence, if 7 kg of hydrogen cyanide would have been poured into this alleged gas chamber, a theoretical concentration of about (7,000÷387=) 18 g/m\textsuperscript{3},

\textsuperscript{48} Ibid., p. 453. Cf. Document 1
\textsuperscript{49} Ibid., p. 454. Cf. Document 2.
\textsuperscript{50} Sworn statement by Höss dated May 17, 1946. NI-034.
\textsuperscript{51} Sworn statement by Höss dated May 15, 1946. NI-036.
\textsuperscript{52} The weight indicated on Zyklon B cans referred to the net HCN content.
\textsuperscript{53} Height up to the base of the beam.
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or 18 mg/l, would have resulted. This is (18÷0.3=) 60 times higher than what is considered to be “immediately lethal.” In practice, however, the Zyklon B used at Auschwitz, using gypsum as a carrier material, released only some 5-10% of its hydrogen cyanide content within the first five to ten minutes after the can was opened. Almost all witnesses who made statements about how long it took before all victims in the gas chambers were dead claimed that it took five to ten minutes or even considerably less than that. Hence, if 7 kg of hydrogen cyanide were used, not more than some 0.7 kg of it could have evaporated from the carrier after the first ten minutes. This would have resulted in a concentration of toxic gas of (700÷387=) ca. 1.8 g/m³, or 1.8 mg/l, after ten minutes. The effective concentration for the entire ten minutes, however, would have been only half that amount, assuming a constant rise of the concentration from zero at the beginning.

Every single gassing would, therefore, have been a waste of (7-0.7=) 6.3 kg of Zyklon B in an attempt to cause the victims’ death within 5-10 minutes. Considering the economy of the alleged extermination, this would have been absolutely senseless and useless, because not the murder with gas, but the cremation of the resulting corpses would have been “the only ‘bottleneck’” of the attempted extermination, as R.J. van Pelt pointed out correctly. As a matter of fact, employing merely 700 grams of Zyklon B over a period of a couple of hours would have yielded the same theoretical concentration.

Considering the drastic efforts exerted in the German war economy to save material wherever possible, the SS administration at Auschwitz would have used on average 7 kg of hydrogen cyanide at a cost of 35 Reichsmarks for one gassing, even though they could have achieved the same result with 0.7 kg at a cost of only 3.5 Reichsmarks. At the same time, they would have considerably reduced the dangers during the removal of the corpses from the gas chamber.

There was, however, a way to quickly obtain high concentrations of hydrogen cyanide with Zyklon B: with the help of the well-known Degesch circulation system for disinfection chambers. This system had enormous advantages compared to traditional disinfection systems: the Zyklon B can was placed
in a receptacle inside the chamber and was opened from the outside. Its contents fell into a wire mesh basket, into which a fan blew warm air. The air inside the chamber was constantly moved around by this fan. This caused the swift release and dispersion of the toxic gas. The ventilation of such a gas chamber lasted only some 10-15 minutes.

As is well-known, 19 such delousing chambers using the Degesch circulation method had been planned since 1941 to be installed in the “laundry and reception building with delousing facility and inmate bath,” building no. 160. It appears in one of the first drawings of that structure, drawing no. 916 of December 30, 1941.60 The Friedrich Boos company, which received the order to erect this building, compiled a cost estimate for it on September 12, 1942.51

In anticipation of this construction project and on the “initiative of the Friedrich Boos company,” the company Heerdt-Lingler had sent a copy of the article by Peters and Wüstinger on the Degesch circulation system59 to the then SS-Neubauleitung at Auschwitz as early as July 1, 1941, where it was received on July 3.62 If it was governmental policy to implement mass extermination by means of Zyklon B, then why was the Degesch fumigation system not adopted? The responses of mainstream Holocaust historians to this question are absolutely inconclusive.

Pressac claims that the above-mentioned article concerning disinfestation chambers with the Degesch fumigation system was requested by the SS for the construction of the alleged “Bunker 2,” but the only feature allegedly adopted was the parallel arrangement of four small gas chambers “without mechanical ventilation,”63 although the type of arrangement was absolutely irrelevant for the delousing system in question.

Regarding van Pelt’s explanation, who presumes to provide a broad, comprehensive answer to the above question, I refer to my already mentioned study.64 Here it matters only that in van Pelt’s view, “in the case of the gas chambers it was the cremation process which invariably went considerably slower than the gassing.”65 This brings us back to the starting point: if a short duration of the gassing procedure was not decisive because the cremation proceeded much more slowly, then why did the SS at Auschwitz take 7 kg of Zyklon B to obtain a gassing time of five to ten 10 minutes while wasting 90% of the toxic material?

Apart from safety and efficiency considerations, already this economic reason would have inevitably led the SS at Auschwitz to choose the Degesch fu-

60 J.-C. Pressac, op. cit. (note 44), p. 34.
63 J.-C. Pressac, op. cit. (note 8), pp. 41f.
migration system not just for disinfection purposes, but for the alleged mass extermination as well.

At the Irving-Lipstadt libel trial, the main objection to the Leuchter Report\textsuperscript{66} was the ridiculous claim that\textsuperscript{67}

“the gas chambers were operated with a low (but lethal) hydrocyanide concentration of 100 ppm,”

a concentration equivalent to 0.12 g/m\textsuperscript{3}, or 0.12 mg/l, and thus \((18/0.12=)\) 150 times lower than the one ultimately resulting from R. Höss’s declarations!

Therefore, the first claimed homicidal gassing did not serve to establish the lethal concentration for human beings, which was already known. As far as the time is concerned that it took for all victims to die, this claimed test would have been a grotesque failure, because it took two complete days for all of them to die! In spite of that, the SS of Auschwitz is said to have decided to use Zyklon B for homicidal purposes anyway, without even considering the option to use the Degesch fumigation system for their alleged machinery of mass murder!

In conclusion, it can be said that the first gassing has no relation to the alleged plan to exterminate Jews, to the execution of the Soviet political commissars, or to the testing of the toxicity of hydrogen cyanide.

Then what were the origin and the significance of the account of the first homicidal gassing?

As we shall see in chapter III, the first reports by the secret resistance movement supply us with the true and irrefutable answer to this question.


\textsuperscript{67} Robert Jan van Pelt, \textit{op. cit.} (note 17), p. 388.
Chapter II: The Setting of the First Gassing: Block 11

Before we examine the historical credibility of Danuta Czech’s account, it is necessary to describe the layout and the other aspects of the buildings, in which the first gassing is said to have occurred, in particular the basement of Block 11. This is necessary not only for a better understanding and a critical analysis of the testimonies, which we will quote below, but also in order to ascertain the technical feasibility of the described gassing procedure. The present chapter thus constitutes an indispensable prerequisite for the critical evaluation of the sources to be discussed in chapter IV.

Block 11 was located in the south-west corner of the Auschwitz concentration camp (cf. documents 3f.). Initially it had been known as No. 13, but after the construction of eight new blocks in the years 1941 through 1943, the numbering was changed, and it came to be labeled No. 11, as it is now known (cf. photo 1).

A publication of the Auschwitz National Museum describes it as follows:68

“The block, known as No. 11 after the enlargement of the Auschwitz camp and the renumbering, differed from the other blocks externally by a permanently locked entrance door and a yard that was separated from all other parts of the camp by high walls. No detainee, other than those employed in Block 11, was allowed to enter or leave this block.

As early as 1940, Block 11 housed a penal company, and its basement became the camp stockade, the so-called Bunker. A steel door, permanently locked, led from the ground floor into this cellar. The Bunker’s left and right sides were separated by steel bars. Cells 1 through 14 were on the left, cells 15 through 28 on the right.

The cells were of the following kinds:

---

69 Cf. Photograph 2.
70 Cf. Photographs 3f.
71 Cf. Documents 5f.
72 Cf. Photographs 5-8.
73 Cf. Photographs 9-11.
74 Cf. Photographs 12f.
75 Cf. Photographs 14-20.
1) Cells with a window, which opened into a light-shaft on the outside. They contained wooden bunks and buckets of galvanized steel. The cells destined for the SS had wooden floors, those for the detainees had a concrete floor.

2) Dark cells, without windows, having only air-holes closed on the outside by means of metal grids. Their only furniture was a galvanized steel bucket. There were two such cells in the Bunker.

3) Stand-up cells that had been put into the normal cell No. 22. They were the size of a telephone booth and could be entered into only from below through a small opening close to the floor.”

An inspection of the site done by the Auschwitz National Museum, presumably in 1959, yielded the following information:

“It was seen that the windows of cells 7, 9, 16, 18, 23, and 24 vary with respect to the windows of other cells and show traces of having been altered. Furthermore, iron bars protrude on the outside of the wall of cell 18; a basket covering the opening of the air-holes was attached to them. On drawing No. 1152 dated March 16, 1942, cells 7, 8, 9, and 10 are labeled ‘dark cells.’ There are 4 small stand-up cells in cell 22. No window openings are indicated for these cells in the drawing.

When comparing the result of the inspection with the present state of the basement of Block 11, it would appear justified to think that the number of dark cells varied and that this applied not only to the present cells 8 and 20, but also to cells 7 and 9 (drawing 1152) and to cell 18 (as shown by the protruding iron bars for the baskets, which existed only in dark cells and stand-up cells).

As far as the alterations to the windows of cells 23 and 24 are concerned (the windows have been walled up by a single layer of bricks with a crudely placed hole), it can no longer be ascertained whether this was done in connection with a transformation of these cells into dark cells.”

From drawing No. 4056 dated June 26, 1944 (document 7), one can take the following data: The basement of the block had a floor area of 45.10 by 13.84 meters. Cells 1-7, 9-20, and 23-28 had an almost identical floor area, which varied between a minimum of 8.41 m² (2.62×3.21 m²) and a maximum of 8.66 m² (2.70×3.21 m²). Cells 8, 21, and 22 had floor areas of 6.5, 6.0, and 12.3 m², respectively. The total cell area was 238 m². The central hallway had a floor area of 70.46 m² (36.70×1.92 m²). The total surface area of the two lateral corridors came to about 86 m². The ceiling height of the basement was 2.18 meters. Summarizing, the dimensions of the rooms mentioned were:

---

78 Cf. Photograph 32.
79 Franciszek Brol et al., op. cit. (note 68), p. 44.
80 Measurements taken on site by the author.
Table 1: Dimensions of the Bunker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Floor area (approx.)</th>
<th>Volume (approx.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cells</td>
<td>238 m²</td>
<td>518 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central hallway</td>
<td>70 m²</td>
<td>154 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral corridors</td>
<td>86 m²</td>
<td>187 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>394 m²</td>
<td>859 m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cells 1-7, 9-13, 15-19, and 22-28 show windows closed by means of a steel grid in the upper part of the outside walls (cf. photos 24 & 28), measuring 75 × 65 cm², except for cells 7 and 9 (50×50 cm²) and 22 (32×42 cm²). Cell 20 has no window but an air-hole (10×10 cm²) covered by a metal grid, as in the stand-up cells.

Because the ground floor of the block is at a level higher than the street (6 steps are leading up to it), the Bunker is, in fact, only partly below ground, that is to say, its floor is some 150 cm below street level, whereas its ceiling is some 70 cm above it. The windows of the cells are therefore open to the outside, but walled by a brick structure some 90 cm high and having a base of about 50 cm × 140 cm (cf. photos 21-23 & 26-27). Cell 21 has a window covered by a grid but no outside wall (cf. photos 17 & 29). The doors of the cells measure 92 cm × 205 cm (cf. photos 19f.).

In front of the entrance to the basement, on the wall opposite the central hallway, a sign in four languages states (cf. photo 33):

“In September 1941 first experimental mass killing of people with Cyan B took place in this basement. 600 Soviet prisoners of war and 250 sick prisoners perished then.”
Chapter III: The Sources of the First-Gassing Accounts

1. Wartime Sources (1941 – 1943)

The story of the first homicidal gassing at Auschwitz was originally not placed into a plan for the mass extermination of Jews or Soviet POWs, but into a putative project involving the testing of combat gases on human beings within the framework of toxic chemicals used during WWI, as already mentioned. It was, in a way, the virtual continuation of such activities. This is mentioned in a number of reports from the Auschwitz camp resistance movement, the first one dating from October 24, 1941:

“At Oświęcim [Auschwitz], in early October, 850 Soviet officers and noncoms (POWs) that had been taken there were killed by gas as a test of a new type of combat gas, which is to be used on the eastern front.”

In the later sources the motive of testing of combat gases remains essentially unchanged, although there is some uncertainty with respect to the interpretation of the alleged event. In the “Report on the situation for the period of August 15 through November 15, 1941” dated November 15, 1941, one can read:

“The camp has been the scene of an abominable crime when during the night of September 5-6 some 600 Soviet inmates, ‘Politruks’ of the army, and about 200 Poles were herded into the Bunker and, when the Bunker had been hermetically sealed, were poisoned with a gas and their corpses taken to the crematorium and burned.”

On November 17, 1941, the clandestine newsletter Informacja bieżąca (current news), No. 21, carried the story with some more details:

“At Oświęcim. The news of the nefarious crime committed in the camp has been confirmed. During the night of September 5-6, 1941, some 600 Soviet civilian prisoners of war that had been taken there were herded into the Bunker at Oświęcim with their arms and legs broken. Added to them were some 250 Poles. The openings of the Bunker were hermetically closed, and the inmates shut in were poisoned with gases. The corpses of

---

81 "Obóz koncentracyjny Oświęcim w świetle akt Delegatury Rządu R.P. na Kraj" (The Auschwitz concentration camp in light of the documents of the Polish government in exile), Zeszyty Oświęcimske, special edition no. 1, Oświęcim 1968, p. 11.
82 “jako próbę nowego typu gazu bojowego, który ma być użyty na froncie wschodnim”
84 “do bunkra”
85 “w bunkra w Oświęcimiu”
86 “gazami”
the poisoned detainees were moved during the night on 80 carts to the crematorium, where they were cremated.”

The “Attachment to annex No. 21 for the period of December 1st through 15, 1941” echoes the first version of the note:

“In the concrete shelter[88] 500 POWs have been poisoned by means of a combat gas.”

This is repeated a few months later in a report produced by a Czech teacher who escaped from the Protectorate of Bohemia and Moravia in May of 1942:

“The worst reputation is enjoyed by the concentration camp at Oswiećim near Krakow. Not only are the victims of German cruelty tortured and mishandled in the usual German fashion, but the efficacy of German poison gases is even tried on them and other experiments are made with them.”

On July 1st, 1942, the Polish Fortnightly Review published a more detailed account of the first homicidal gassing with additions and quite material variations with respect to the report of October 24, 1941, but still in line with the account in hand at the time:

“Among the other experiments being tried on the prisoners is the use of poison gas. It is generally known that during the night of September 5th to 6th last year about a thousand people were driven down to the underground shelter in Oswiećim, among them seven hundred Bolshevik prisoners of war and three hundred Poles. As the shelter was too small to hold this large number, the living bodies were simply forced in, regardless of broken bones. When the shelter was full, gas was injected into it, and the prisoners died during the night. All night the rest of the camp was kept awake by the groans and howls coming from the shelter. Next day other prisoners had to carry out the bodies, a task which took all day. One handcart on which the bodies were being removed broke down under the weight.”

In the last reports of 1942, the motive of the testing of combat gases is joined by the alleged mass killing of Soviet prisoners of war. The “Report on the situation in the country for the period of August 25 through October 10, 1942,” dated October 10, 1942, stated in this regard:

“From July 1941 on, Bolshevik POWs were brought to the camp where almost all of them, several tens of thousands, have been poisoned in gas chambers.”

And the Informacja bieżąca No. 39 of October 23, 1942, wrote:

---

87 Ibid., p. 16.
88 “w betownym schronie”
89 “za pomocą gazu bojowego”
92 “Obóz koncentracyjny Oświęcim…,” op. cit. (note 81), pp. 45f.
93 Ibid., p. 52.
“[…] and finally Russian POWs; in 1941, some 60,000 arrived and none survived: on them, the effect of combat gases was tested.”

It is worthwhile to note that the accepted number of Soviet prisoners of war brought to Auschwitz amounts to 11,964 persons. During his first interrogation at the hands of his British captors, Rudolf Höss took up this item of Polish propaganda and “confessed”:

“I personally remember to have gassed 70,000 Russian POWs during my tour of duty as commandant of Auschwitz, ordered to do so by the respective Gestapo chiefs.” (sic)

The story of the testing of combat gases, but without a specific reference to Auschwitz, is echoed in France towards the end of 1942, with suitable adjustments. In its edition of October 10, 1942, J’accuse wrote the following:

“The news which is reaching us in spite of the silence of the official press has it that the tens of thousands of Jewish men, women, and children deported from France have either been burned alive in sealed [railroad] cars or asphyxiated to test a new type of toxic gas.”

A flyer of the French Communist Party repeats the news in the following terms:

“And in order to test the toxicity of the gases they produce in their chemical laboratories, the Germans have committed a terrible crime, which causes indignation among all decent people. We have in fact learned from a reliable source that out of the Jews deported from the occupied zone and out of those, whom Laval and Pétain have handed over to them from the non-occupied zone, the Germans have selected 11,000 men, women, old people, and children and have tested on them poison gases. These unfortunate people have all died a horrible death.”

In the special edition of February 1943, J’accuse returns to the question and states:

“Thus we have learned that 11,000 of them [French Jews deported to the east] have been used as guinea-pigs for the testing of a poisonous gas which the Nazis intend to use.”

As late as September 1945, the witness Werner Krumme, an ex-detainee at Auschwitz, ID 99166, declared:

“Above the ground level the buildings had small windows, through which the SS guards then threw a substance, developing into poisonous gases.”

94 “próbowano na nich działania gazów bojowych”
96 Declaration by R. Höss, dated March 14, 1946, NO-1210.
98 Ibid., p. 156.
99 Ibid., p. 173.
100 Declaration by Werner Krumme dated “Glenn, August 1945.” NO-1933, p. 3.
gas. It was here where the Nazis tried out all kinds of new poisonous gasses, which they needed for their war effort.”

In August of 1943, a pamphlet in Polish, written by Natalia Zarembina, was published in London under the title “Obóz śmierci.” It contains a section on the first gassing.\(^\text{101}\) It is not clear whether the author is a former detainee, since the pamphlet is not written in the way of a personal account. The style is more narrative, as in a novel, and the author is an omnipresent detached observer. An English version appeared in 1944, entitled “The Camp of the Death,”\(^\text{102}\) from which the following passage was taken:\(^\text{103}\)

“In the corner of the rectangle, where the camp’s buildings are situated, there are the barracks for penal detention. They hardly differ from the other blocks in this row. They are built in the same style as the old barracks which were in use during the Austrian régime, where they housed the Royal Imperial artillery, and the new ones, built by the prisoners, for the ever-increasing number of new companions, arriving from the world outside. They are all the same dull shape and of the same dreary red brick.

The ones for penal detention are twin-barracks, consisting of two blocks, connected with each other. That is the first variation from the rest of the camp’s design. The second is the number of small windows at the bottom. They look sinister and secretive, those little windows – and no wonder, because behind them are the dark vaults from which no one has ever returned. To-day it is unusually lively in the neighbouring barrack. Removal. The whole detachment, about 300 people, are[sic] being transferred to the next block, built recently. Into the empty building they are going to bring the seriously ill from the hospital.

‘They must be enlarging it,’ one prisoner supposes. ‘High time, too; it was incredibly cramped.’

The activity around the barracks for penal detention does not stop even when night falls. The gravel grinds the steps of many people; their sound echoes the stairs and gets lost in the vaults.

A new batch of prisoners is arriving: 500 Bolsheviks. Who is it, who is not yet included with the Polish nucleus? There are already Czechs, Germans, Jews, and Serbs, and now they have brought in those Russians. But why are they going to be housed with the seriously ill? They cannot be ill – they came marching into the camp.

The prisoners in the nearby barracks don’t even attempt to sleep; they listen to what is going on in the next house that has been emptied so hurriedly and refilled again.

---

\(^{101}\) Natalia Zarembina, “Obóz śmierci. Zbiór relacji z obozu w Oświęcimiu opublikowanych w kraju przez ruch mas pracujących Polski” (The camp of death. Collection of accounts published in the Country by the resistance movement of the working masses of Poland), Nakładem “Nowej Polski” w Londynie, August 1943.


\(^{103}\) *Ibid.*, pp. 22f.
A short interval separates the noise of the steps on the gravel from the inhuman screams that follow, penetrating through every crack of the windows. The dominating note in these screams is that of a shock, but the prisoners’ ears, experienced in listening, are able to discern precisely the notes of pain, cries for help, and the final despair. Several times this ‘tune’ is repeated: first the rhythm of the steps, and then the chords of the screams. And then there is silence. The silence of the grave. It creeps sinisterly around the twin-barracks. The next day, these barracks, now silent, give the impression of a tombstone over a huge grave.

For three days the stillness around the barracks for penal detention remains undisturbed. The fourth night lifts the veil of secrecy. The gravel grinds again, this time under the wheels of carts that drive up in front of the barracks. Another removal – but not of people, only of objects. Clothes which a few days ago had been worn by the sick Poles brought in from hospital, and others that had belonged to the Russians – they will all, the Russian army uniforms and the camp’s drill overalls, find their way to the storeroom blocks.

After some time, the carts carry other loads and roll off in the opposite direction. Naked human bodies are piled up high. The carts move towards the crematorium.

Five minutes are sufficient to cover the distance between the corner barracks and the green, turf-covered hill of the crematorium. Even the prisoners, through pushing the carts, heavily loaded with the corpses, do not need much more time; they are in a hurry to get rid of their ghastly load. But their work will not be finished very soon, for the twin-barracks continuously supply them with fresh loads. For several nights the men will have to push the heavy carts from the camp to crematorium and back. During the day there is deadly silence around the barracks for penal detention, but at night the turning wheels speak of the secret of the vaults.

The naked bodies, thrown in disorderly fashion along and across the carts, take their ride. The pale moonlight is their only shroud. In this light their dead faces look even more dead and the pallor of their limbs is intensified. The men to the carts are not driven on by anybody, but they quicken their steps by their own impulse. One cart, unevenly loaded and clumsily handled, sways and turns over. For a moment the corpses seem to come to life once more; they jump one over the other, roll down the road, wave their hands, turn on their sides, and then again lie motionless, their faces turned skywards or towards the ground.

The moon in the west throws a feeble light on the scene; it is already mingled with the light of the dawn, but the greenish tinge on the dead bodies does not disappear: it seems rather to become more distinct now in the new light of day.

One of the grave-diggers, holding a corpse in his arms to throw it back on the cart, gazes into the greenish-grey face for a while. Years ago he saw
similar faces: a deserted trench with corpses of soldiers. The same ghostly pallor. It is the discolouration of poison gas.

The secret of the vaults, from which nobody ever returned, filters through with the dawn of a new day. The secret of 800 people killed is revealed.

To the short ‘tune,’ beginning with the steps on the gravel, the words are found. They killed them with gas.”

The essential merit of this account is to have indicated Block 11 as being the place of the alleged event. Previously, it had taken place in an undefined “Bunker” or “concrete shelter.” But it also contains several obvious mistakes. First of all, the punishment cells existed only in Block 11 whereas N. Zarembina’s account also places them in Block 10, which was next to it. As a matter of fact, Block 11 did not differ from all the other blocks of the camp by “the number of small windows at the bottom” – they were all built that way. The 300 sick inmates who were “transferred to the next block,” i.e. Block 11, did not come from the block next door. The nearest hospital block was No. 21, which was across from Block 12. Moreover, if we follow the official texts, many detainees came from Block 28, which was situated in a different part of the camp, near the northern corner. Finally, the description of the corpses is proof that the story is completely invented:

“Years ago he saw similar faces: a deserted trench with corpses of soldiers. The same ghostly pallor. It is the discolouration of poison gas.”

During the First World War, both sides employed aggressive chemicals of various types. Each one had a different way of action, and only a naive and uninformed novelist could describe them all as having one identical effect: the “ghostly pallor” and the “discolouration.” Actually, as we shall see in chapter IV.6.5., the effect on skin color of a poisoning by hydrogen cyanide is completely different. All this is not surprising: Natalia Zarembina did not know that the alleged first gassing would be said to involve Zyklon B. As we shall see in the following chapter, that was decided on only after the war. Therefore Zarembina’s Polish text uses the term “gazami,” in the plural, embracing in this way both the toxic chemicals of WWI and the story of the testing of poison gases on the detainees.

---

104 Halogens, derivatives of carbon oxide, hydrogen cyanide and its derivatives, formic acid derivatives, acetic acid derivatives, acetone derivatives, sulfuric acid ethers, nitromethane derivatives, aliphatic arsines, glycol derivatives, glycerol derivatives, toluene derivatives, aromatic arsines, heterocyclic derivatives; see Attilio Izzo, op. cit. (note 21), “Principali aggressivi chimici” (Principal aggressive chemicals), table outside of text.

2. Post-War Sources

In February and March of 1945, the Soviet commission of investigation at Auschwitz questioned the former detainee Zbigniew Baranowskij who declared:

“On August 15, 1941, 400 Soviet prisoners of war were brought in before my eyes and taken into the prison at Block 11. The same day, 1000 inmates from the [camp] hospital who had tuberculosis were also taken there. All of them – the POWs and the sick – were asphyxiated with gases.”

On May 8, 1945, at Buchenwald, the Czech national Josef Vacek, a former detainee at Auschwitz with ID 15514, declared:

“In early September, Soviet prisoners of war were brought into the camp, more than 500 of them. In addition to those, SS-surgeon Jungen selected 196 sick inmates, and they were gassed together with the Russian POWs in the gas chamber of Block 11. We paramedics who accompanied them there were told that this was only a temporary housing measure until they would depart with a [certain] train. The following night, when everyone was asleep and nobody was allowed to leave the block, I was called up together with other 30 paramedics, and for three nights in a row we took the gassed corpses to the crematorium.”

On May 17, 1945, Walter Petzold, a German ex-detainee at Auschwitz (ID 10212), drew up a six-page report at Mauthausen entitled “Bericht über die erste Vergasung von Gefangenen in deutschen Konzentrationslagern” (Report about the first gassing of prisoners in German concentration camps), in which he claimed to be the only witness of the first gassing. We quote the essential sections:

“The foundations for the first gassing of inmates carried out in German concentration camps were laid in concentration camp Auschwitz in July of 1941 during a general disinfection implemented with Cyklon ‘B,’ a blue gas supplied by the Hamburg firm of Tesch & Stapenow [sic]. This firm delegated a number of specialists, because the application of this poison

---

106 The name is transliterated from Russian. A Zbigniew Baranowski had the registration number 20904 (Franciszek Piper, Irena Strzelecka (eds.), Księga pamięci: Transporty Polaków do KL Auschwitz z Radomia i innych miejscowości Kieleckszyny, 1940-1944, Towarzystwo Opieki nad Oświęcimm, Państwowe Muzeum Oświęcim-Brzezinka, 2006, vol. 1, pp. 336, 349), hence he had been deported to Auschwitz on September 15, 1941 (D. Czech, op. cit. (note 19), pp. 121f.). If this is not a homophone, Zbigniew Baranowski or Baranowskij was a false witness.


109 Staatsanwaltschaft beim LG Frankfurt (Main), Strafsache beim Schwurgericht Frankfurt (Main) gegen Baer und Andere wegen Mordes, ref. 4 Js 444/59, vol. 31, pp. 5309-5314.
required official clearance, and those involved had to possess an official poison license. The rules were such that the rooms to be disinfected had to be air-tight. The duration had to be at least 72 hours; even after the 48-hour ventilation period they could only be entered with a gas mask.

The experience gained during this large-scale disinfection at Auschwitz formed the basis for the start of the industrialized murders that were implemented later that year in all German concentration camps. In the early stages of this general disinfection, SS commands were acquainted with the poison substance to be used and trained by the employees of the supplier. The experience gained in this way would be put to use for the first time with the extermination of people on October 9, 1941.

As early as 3 days before the planned extermination of people, a general unrest spread through the camp on account of the permanent threat of death, caused by the removal of all prisoners in the camp prison, located in Block 11, but no one knew what was to come. A clarification of this uncertainty occurred only a day later, on October 7, 1941, when a commando of detainees was formed and given the task of placing shutters on all windows of Block 11, making them gas-tight and taping them. In the morning of October 9, 1941, the paramedics of the inmate hospital received the order to take some 220 sick persons to Block 11, who were listed in a paper put together by the political department of the Auschwitz concentration camp; they were to wear only trousers and a shirt.

Among the patients moved in this way the rumor was spread that in the future Block 11, too, was to be used as a back-up hospital. The move had to be finished within two hours. In the afternoon, a few more selected detainees from the camp were taken to the Bunker. The death candidates were mainly detainees from intellectual circles, made up by all nations then present at Auschwitz, predominantly Poles.

At roll-call that same day, strict curfew was ordered for all detainees without exception from 20:00 hours, i.e. no detainee was allowed to leave his quarters after 20:00 hours. Apparently the aim was [for the SS] to stay among themselves, because it was the first test of this kind of extermination of people; depending on how things would go, it would be kept secret. This strict curfew prohibiting the detainees from leaving their quarters obviously increased the curiosity of the inmates to an unbearable extent. No one in the camp, except this author, had a precise knowledge of what was in store.

I had gained this knowledge by having secretly witnessed a conversation between my boss at the time, SS-Unterscharführer Breitwieser, who was to carry out this first gassing and who had the necessary qualification, and the head of the political department, SS-Unterscharführer Grabner. This conversation took place in the room next to the one, in which I worked, and I was able to overhear it, because the walls were made up of sanded glass. The gist of the conversation was that at night, around 21:00
hours, some 1,000 Russian prisoners of war were to arrive who would all be housed in the Bunker of Block 11 and gassed.

Furthermore, the conversation stated that all preparations for the annihilation of the unfortunate victims were finished and the gas to be used was available in the amount needed; when all prisoners would be lodged in the Bunker [the gas] would be thrown in through specially placed window covers. After introduction, only the connecting doors would have to be made air-tight in order to prevent the gas from escaping.

To give more precise information, the space available for the gassing has to be described in more detail. Block 11 had a total length of about 45 meters and a width of 15 meters. In the basement, where the gassing was to be accomplished, there were 28 cells of normal size, some 3.50 by 2.00 meters. There were also some stand-up cells, an invention of the then commander of Auschwitz, SS-Hauptsturmführer Fritsch [sic]. If the walls of those cells could speak, they would relate the story of the most cruel and most horrifying crime committed by the SS regime in power at that time.

Those 28 normal cells would see 1,078 dead inmates during the night of October 9 to 10, 1941. Around 21 hours on October 9, 1941, the first detachment of these unfortunate POWs was led through the gate of the Auschwitz concentration camp. All available SS noncoms who were on duty at the camp stood ready to receive this sad cortège. As soon as the first Russian prisoner came through the gate, there was a wild melee, which soon took on the character of a terrible orgy. Anyone available hit, stabbed, and slashed the prisoners, who were led on the double across the roll-call square to the Bunker. I can still hear the sonorous voice of one of the prisoners in the first group, who supported a sick buddy and called out to him ‘keep running, the German hits.’

I must add the remark that I was able to observe all those events from a well chosen and safe hide-out. Under terrible beatings the 850 prisoners were herded into the Bunker. By the time they had all been pushed in, 35 minutes had elapsed. By now, if we add the 220 patients from the hospital and the 8 inmates, 1,078 persons had been pressed into the narrow space of those 28 cells; even without any gas they would soon have died in those sealed rooms from suffocation. Once all preparations had been finished, gassing was implemented through the window lids already mentioned.

The gas containers were emptied by SS-Unterscharführer Artur Breitwieser, born August 10, 1910, latest place of residence at Litzmannstadt, as well as by a second SS-Unterscharführer, whose name I have unfortunately forgotten. Also present was the whole team running the Auschwitz camp with SS-Obersturmbannführer Rudolf Höss at its head, Hauptsturmführer Fritsch, Untersturmführer Grabner, and SS-Hauptscharführer Palitzsch, who all presented this cruel mass murder to other SS leaders as the latest achievement of German culture. When the cans had been emptied and the lids and steel doors closed, the fate of 1,078 representatives of
nearly all countries in Europe, among them 850 Russian POWs, was sealed, and they were dying a terrible death.

That was the beginning of a gigantic genocide which, according to my private notes that I was able to keep because of my position at Auschwitz, reached the enormous, almost unbelievable figure of at least 3.2 million people. Once their evil deed was done, the executioners drove off in their cars and celebrated this victory in the officers’ mess in their own way.

Because of a lack of experience, the procedure at that time followed strictly the rules laid down by the supplier of Cyklon B. The corpses were left in the cellar of Block 11 for 72 hours, removal from the cellar started after 2 days of ventilation. For the removal during the sixth night after this crime, 30 inmate paramedics from the sick bay were brought in, whose task was to take the corpses out of the cellar and move them by cart to the crematorium. Prior to that, two SS dentists had to examine all corpses and break out any gold teeth. Later, with increasing routine, this practice was entrusted to 4 dentists specially delegated from the main sanitation office in Berlin, having the ranks of Unter- and Obersturmführer, to supervise and organize this desecration on a grand scale.

At that time, there was only one crematorium at Auschwitz, which was completely inadequate for this kind of mass cremation. For the first gassing, they had made the fatal mistake of gassing the prisoners with their clothes on so that it became necessary to undress the corpses in the prison yard, because they could not have been burned fully clothed and because the crematorium was already overtaxed by the continuous executions.

Also, the effect of blue gas on the human body was as yet not so well known as was the case later on, when enough experience had been acquired. Before the corpses would be burned, 72 hours had passed for the gassing and another 48 hours for the ventilation. I have had the opportunity to observe the corpses in the prison yard at such a moment. I shall never forget the sight. The nature of the corpses, on account of the terrible effect of the poison gas, was such that one could see only blue-black, bloated, and mushy flesh that had once belonged to human beings. Cyklon ’B’ has the property of disintegrating a human body almost completely, of causing the human lung to burst and of turning the rest of the body into a jelly-like state. Only a person who has been present can imagine the sort of effort required to bring the corpses up from the cellar and take their clothes off [...].

Cremation of the corpses of the first murder by gas on October 9 at Auschwitz took three days and three nights, because of the low capacity of the crematorium then in use and the circumstances described.”

The basic thesis of this report – that the first major disinestation of the camp with Zyklon B had been the precondition for the first homicidal gassing – has no basis in fact, as we have already seen in chapter I. It is also at variance with Rudolf Höss’s account, because otherwise the laborious search for a
gas suitable for the extermination would have made no sense. The witness, furthermore, states that the Auschwitz commander himself was present at the first gassing, whereas Höss claims that Fritzsch ran the experiment while he himself was away. Absolutely unfounded, finally, is what the witness has to say with respect to the application of the official guidelines for disinfestations. The “Richtlinien für die Anwendung von Blausäure (Zyklon) zur Ungeziefervertilgung (Entwesung)”\(^{110}\) (Guidelines for the application of hydrogen cyanide (Zyklon) against pests (disinfestation)), published by the Institute for Sanitation of the Protectorate of Bohemia and Moravia, specified an Einwirkungszeit (application time) of up to 32 hours in the most adverse cases and a Lüftungszeit (ventilation) of at least 20 hours. However, as I have explained in chapter I, contrary to what the witness asserts, the lethal concentration of hydrogen cyanide for human beings was well known at the time, so that the alleged duration of 72 hours for the gassing is decidedly absurd. Moreover, the term “blue gas” (Blaugas) used by him is an old German term for “a gas for heating and lighting named after its inventor [Mr.] Blau.”\(^{111}\) It is certainly true that the terms “Blaugas-Entwesungsanlage” (blue gas disinfestation plant) and “Blaugaskammer” (blue gas chamber) can be found in a document,\(^{112}\) where the term in question is a contraction of “Blausäuregas,” (blue acid gas = hydrogen cyanide gas), which is a rather unusual use of language, but the witness, as we shall see in chapter 6, had in mind neither the one nor the other definition. He simply committed a propaganda blunder.

The “blue-black” discoloration of the corpses, too, is in disagreement with hydrogen cyanide poisoning. The alleged capability of this substance “of disintegrating a human body almost completely,” on the other hand, is pure fantasy. The alleged duration of the cremation of the 1,078 corpses – three days and three nights – is technically impossible, because the crematorium of the main camp at that time possessed only two ovens of two muffles each,\(^{113}\) which could theoretically incinerate 96 corpses in 24 hours at most. The cremation of the alleged victims would therefore have taken more than eleven days. Finally, the statement regarding the total number of victims at Ausch-

\(^{110}\) NI-9912.
\(^{111}\) In 1904/05, German chemist Hermann Blau produced a gas by thermic decomposition of petrol, which could easily be liquefied and thus stored and transported. It consists mainly of propane and butane. The English term for it is “liquefied petroleum gas”; cf. Otto Lenz, Ludwig Gaßner, Schädlingsbekämpfung mit hochgiftigen Stoffen, issue no. 1: “Blausäure,” Verlagsbuchhandlung von Richard Schoetz, Berlin 1934, p. 15. It is possible that the term is an abbreviation for “Blausäuregas” (blue acid gas), but it more likely reflects the naïve belief of many inmates that Zyklon B consisted of “blue crystals,” developed a “blue gas,” and resulted in patchy blue corpses of persons poisoned by it. See Chapter IV.6.5.

\(^{112}\) “Aufstellung über die im KL. und KGL. Auschwitz eingebauten Entwesungsanlagen, Bäder und Desinfektionsapparate,” written by the civilian employee Jährling on July 30, 1943. RGVA, 502-1-332, pp. 9f.

\(^{113}\) The SS-Bauleitung at Auschwitz confirmed to the Topf Co. the order for the third double-muffle oven on October 3, 1941. RGVA, 502-2-23, p. 269.
witz – 3,200,000 – furnishes us with yet another element by which to measure the credibility of the witness.

In 1946, the investigating judge Jan Sehn published a report on his inquiry into the Auschwitz camp, which contains the passage quoted in chapter I. The report became the basis for the indictment in the trial against Rudolf Höss (February 11, 1947), and the passage in question appears verbatim in the indictment.\(^{114}\) This text also became part of the verdict of that trial (April 2, 1947), with only the opening words being changed:\(^{115}\)

“Basing themselves on the principle that the use of poison gas was the most efficient means for mass extermination of persons from other races, like Jews and Gypsies, or of other nationalities, like Poles and Czechs, the Germans tested this method of murder for the first time in the summer of 1941 in the Bunkers of Block No. XI.”

A few months later, the indictment in the trial against the camp garrison of Auschwitz (November 25 through December 16, 1947) dealt with this alleged event in two lines, but with a change of the date from summer to autumn:\(^{116}\)

“The first gassing tests were run in the autumn of 1941 in the Bunkers of Block 11 on some 600 prisoners of war and 250 sick persons.”

During the preparation of the trial against Höss and during the procedures in court (March 11-29, 1947), various persons testified on the subject of the first homicidal gassing at Auschwitz. On June 11, 1945, Michał Kula – deported to Auschwitz on August 14, 1940, and registered under the ID 2718 – was questioned by Jan Sehn and declared:\(^{117}\)

“According to my information, the first gassing took place during the night of 14-15 and the day of 15 of August 1941 in the Bunkers of Block 11. I remember that very clearly because it coincided with the first anniversary of my arrival at the camp and because the first Russian prisoners of war were then gassed. In the evening of August 14 the paramedics took 250 sick inmates from the hospital blocks to Block 11. Then, into that block were herded several hundred Russian prisoners who – as we were told when they arrived at the camp – were political commissars. Both the sick inmates and the Russian POWs were lodged in the Bunker of Block 11.

The little windows of those Bunkers were covered with fine earth to make them air-tight. An SS man, a Blockführer, whose name I do not know but who was called ‘Tom Mix’ by the detainees threw the gas into the Bunkers through the door to the corridor. After that, the door was closed. On August 15, around 4 p.m., Palitzsch walked across the roll-call yard directly to Block 11 with a gas mask. Because it was the Feast of the Assumption, we had the afternoon off and could thus observe the scene which I will now

\(^{114}\) AGK, NTN, 104, Höss trial, vol. 22, p. 84.

\(^{115}\) AGK, NTN, 114a, Höss trial, vol. 31, p. 41.


describe. Mietek Borek and Waclav Ruski, two assistants at Bunker 11, told me that Palitzsch put on his gas mask, opened the door of the Bunkers, and discovered that the people inside were still alive.

Actually, they moved around only on all fours and were very weak, but they were still alive. So, Tom Mix was called and he threw in the contents of another can of gas. The Bunkers were reopened only in the evening of August 16, 1941. None of those who had entered were still alive. The paramedics from the hospital blocks took the gassed into the yard where they were undressed, loaded on carts, and taken away in the direction of Brzezinka [Birkenau]. I spent the night in Block 21, in the practice of the dentist Janusz Kulczbara. From there, I was able to observe the transportation of the corpses very well. The cart broke down below the window of the practice, the corpses fell on the ground, and I then saw that they had a greenish color.

The paramedics told me that the corpses were gluey and that the skin came off, in many cases they had chewed-up fingers and mangled throats. From that it is obvious that these people had died a horrible death. The succeeding gassings already took place in the [mortuary] chamber of Crematorium I. The man in charge of the gassings in that crematorium was Hössler. [...] The corpses of the sick inmates and of the Russians gassed in August of 1941 in the Bunkers of Block 11, as I have already stated, were not cremated in the crematorium but taken away towards Brzezinka where they were buried.”

The declarations of the witness on the subject of the first gassing were the specific topic of another interrogation, also dated June 11, 1945, which is, however, a mere extract of the declaration cited above.118

On March 15, 1947, Kula declared the following before the tribunal:119

“In 1941 the shootings, the executions began. In July of 1941, at 5:30 in the morning, Russian prisoners of war arrived. They were assembled in front of the kitchen, 50 of them were killed behind the kitchen; the 60 who remained alive were taken to Block 11 on Saturday. That is where the first gassing took place. Also lodged in Block 11 were 260 patients from the hospital; the paramedics had taken them there on Saturday night – after removing their bandages, most of them had abscesses – and put them in with the others in the basement.

On Sunday, in the afternoon, when all the detainees were free from work and were thus sitting on the ground exposing their bones and their wounds to the sun, section leader Palitzsch walked across the roll-call yard towards Bunker 11 with a gas mask. Another SS man was with him, tall and blond, whom the detainees called ‘the strangler.’ A few minutes later they came back quickly carrying with them two cans. The cans were larger than [the case of] the gas mask, of the same color. With the two cans

119 AGK, NTN, 107, Höss trial, vol. 25, pp. 480f.
they ran towards Block 11. The detainees were pulled out of that block and shut up in the gas chamber. The gas chamber was opened on Monday. As some people were still alive, they were gassed again. The paramedics took the corpses to Brzezinka to be buried, because the crematorium could not handle the cremation.”

This testimony contains a number of contradictions with respect to the deposition made two years earlier. First of all, the event takes place in July of 1941, rather than on August 14, and in the afternoon rather than in the evening. The day of the week, a Sunday, is furthermore in disagreement with the date of August 14, 1941, which was a Thursday. The victims, who were originally 250 patients and “several hundred Russian prisoners,” have become 260 patients and 60 Russian prisoners. Palitzsch’s assistant whom the detainees used to call “Tom Mix” has now become “the strangler.” Very significant is the use by the witness of the expression “gas chamber” to designate the basement of Block 11, which was subdivided into multiple cells and corridors.

A few months later, at the trial of the Auschwitz camp garrison, M. Kula tells his story again, still placing it in July of 1941 (”w lipcu 1941”), but this time there are 200 patients:120

“Instead, 200 detainees were selected from the sick bay blocks, their bandages were removed and they were taken to Block 11, i.e. into the Bunker. The remaining Russians were also taken there and they were all gassed together. This was the first test gassing at Auschwitz.”

The witness Jan Krokowski made his deposition on July 17, 1946:121

“I was an eyewitness one night in the autumn of 1941 when near Block 24, in which I was housed at the time, several hundred Russian inmates were herded towards Block 11. That they were Russians I could gather from the Russian words they uttered while they were pushed and beaten by the SS. The following day, I learned that 600 Russian POWs and 400 sick Poles had been gassed the night before in the basement of Block 11; at first they had used too little gas and many were still alive when the chamber was opened, so that the dose was increased and they were all killed in this way. As far as I know, that was the only gassing in Block 11.”

The deposition by Józef Koczorowski, given on September 6, 1946, is even more laconic:122

“I wish to state that the first gassing at Auschwitz occurred in the cellars of Block 11. I think it was in October of 1941. At that time, some 600 Russian prisoners of war and about 200 Tbc-patients from the sick bay were gassed.”

On September 7, 1946, the witness Ludwik Rajewski, deported to Auschwitz on September 22, 1940, (ID 4217) declared somewhat laconically, when speaking of the Russian prisoners deported to Auschwitz:123

120 AGK, NTN, 162, camp garrison trial, pp. 45f.
121 Deposition of Jan Krokowski. APMO, Dpr.-Hd/4, Höss trial, vol. 4, p. 21.
122 Deposition of Józef Koczorowski. APMO, Dpr.-Hd/4, Höss trial, vol. 4, p. 34.
“They came to Auschwitz in the autumn of 1941, and were killed there over a period of some five months in late 1941 and early 1942. The first lot were killed over three days in the gravel pit near the Blockführerstube (block elders room) of the main camp. Another 600 were gassed in October of 1941 in the cellars of Block 11.”

The witness Roman Taul, deported to Auschwitz on June 24, 1940 (ID 1108), stated on September 10, 1946:

“I remember that later in 1941, in August I think, Grabner told his staff after a meeting with Höss that a transport of Soviet commissars had arrived which would have to be gassed. That was the first action of this kind on the grounds of Auschwitz; in conformity with this announcement they were led into the basement of Block 11. At that time, several hundred patients selected for this purpose at the camp sick bay were gassed as well besides this group of Russians. In his capacity as camp surgeon, Dr. Schwela was in charge of the event.”

The witness Feliks Myłyk, who was deported to Auschwitz on June 14, 1940, and who worked in the political department of the camp, declared on September 21, 1946:

“In early autumn of 1941 the first transport of Russian prisoners of war arrived at Auschwitz. All were officers, about 600 of them. They were all pushed into Block 11 – at that time Block 13, according to the old numbering system – and were gassed there, together with a certain number of patients selected in the inmate sick bay. The corpses of those gassed were taken to the crematorium on trucks during the night and were burned there.”

The topic of the first homicidal gassing at Auschwitz came up also during the preparation and the hearing of the Krakow trial against the camp garrison. On February 5, 1946, witness Zygmunt Smużewski, deported to Auschwitz on June 14, 1940, expressed himself as follows in this respect:

“In September of 1941 the first transport of Russian prisoners arrived and at that time the first gassing test with detainees was carried out. It took place in the Bunker of barrack 11 [w bunkrze 11 baraku]. On that occasion, 980 persons were gassed, mostly Russian POWs, but also other detainees – the sick and those unable to work: all through the following night the corpses were taken to the crematorium of Old Auschwitz.”

---

123 Deposition of Ludwik Rajewski. APMO, Dpr.-Hd/4, Höss trial, vol. 4, p. 56.
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128 “w Starym Oświęcimiu”
The witness Ludwik Banach, deported to Auschwitz on August 29, 1941, who had been part of the penal company, gave his account on July 18, 1947:\textsuperscript{129}

“On that same day, all those who occupied Block 11 were moved to Block 5a, which was still under construction. We stayed there three days, continuing to go to work. During that time, I was taken to Block 11 together with several tens of colleagues in order to clean the block of corpses. This work was supervised by Oberscharführer Gehring – who resembles the man in the photograph shown to me. […]

After we had entered the block, we saw a horrible sight. There were some 800 Russians in fatigue uniforms without insignia, but some of them had ID tags; I don’t know any Russian, but among us there were some who did. They told me that from the tags one could see that they were officers – I remember ranks of lieutenant colonel etc. When we entered, we were given gas masks. The corpses were bluish, one could see traces of blood around their mouths and noses. On the floor there was something like broken lumps of sugar, also something green the shape and size of sweets. Lastly, on the floor there were tin cans or cartons with ‘Gas’ written on them. We carried the corpses into the yard, and then other detainees took them to the crematorium on carts. That was on September 15, 1941. After we had cleaned the block, the whole penal company moved back into that same block. I wish to add that among the 800 gassed there were 120 political detainees.”

On September 19, 1947, witness Bogdan Gliński, deported to Auschwitz on April 5, 1941, (ID 11958) declared:\textsuperscript{130}

“When I was sick and was staying in the detainee sick bay in Block 21, I saw one night that a group of several hundred Russian prisoners were led into the yard of Block 11, which was on the other side of the street – some 600, if I remember correctly. While they were being moved in there, one could hear the screams, because the SS escorting them were hitting them with whips and kicking them. I distinctly saw – and other companions of mine did, too – that the SS escort was equipped with gas masks, which aroused our curiosity strongly.

Among the SS men I saw Grabner, Plagge, and Lachmann. I could see clearly that they, too, were beating these men. From the way they behaved – I had never seen Grabner and Lachmann beating anyone in the camp – and also from the fact that they were screaming, I concluded that they had been drinking and were acting under the influence of alcohol. After the evening roll-call, before night-fall, all the detainee sick bay blocks were emptied of the sick and the convalescent, and those selected by a doctor

\textsuperscript{129} Deposition of Ludwik Banach, July 18, 1947. APMO, sygn. Drp.ZOd/55, camp garrison trial, vol. 55, pp. 101f.

\textsuperscript{130} Deposition of Bogdan Gliński, September 19, 1947. AGK, NTN, 135, camp garrison trial, vol. 54, pp. 210f.
were led, or, in the case of those who could not walk, were carried into the block and down into the cells. I know this from what I was told by companions who carried those patients. The convalescents were also taken downstairs. Two days earlier, this block had been completely cleared and the detainees had been moved to another block.

Three days after that night, in which the Russian prisoners had been taken there, section leader Palitzsch brought in a group of inmates from the hospital block – paramedics and doctors, more than 20 persons. I, too, was in that group. We entered the Bunker and carried out the corpses of the Russian prisoners of war and those of the patients or convalescent selected from the sick bay. The corpses of the Russians still had their uniforms on. Those of the detainees were in their underwear, because they had been taken there in their underwear, which at the time had aroused our attention. In the cells there were piles of corpses, and a stifling odor irritating the eyes reigned in the basement. From the appearance of the corpses – eyes shut or wide open – we concluded that all these people had been gassed. Even though the Bunker had been ventilated for three days, gas vapors were still present. On the floor there were documents of various kinds written in Russian, photographs, banknotes, and Russian coins."

In a book of memoirs published in 1948, Zenon Rozanski, deported to Auschwitz on January 7, 1941 (ID 8214), who had been in the penal company, describes in minute detail the first gassing at Auschwitz as follows:131

“One day in September after we had finished for the day, we were led back not to our usual Block 11, but to the attic of Block 5 still under construction. The block elder told us that disinfection of the former block was the reason for this incomprehensible move.

Because the 5th block was within the confines of the general camp, this move pleased us greatly. Here, we were safe from ‘surprises’ by the capos, and besides, the absence of a wall enabled our comrades from the general camp to pass us some food. After a very quiet roll-call, the capos, elders, and group leaders formed a separation, setting off our block from the general camp, but many comrades did receive appreciable amounts of ‘food waste.’ […] The next day, we heard that one had pushed a whole transport of Russian POWs into Block 11. We had differing points of view regarding this. Some had it, that the penal company would be dissolved entirely, others had learned from a ‘reliable source’ that the Russians would be moved in with us, others still made a face as if to say that they knew a lot but were not in a position to tell. One thing was certain: we would not go back into the ‘11’ that day.

And in the morning of the third day before we moved out for work, Wacek, who had room duty for that day, selected those of us who were well built and who could still be called strong. I, too, found myself among the

---

131 Zenon Rozanski, Mützen ab... Eine Reportage aus der Strafkompanie des KZ Auschwitz, Verlag “Das andere Deutschland,” Hannover 1948, pp. 40-44.
twenty selected. The company moved out for the day; we stayed behind in the block.

No one knew what all this was about. Wacek came to see us after half an hour.

‘Listen everybody! You are still in the camp and you will get ‘seconds’ at meal-time. But you will have to do a ‘special job.’ You will also be able to pocket this or that, but you will have to keep your mouths shut. Ok?’

No one had actually understood what he was talking about, but still we all shouted: ‘Jawohl!’

For the next 15 minutes we stood in line waiting for Gerlach. He inspected us, nodded, and addressed himself to us in vague terms, as Wacek had done.

‘In a few minutes you will have to do ‘confidential work.’ If anyone lets out even one word about what you will get to see’ – he moved his hand around his neck in an unmistakable gesture – ‘kaputt! A pile of ash in the crematorium! There will be enough food... Got it?’

We had not gotten it at all. Only one thing was clear: the work we had to do could make us lose our heads. This everyone understood. In any case, the prospect of sufficient food was a consolation. That was ok.

A few minutes later we moved by twos into the yard of Block 11. In the yard, we could see the deputy camp commander Fritsch, SS-Obersturmführer Mayer, the section leader of the camp Hauptscharführer Palitzsch, the camp surgeon SS-Obersturmführer Entreß [sic], SS-Oberscharführer Clair, SS-Unterscharführer Stark, assistant inspector Woznica from the political department, and our two block leaders Gerlach and Edelhardt.

Wacek gave the normal order ‘caps off!’ and announced to Mayer: ‘Twenty inmates ready for work!’

Mayer exchanged a few words with the detail head and then said something to Mayer.

The room elder shouted ‘Jawohl!’ and turned to us: ‘Each of you will get a gas mask. Make sure it fits, so that one does not have to call the others to carry you out. Ok?’

‘Jawohl!’

Near the wall, there was a big box full of gas masks. They were handed to us quickly.

Three minutes later we were ready with our gas masks on. Clair checked to see if each of us had the proper fit.

Things went so fast that we had no time to think. We just stared at each other without understanding anything.

The ‘uniformed ones’ also put on their gas masks. Wacek and the Bunker capo ran back and forth to the Bunker a few times, talked to Palitzsch who shook his head negatively, the two of them came back, and so they moved around.
Finally all SS-men drew their pistols. In Palitzsch’s hand the chromium-plated barrel of a submachine gun sparkled threateningly.

‘They want to shoot us!’ was our first thought. Our throats tightened, our eye-lids burned. The air inside the mask is thick and respiration is hard.

Instinctively, we move closer together. Somebody tries to rip off his mask. He gets hit in the neck with a pistol-butt and falls to the ground. The seconds are ticking away slowly.

They won’t shoot! Not yet...
Maybe we are not to be shot at all?
This new thought calms me, I look around. The SS-men have their guns at the ready, but they don’t shoot.

Palitzsch waves to Wacek.
‘All right! Let’s move!’

The room elder comes running toward us.
‘Don’t be scared, come along!’ He turns in the direction of the block. We follow him on the double, followed by Palitzsch, Stark, and some other SS-man. I am at the back of the group. The barrel of some ‘pop-gun’ touches my back. I move ahead and follow Wacek directly.

He moves down the stairs. For a second we all stop... Bunker!... But the SS-men give us no time to think. Someone at the back of the group is already lying on the floor.

‘Move! Move!’

Wacek stops in front of the door to the Bunker. He has an axe in his right hand. He passes it to the left and takes a key from his pocket with his right hand.

Clearly, he cannot find the key-hole, it takes a few seconds. From the back one can hear Palitzsch’s voice: ‘Get on with it!’

At last he has gotten it right. The key turns in the lock. Wacek seizes the door knob. I hold my breath, I pass my tongue over my parched lips. What will there be?

Wacek comes back. He holds the axe with his right hand once again. What does that mean?

Why the axe?
Why is he scared?

He grabs the door knob once again, this time with his left. With his right hand he holds up the axe, as if preparing a blow.

I feel cold and suddenly I feel scared. But it is a different kind of fear from what I felt before. I am not afraid for myself, no, I panic seeing this door. My heart thumps, I can feel each beat against the rubber of the mask.

Wacek turns the knob while taking a step back and yanks the door open.

The door opens and at the same time I feel that the stubbles of hair on my head stand on end.
One meter in front of me there are people standing! Pressed together, somehow horribly twisted, their eyes bulging out, scratched, covered with blood, immobile...

The ones who had been leaning on the door, strangely stiff, fall towards us and hit the hard concrete floor with their faces right in front of our feet. Corpses... corpses standing tall and stiff. They fill the whole corridor of the Bunker. They are so tightly pressed together that they cannot fall down.

For a moment, I feel like vomiting.

Wacek’s voice calls me back to life:
‘That’s it!’ he calls out to Palitzsch through his mask and drops the axe on the floor.

‘Ok! Take them out!’

Now I can think clearly again and I understand it all.

The corpses are wearing Red Army uniforms. It is surely the transport we talked about yesterday while working. They have all been herded into the Bunker and gassed. That’s what our gas masks were for, now we know!

Wacek seizes the first corpse under the arms an passes it on to us.

‘So that’s it!’ I say to myself. ‘our job is to move the gassed out of the Bunker.’

‘Get in line!’ Wacek shouts once more, ‘form a chain!’

‘The chain’ was the usual way of unloading bricks from a freight car, but I never thought, while I was doing it, that one could ‘unload’ people in the same way.

We worked late into the night.

Once the Bunker had been emptied, there were still the dead to be stripped naked and the clothing to be put aside properly. The next day, it went into the ‘clothing depot’ and increased the stock there.

We counted 1,473 Russian uniforms and more than 190 sets of camp clothing. They belonged to the patients from the sick bay, who SS-Obersturmführer Entreß had designated as ‘unfit for work’ and who were gassed together with the Russian POWs at this ‘opportunity.’ […]

When the ‘work’ was done, the twenty of us were given a 50-liter pail full of soup, and each one got half a loaf of bread.

The pail went back to the camp nearly full.

That was the first time gas was used at Auschwitz to liquidate detainees.”

In a book published in Czechoslovakia in 1945, republished in 1957 and translated into German a year later, one can find the following account by Jiří Beranowský, deported to Auschwitz on March 1st, 1941 (ID 20940132):133

“Around September we heard rumors that Soviet prisoners of war – culture officers – had been secretly moved into the camp. A test killing with

---

132 This ID number was assigned on September 15, 1941. Beranowský’s number was in fact 10904, assigned on March 5, 1941.

133 Ota Kraus, Erich Kulka, Die Todesfabrik, Kongress-Verlag, Berlin 1958, p. 158.
gas was run on them in Bunker 11. This could be ascertained by other detainees who found the names of some of these prisoners on the walls of their cells.”

Bruno Baum was a major figure in the secret resistance movement at Auschwitz, who was aware of all the things going on in the camp, because he had organized a network to pass information to the outside. According to his book published in 1949, Baum did not know anything about the first homicidal gassing in the Bunker of Block 11. He says only that134

“at one time, 12,000 Russian POWs were exterminated within three days, except for a few who had been hidden by comrades. One day we heard that a test had been run in the crematorium of the main camp to kill detainees with gas. Soon this message was confirmed.”

The story of the extermination of 12,000 Soviet prisoners of war was a blatant lie.

The witness Erwin Bartel was deported to Auschwitz on June 5, 1941, and received the ID 17044. After three months, he was assigned to the political department of the camp, where he became the subordinate of SS-Unterscharführer Hans Stark und SS-Untersturmführer Maximilian Grabner, head of the political department. More on these two persons will be said in the next section.

In 1959, during the preparation of the Frankfurt Auschwitz trial in which Hans Stark appeared as a defendant, Erwin Bartel made the following deposition:135

“I remember the first gassing of people at Auschwitz, which took place some time in September/October 1941. Stark at that time came into the office and told the other SS-men that there would be a celebration. We could hear all this because we were working in the next room; there was no door between the rooms. About 2-3 days later, Stark and Grabner arrived; Stark remained in the office, sweating, and said ‘thank God we have finished.’ We gathered from the conversation that some 350 people had been gassed in Block 11. They were Russian POWs and patients from the inmate hospital of the main camp. I know only that aside from Stark, Grabner was present at this gassing as well. Palitzsch was there too, I cannot remember anyone else.”

Erwin Bartel had already been questioned by Jan Sehn on August 27, 1947, during the preparation of the trial against the camp garrison as witness for the prosecution against Maximilian Grabner, but at the time he was still unaware of the story of the first gassing. In fact, though he spoke extensively about Block 11 and about Hans Stark on this occasion, he did not mention the al-


135 ZStL, IV 402 AR-Z 37/58, p. 618.
leged gassing,\textsuperscript{136} therefore his declaration in 1958 was a lie, created specifically to incriminate Stark.

The question of the first gassing was also raised during the Frankfurt Auschwitz trial. The indictment stated:\textsuperscript{137}

“The first gassing of this kind was conducted on October 8, 1941, in the basement of Block 11 mainly with Soviet prisoners of war, who had been transferred to Auschwitz to be liquidated.”

This claim was primarily based on statements by the witness Petzold, which the indictment gave ample space\textsuperscript{138} and which primarily aimed at Arthur Breitwieser, then a Rottenführer and SS-disinfector who had been in charge of Zyklon B disinfections of camp buildings and of the detainees’ clothes in the disinfection chamber at the so-called Kanada I section. The indictment by prosecutor Georg Friedrich Vogel against Breitwieser (as stated during the 159th session on May 17, 1965) was based essentially on Petzold’s testimony:\textsuperscript{139}

“The participation of the defendant Breitwieser in this [gassing] procedure was mainly described by the former detainee Walter Petzold, who was interrogated on March 26, 1964.”

Breitwieser firmly rejected the accusations, claiming that he had never participated in homicidal gassings and that he knew nothing of the first gassing:\textsuperscript{140}

“I do not know that people were gassed in Block 11 in October 1941.”

The value of the charges against him will be investigated later. Other witnesses also talked about the first gassing. (The testimonies by former SS men will be discussed in the next section.) The witness Czesław Głowacki (ex-detainee of Auschwitz, ID 4661) declared on April 23, 1964, (40th session):\textsuperscript{141}

“I experienced the first gassing in Block 11 in early 1942. Together with all the other physicians and nurses we were employed to carry the corpses out of the bunkers of Block 11 after the gassing had taken place there. The corpses were loaded onto carts and brought to the crematorium. It was a macabre sight, we saw terrible scenes. The corpses had stiffened, some inmates had chewed up their fingers during their death struggle, others had tufts of hair in their fists, again others were tightly entangled. The gassed [inmates] had remained in the bunker for roughly two days. Therefore shreds of the corpses remained in our hands. The corpses had already started to decompose, since it was very hot. This gassing in Block 11 remained the only one to ever be performed in this block.”

\textsuperscript{136} AGK, NTN, 135, camp garrison trial, vol. 53, pp. 237-244. Cf. chapter V,1.
\textsuperscript{137} Fritz Bauer Institut/Staftliches Museum, \textit{op. cit.} (note 46), p. 15200.
\textsuperscript{138} \textit{Ibid.}, pp. 15200-15205.
\textsuperscript{139} \textit{Ibid.}, p. 33446.
\textsuperscript{140} \textit{Ibid.}, p. 4857.
The witness Wojciech Barcz (ex-detainee at Auschwitz, ID 754) was questioned on April 9, 1964 (34th session): 141

“Raabe, representing joint plaintiffs:
When did the first gassings at Auschwitz start?

Barcz: Sometime in late 1941. They took place in the cellar of Block 11. We paramedics had to take patients into this block. The night before we heard how Russian prisoners of war were being herded along the blocks. They screamed, because they were being beaten, and we recognized them by their language. I remember the scream: ‘Have pity on me!’ That was after the evening roll call.

Raabe: How did you know that these people were later gassed?

Barcz: Three days later, the inmate personnel of the sick bay had to go into Block 11 in order to empty out the basement area. I have myself seen that a large number of people had been pressed into a very small space. Plainly, they had tried to reach the openings, hoping for fresh air. We could enter the rooms only three days later. Prior to that ventilation was necessary. There were several hundred dead. The corpses were taken by means of carts to an area where mass graves had been prepared by inmates the day before.”

At the time of the Auschwitz trial, the witness gave the following account in a German radio broadcast: 142

“The first gassing was carried out in the autumn of 1941, a few months after the beginning of the war against the Soviet Union. One day, we paramedics were ordered to take seriously ill patients into the Bunker cells of Block 11. They were locked up in those cells. Around 10 o’clock at night, we heard how a large group was being herded towards the Bunker by the SS. We heard screams in Russian language, orders by the SS, and beatings.

Three days later we paramedics were ordered, in the middle of the night, to go to Block 11. We had to move the corpses out of the Bunker cells. That way, we were able to see that they had simply gassed in those cells a large number of Russian inmates together with the patients we had taken there.

The sight we faced when the doors were opened was something like opening a tightly packed suitcase. The corpses fell towards us. I think that there were perhaps 60 corpses in one of those small cells, packed so tightly that even as they were dead they could not collapse. One could see that they had tried to get near the ventilation flap, through which, by the way, the gas had been thrown in. All the signs of a terrible agony were still visible.

We paramedics had to place the corpses on carts, by which they were moved out of the camp. There they were buried. We who had to do this

---

work were convinced that they would kill us right away near the graves or that we would be assassinated later, in the usual way at Auschwitz, because we knew this secret. But nothing happened to us. Later on, too, I have often seen that with the SS you could never tell; there were always surprises and unexpected things.”

On request of the Presiding Judge as to what he remembers about the first gassing, the witness Kurt Leischow, deported to Auschwitz in the fall of 1940, stated during the 67th session (July 17, 1964): 143

“Yes, this must have happened immediately after the invasion of Russia. Since transports arrived, smaller and larger ones, part of which entered the camp and some also entered the crematory at Auschwitz directly.

Presiding Judge: And do you know where this gassing was carried out?
Leischow: In the small crematory at Auschwitz.

Presiding Judge: Can you also remember a gassing in the Block which had the number 13 and which we designated no. 11, that a gassing was carried out there as well?
Leischow: I did not know that.”

Upon this response the Presiding Judge quoted the text, which the witness had deposed on March 14, 1961:

“The first gassing of prisoners was carried out in the fall of 1941 in Block 11. They were Russian prisoners of war, namely a small transport, which soon after its arrival was brought to Block 11.”

Leischow insisted on the crematorium, and as a justification he stated that he had a good friend with whom he had discussed the matter frequently, and that it was possible that he had been “influenced in some way.” He added that the Russian prisoners of war went “directly to the crematorium,” that he saw them running to the crematorium “naked.”

During the 44th session (May 11, 1964) the witness Leon Czekalski, detained at Auschwitz since August 15, 1940 (ID 2955), asserted that he saw Soviet officers passing in front of his block. This happened at night while the inmates were sleeping. He saw the SS wearing gas masks until the next day, “because it lasted about two days, since it seems that they remained alive quite long.” 144

The witness Michael Kruczek was interrogated during the 103rd session (Oct. 22, 1964). Upon the question by the Presiding Judge, “Witness, do you remember that in October 1941 people were gassed in the basement of Block 11?”, he responded: 145

“Yes. They probably were Soviet prisoners of war. We were told that they were political commissars. The day before I had found out, probably by comrades, that we had a gas chamber. It had to be in the night, because the day after the gassing – it was probably a Sunday – there was a roll call

143 Fritz Bauer Institut/Staatliches Museum, op. cit. (note 46), pp. 12700-12705.
144 Ibid., pp. 7049-7078.
145 Ibid., pp. 22185f., 22189.
at noon. We were in the parade grounds and an SS man – one of the guards of Block 11 whose name I do not know, but I remember him, he was a huge man – came out of Block 11 with a gas mask. We said: ‘The gassing is already over. He is going to check.’ And I thought, and I still think, that we had to participate. He was one of the two guards of Block 11.’

He then added that “the defendant Breitwieser was responsible for the disinfection [disinfestation] chamber. He walked around with his gas mask very often and gassed our clothes, the prisoners’ bedding and entire buildings.”

The already mentioned Walter Petzold testified on March 26, 1964 (31st session) as follows:

“The disinfestation of the blocks began in the summer of 1941. I still remember those disinfestations well, because the blocks were emptied and the prisoners were transferred from one block to another. In September or October 1941 the first gassing was prepared. In the Bunker of Block 11 numerous preparations were made. The same day on which the first gassing took place the hospital was emptied of prisoners in the morning. The prisoners were transferred from the hospital to the Bunker. They wore only shirts and underpants. They also received a towel. In the evening there was a strict curfew. At around nine or half past nine a group of about 850 prisoners of war was taken to the Bunker. I personally watched them from the gable window of Block 27, which was the clothing store. Between Block 27 and the courtyard between Blocks 10 and 11 was Block 21, but that hadn’t been raised yet. So from the gable window I could see the courtyard between Blocks 10 and 11 well. The camp road on which the prisoners of war were driven was lit. It was not dark. It may be that the lighting had been lowered. Above the door leading from the courtyard to Block 11 shone a light bulb. The prisoners of war were first taken to the courtyard between Blocks 10 and 11 and then through the stairs into Block 11. They were crowded into the bunker. Then the bunker was made airtight. In the bunker there were some trapdoors. They were basically the basement windows, fitted with perforated plates. After the prisoners of war had been pushed into Block 11, the courtyard between Blocks 10 and 11 was almost empty. I saw only two SS men who busied themselves with the gas. One of the SS man was Breitwieser, my former boss. […]

Then there was the provision that the fumigated room had to be under the influence of the gas for 72 hours, and then some more time had to pass until the end of the ventilation. If I remember correctly, the room was to remain under the influence of gas for 72 hours, and it could be ventilated only 24 hours later. This was what happened with the first gassing. I myself was in the courtyard after the bodies had been taken out of the bunker. The corpses gave off a pestilential stench. Dentists tore gold teeth out of the corpses. I had to stay in the yard, because I had to judge whether the

---

clothes were still usable. But they were not. I stayed only briefly in the courtyard. Then I threw up right away.”

The witness elaborated that

“in an attempt to strip the corpses it turned out that the flesh was gelatinous and remained attached to the clothes, so it was impossible to strip them.”

The former Auschwitz inmate Eugeniusz Motz, who had served in the same Kommando as Petzold, was interrogated on November 20, 1964 (114th session). I have already quoted the initial part of his declaration about the first gassing in chapter I. Motz continues as follows:147

“One or maybe two days later a transport of 200, 150 [sic] Soviet prisoners of war arrived. They were officers. We saw them. There was a curfew [Blocksperrre], and we saw it from the clothing depot. They were driven into Block 11. When they were inside Block 11, the curfew ceased and things went on normally. [...] But on that same day the selection of inmates at the hospital began. I personally saw Entress,148 Scherpe and Klehr together with Bock, the Lagerälteste [camp eldest149] of the hospital, running from block to block. In this case I mean the blocks of the sick. I also saw that they were running from block to block and rounded up 100-150 sick inmates. Some of them were transported to Block 11, while the others went on their own. [...]”

After these sick inmates had been selected from the individual hospital blocks, the following people came from Breitwieser to us at the clothing depot: Grabner, Lachmann, Dylewski, Stark, Hössler, Stiwitz and Palitzsch. We at the clothing depot were all very scared, thinking that there would certainly be a selection among ourselves. But there was no selection among us. They came from Breitwieser. And Breitwieser had with him two or three cans. He also gave one or two cans to an SS man. And then they all went together to Block 11.”

Then an exchange ensued with the Presiding Judge about the total number of cans brought along from the clothing depot (three to five). Then Motz continued:

“About an hour went by. After an hour they returned. Perhaps even more than an hour. Although Breitwieser returned to the clothing depot, he was angry, because something had not worked there. The next day, or maybe even two days later – that day never ended – the nurses of the hospital blocks were assembled. They had to bring carts and went to Block 11. And when they came back with the carts, these carts were full of corpses,

---

148 As I have already indicated, Entress could not have been present, because he arrived at Auschwitz on December 11, 1941.
149 Incorrect term, since the “Lagerälteste” was an inmate responsible for a camp or for a camp sector, the highest position within the inmate hierarchy assigned by the SS.
namely officers and inmates all intermingled. They drove the carts to the camp gate. [They came] to the camp gate, and when they crossed it, most likely – I suppose – [they went] to the crematorium. I do know, though, that the first experiment with gas on the first day had failed and was repeated the next day, because some of those people who had been gassed had not died immediately, since no good provisions for an effective isolation existed and [fresh] air could enter.”

Pressed by the Presiding Judge to explain the contradiction between his declaration and the one given by Petzold, Motz responded evasively, clinging stubbornly to his version. But the verdict was merciless for both witnesses:

“The witness Petzold is unreliable. […] The witness’s affirmation that he observed the events in the courtyard between Blocks 10 and 11 from a window in the gable of Block 27 cannot be true. In fact, from Block 27 one could not see the courtyard between Blocks 10 and 11 at all. The on-site inspection of the territory of the former Auschwitz concentration camp by the assigned judge ascertained that the courtyard between Blocks 10 and 11 could not be seen from any of the windows of Block 27 due to the wall located in front of that courtyard. From Block 27 one cannot even see the windows of the basement or the central access staircase to Block 11. At best, one can merely see the top edge of the windows of the ground floor of Block 11 from Block 27. The on-site inspection finally determined that Block 27 does not have a gable window. […] Hence the witness Petzold must have invented the description of the alleged events in the courtyard between Blocks 10 and 11. […]

The witness Motz is also unreliable. Apart from the fact that his statements contain many improbabilities, he – as has been explained in the discussion of the crimes of the defendant Dylewski – has falsely accused the defendant Stark. Therefore, the Court has already given no credit to the two allegations made against the defendant Dylewski. Again, there is a suspicion that the witness, just as with the defendant Stark, invented the things he described, or that he has assembled rumors and stories of others during or after his stay at the camp.”

The Court rejected as inconclusive all the other evidence and ruled with regard to Breitwieser as follows:

“Indeed there is, as before, the profound suspicion against the defendant Breitwieser that he had participated in the first gassing. Indeed, the fact that he, as a member of the pest control Kommando, was trained in the use of Zyklon B, makes this participation appear likely. In his favor is the fact that at this time it was said among the SS and the inmates that he had been present at a gassing. But with the existing evidence this has not been

151 Ibid., pp. 38379, 38381f. for Petzold and 38383f. for Motz.
152 Ibid., p. 38387.
demonstrated with sufficient certainty for a conviction. So for lack of evidence he had to be acquitted.”

Consequently the Court, in its verdict, was not only forced to abstain from any precise dating of the alleged event and to give no indication of the number of the first gassing victims, but also to provide a ludicrously generic reconstruction which brings no new element to the framework created by Danuta Czech:

“In the fall of 1941, when the defendant Breitwieser worked at the so-called pest control Kommando, the first gassing of Soviet prisoners of war and inmates from the prison hospital was conducted in the basement of Block 11. Prior to this the prison cells were emptied and the doors and windows of the so-called detention bunker were made gastight. Then in the prison cells and in the basement, in a very narrow space, a few hundred Russian prisoners of war and inmates from the camp hospital who were no longer useful were crowded and locked up. Then Zyklon B was thrown into the sealed rooms through openings. The developing gas killed the persons locked up in the bunker.”

In 1969, the Polish historian Stanisław Kłodziński, a former detainee at Auschwitz himself (ID 20019, registered August 12, 1941), ran a survey, sending a questionnaire to 250 former detainees of the camp who had been registered prior to September of 1941, and asking them to furnish their testimony regarding the first homicidal gassing at Auschwitz. He received 186 replies and used the most significant ones for his article already mentioned in the Introduction, which appeared in the journal Pzegląd Lekarski (Medical Review) in 1972.

A number of witnesses have described the preparations for this action. Stanisław Pawliczek (ID 1085) reported rumors from “well informed” sources in the potato store where he worked, according to which secret preparations had been going on in the basement during the night: the windows and some doors had been hermetically sealed and boxes with cans of Zyklon B had been carried in. The engineer Stanisław Hüpsch (ID 889) reported that the hermetic sealing (uszczelnianie) of Block 11 had been done by the Kommando that had previously disinested the camp. Edward Sobczyk (ID 203) noted that in front of Block 11 there had been sand, lime, and cement and that work had been going on in the basement.

Marian Zawodny (ID 8366) asserted that from the Industriehof where he worked building materials had been taken to wall up the windows of the basement in Block 11. Tadeusz Kopyt (ID 2151) reported that in early September 1941 a capo named Job had ordered him to fill the windows of the Bunker with earth. The engineer Leon Markiewicz (ID 3618) said that three inmates had been ordered to check the central heating system of Block 11.

---

153 Ibid., p. 38378.
154 The witnesses refer to Block 13, in the old numbering system. For greater clarity, I have used Block 11 throughout.
Wolny (ID 15496) stated that in front of Block 11 a truck had dumped earth, with which several detainees had covered the windows of the basement of the block.\footnote{S. Kłodziński, op. cit. (note 5), p. 83.}

Some witnesses speak of the selection of patients in the sick bay blocks, others describe the transport of the Russian prisoners of war into Block 11. According to Władysław Tondos (ID 18871), there were altogether 257 selected patients.\footnote{Ibid., p. 85.} Edward Liszka noted that the windows of Bunker 11 were covered with sand once the POWs were inside. Kazimierz Halgas asserted that, after the Russian POWs had been herded into Block 11, three or four SS-men went in there with gas masks on and carrying cans.\footnote{Ibid. , p. 88.}

The most important statements are those about the procedure of the gassing and about the removal of the corpses. Zbigniew Tryczyński (ID 276) declared that “the following day, Palitzsch, wearing a gas mask, threw in another load of gas after having opened the Bunker and found that some were still alive. Then the Bunker was closed again. Other than Palitzsch, there was Fritzsch and the camp surgeon.”\footnote{Ibid., p. 89.}

Other witnesses relate what happened after the alleged homicidal gassing. Stanisław Kłodziński reproduced large sections that he considers to be of fundamental importance. According to Kłodziński, Kazimierz Halgas related the following:\footnote{Ibid., p. 89.}

“Well observed Block 11 discreetly throughout the day after the gassing, but nothing happened there. In the evening, some SS-people came to the yard but left again soon. The lights were out, and in the morning of the third day the doors and the windows of Block 11 going out onto the yard were already open. During the day, some SS-men arrived, and when they left they checked some details, which we could not distinguish. After the evening roll call, at sundown, all the paramedics were called out (sämtliche Pfleger antreten)\footnote{In German in the text.}! We were about 120. Camp commander Höss arrived with the section leader [Palitzsch] and the inmate interpreter Baworowski; he gave us an order something like this:

‘Tonight you will have to do a job that nobody must know about. Only an emergency crew will stay in the sick bay. After the job, you will wash and disinfect, and then back to work. If you work well, there will be an extra ration of bread and sausage.’ At least 80 of us went to do this job. It was difficult to avoid doing so, because, after all, the surgeon Dr. Dering went with us, as did a few who worked in the dietary kitchen. Of course, the SS was in charge [of the work]. We arrived in the dark yard.\footnote{The yard between Block 11 and Block 12.} There, we were split up into groups. Some took the corpses out from the block,
others undressed them and put the clothing aside, a further group placed
the corpses on a cart, still others moved the cart to the crematorium.

For most of the night, I placed the corpses on the cart. Along the path
to the crematorium there were several posts of SS-men with arms at the
ready, checking the windows of the blocks. The work was very strenuous
and dirty. Altogether there were 870 murdered people, of which over 600
were Soviet POWs and 257 of our detainees. The corpses were already in a
state of decomposition, green and smelly. Almost all of the Soviet prisoners
were very young, not older than 18, and without military ranks. The great-
er part of them were of Polish origin, from the Stanisławów and Tarnopol
area.

We could see this from the military documents that most of them car-
ried. They had a military document in the shape of a tag, 5 by 10 cm, rolled
up and carried in a small pocket of their trousers, near the belt (like a
watch) in a little Bakelite box, something like our needle boxes. We also
found other objects. These, like the other things we found, watches etc.,
had to be turned over to the SS-men who were watching us. Most of the
names sounded Russian and Ukrainian. In the corridors and the cellars in
which the corpses were lying one could see granules spread around. The
corpses were in various positions and were densely packed. Our detainees
were pulled out last.

They had probably guessed they were being gassed, because we found
them with the cotton from their bandages in their hands and in their
mouths. The SS-men had us work fast. In the morning, the groups were
changed: I was in the last group, taking the corpses to the crematorium. In
the morning, around 4 or 5 a.m., the sidewalls gave way on one cart and
the cart overturned right in front of the kitchen, spilling all the corpses on
the ground. The SS got mad and we had to carry, on the double, those
corpses on our backs. I carried at least two in this way, and even Dr. Der-
ing carried one as well, after having done nothing except giving us orders
all night.

The corpses would no longer fit into the crematorium, and so we left
them near the door and along the walls. Then, just a little before the wake-
up call, we returned to the sick bay. Only a few stayed behind to wash and
disinfect the block and the rooms. We took a bath and changed, and then
went back to our work. At lunch, we each got an extra quarter bread and
150 grams of sausage. The work had been finished by about 5 a.m.”

Władysław Tondos furnished this account to describe what happened after
the gassing:161

“When the paramedics were called in to remove the corpses from Block
11, I feared that the witnesses of the massacre could not survive. In a dimly
lit room I saw 24-30 corpses. They were Soviet soldiers. They were all sit-
ting, as if they were asleep. Two of them held their caps in their mouths.

Then, in the yard they were undressed. The corpses already had the gases under their skin.\textsuperscript{162} As we could see from the documents found, they were simple people – farm hands, truck drivers etc. Then the bodies were loaded, 70 at a time, on a platform, covered with a tarpaulin, and pushed to the crematorium. Three corpses at a time were put into the oven. The transportation to the crematorium took two nights."

Jan Wolny, ex-detainee number 15496, had this to say:\textsuperscript{163}

“For two days in a row, everything was quiet around Block 11, only some SS-men went there from time to time. But one day, after the roll call an SDG\textsuperscript{164} and camp elder Bock recruited a number of paramedics from each of the sick bay blocks, and this group, to which I belonged as well, was taken on the double to the yard of Block 11. There were a few SS-men, and one of them told us that we were to bring the dead up from the cellars and undress them. After having done that, we were to lay them out in the center of the yard. I can never forget what I saw after I had gone into the basement. This sight is haunting me even today, and when I think back to those days in the camp I cannot sleep all night. […]

The bodies of the detainees and those of the gassed Soviet prisoners were lying every which way, lifeless and packed. Their eyes and mouths were open. While we moved and undressed them, I noticed that many bodies of those gassed had rags stuffed into their mouth and nose, as if to protect themselves from suffocating or poisoning. The sockets of their eyes were swollen, their fingers, toes, and abdomen sky-blue.\textsuperscript{165} At first, we tried to place the corpses on stretchers and take them up the stairs in that way into the yard. But because the corridor was a labyrinth and had tight corners, that was very difficult, all the more so as we were tired and the prisoners were still quite heavy.

The SS were in a hurry. They ordered us to work faster. Therefore, Obojski suggested it would be quicker and easier to move the bodies without a stretcher. It turned out that this was a better way, less strenuous, because we did not have to hold the stretcher at both ends. One group moved the bodies, another undressed them and laid them out in the yard. When I was tired from dragging the bodies, I went with the group that did the undressing […]. While we took the clothes off, we checked discreetly what was in the pockets and found money, photographs, letters, watches, etc. The next day, we had to place the bodies on a cart and take them to the Auschwitz crematorium.

There was curfew in the camp. Each body was taken by the arms and legs by two paramedics and thrown on the cart with a heave-ho. The par-

\textsuperscript{162} This means either that they had absorbed the poison gas through the skin or that the corpses were already in an advanced state of decomposition, hence forming gasses of putrefaction.

\textsuperscript{163} S. Kłodziński, \textit{op. cit.} (note 5), pp. 89f.

\textsuperscript{164} Sanitätsdienstgrad (rank of paramedic).

\textsuperscript{165} "niebieskie"
amedics who were on the cart laid the lifeless and stiff bodies out in layers on the floor of the cart. We then pushed the loaded cart through the entire camp as far as the crematorium. The SS who were supervising us and the men who were employed in the crematorium showed us how to unload the corpses from the cart and take them into the large hall. They opened the sides of the cart, tied straps around the hands and feet \([of the bodies]\) and then, yelling ‘one-two,’ threw a dozen bodies at a time on the floor. The noise of the corpses tumbling down was very scary.

We dragged the corpses into the crematorium across the floor that had been sprayer with water, dragging them by one hand. Another group would stack them up as far as the ceiling. The hall of the crematorium was filled within a day, but in the area of Block 11 there were still many more corpses. As the personnel in the crematorium probably realized that the other bodies would not fit \([into the hall]\), a mass grave was dug in the woods at Birkenau, near the triangulation tower, and over the next few days we buried there the rest of the corpses of the Soviet prisoners. Camp commander Höss himself went into the little wood by car, accompanied by Palitzsch, Boger, and others from the SS. They ordered us to put more lime into the trench and to cover it with a thick layer of earth. It had rained that day and mud had formed.

Dinner was potatoes with the skin. There was no place to wash our hands; we had worked without gloves. We had to eat the food like that, ridiculed by the SS who asked us if we liked it. I remember very well that when I first saw the corpses of the detainees and the prisoners as they lay poisoned in the Bunker of Block 11, I thought that these criminals had used chlorine for this massacre, because on the floor there was a kind of white powder that smelled like chlorine. But the next day we learned that the SS had used for the first time a gas – Zyklon B – to kill 600 Soviet prisoners of war and some 250 patients.

All of us who took part in the removal of those gassed, in their transportation to the crematorium, and in their burial in the mass grave were afraid for a long time that they would kill us, too, to keep things secret. In October of 1942, I was transferred to Birkenau; we were housed in the men’s camp, but worked in the women’s camp. At that time, I saw a detail of Jewish inmates who had to dig up the prisoners from the mass grave, pile them up and burn the decomposing bodies. The wind blew the smoke over to Birkenau, and the stench was horrible. The burning piles of bodies could be seen from Birkenau. The Jews who had to do this job were probably sent to the gas to wipe out any traces."

The reason given by the witness to explain why part of the bodies had to be interred in a mass grave at Birkenau – the fact that the crematorium did not have enough space for 850 corpses – is clearly false. The crematorium had a mortuary measuring 17 by 4.60 meters and two more rooms for corpses, the \(\text{Aufbahrungsraum}\) (laying-out room, 4.60 m × 4.10 m) and the \(\text{Waschraum}\).
(corpse washing room, 4.60m × 4.17 m). This was altogether some 116 m², clearly enough space for the corpses without stacking them “up to the ceiling.” Also, the area of the mass graves – located near the present monument for the Soviet prisoners of war – was absolutely invisible from sector BI of the Birkenau camp with its men’s and women’s sections. Therefore, the witness could not have seen the exhumation of the corpses from that observation point.

Tadeusz Kurant, who had the ID 4593, states that transportation of the bodies “took all night.”

The witnesses Józef Weber (ID 15386), Aleksander Germański (ID 715), and said Tadeusz Kurant declared independently from one another that the corpses of the victims were “bluish” (sine).

Konrad Szweda’s testimony merits our particular attention. According to what Stanisław Kłodziński has to say, Szweda was a priest (ID 7669) who worked as a paramedic in Block 16 in September 1941. In January of 1942, in a barrack of the Kommando attached to the Buna factory, he wrote a “gryps” (a secret message), which was taken out of the camp by a civilian and later made its way to Kłodziński. After having described the selection of patients in Block 15 in early September of 1941, Konrad Szweda goes on to say:

“Some 300 patients in thin shirts and underwear were waiting for orders, standing, sitting, lying on the ground.

‘Take them to the penal company,’ somebody shouted up ahead. I trembled, I literally trembled with fear. But I quickly became quiet: the companions explained to me that [the patients] had been waiting at the SK [penal company] for a nocturnal transport. We took up the stretchers with the sick patients. We entered the yard, and then – horror – they move us downstairs, into the Bunker. […] I felt sick to my stomach in this stinking prison. We placed one of the unfortunate people on the cold concrete floor. Presently another one would be placed on him, a third, a fourth. They were arranged in layers, literally stacked!

In a cell [meant] for one, detainees were piled 30, 40, finally 50! One standing on top of the other. The weakest ones were carried in, the healthy ones entered by themselves. Oh, if you had seen this column of condemned men, their horrified faces, they were suspecting that they were going into their grave. […] I moved from one to the other, giving absolution, blessing them all. I caught a glimpse of the face of the Reverend Szulc, an old man from Poznan, seventy years old. […] Within half an hour the steel door of the last cell fell shut. The bolt was pushed.”

The continuation of this account, it appears, is not in the “secret message” mentioned above, but in an unpublished typescript entitled “Images of Da-
“After one o’clock at night, when the last cell had been closed, the gassing started. Several SS-men, through small openings above the doors, dumped into each [cell the contents of] two cans, which contained the gas in the form of small blue crystals. Then the openings were hermetically closed. [...] The wailings, the screams, the weeping that had been heard since six in the afternoon gradually stopped, until there was only a dreadful silence in the end. The main door was then closed and secured with a padlock: the villains went home to rest.”

Wiesław Kielar, who in 1941 was a paramedic in Block 16 (ID 290), described the various aspects of the first gassing at Auschwitz in a particularly extensive way in a memorandum published in 1972. I repeat here the essential sections:

“One day, several hundred of the newly arrived Soviet prisoners of war were herded into Block 11. The same day, quite unexpectedly, camp surgeon Entreß appeared and, as he had done a few weeks before, passed attentively through all three sick bay blocks, inspecting all rooms where there were patients.

The seriously ill selected by him had to be taken to the yard in front of Block 16. Paramedics then took them to the penal company, the members of which had previously been moved to a different block. We had to carry most of the patients on stretchers. Later, detainees from the penal company selected for this purpose took care of them.

We went back to our job.

After the evening roll call, curfew was ordered. Because of that, there was no more work in the out-patient section, and everyone went to bed earlier than usually. Before we fell asleep, we commented loudly on the events of the day, which did not augur well. The patients had apparently been moved in with the Soviet POWs in the Bunkers, where they had been locked up in an incredibly dense fashion. Everyone felt downcast. No one started to tell stories about the time before the war, as had been the case on other nights. All illusions were to be shattered the next day.

Teofil and Gienek were sure. All had been killed with gas. Palitzsch was seen walking around in the camp with a gas mask over his shoulder. It seemed that the windows and the doors of the Bunker rooms, that had been made air-tight before, had already been opened. They had to be ventilated, before the corpse carriers could start their work. And there was to be a lot of work. Some one thousand corpses. The Dresden tragedy was nothing compared to what the SS had done in our camp, right before our eyes.

---

Ibid., p. 88.

There was curfew again the following day. We were already in bed, somebody was telling something about his life. Suddenly, the entrance door to the block banged and we could hear the rhythmic noise of nailed boots, which made us shiver.

‘It’s Jarem,’ someone said with fear in his voice.

‘All paramedics out! Get going!’ Palitzsch’s screaming order resounded through the empty hall.

We jumped up, as if stung by scorpions. We hurriedly dressed and ran into the hall that was lit up. Peter was already downstairs, arranging us in files of two. I pressed myself into the second row, to be less prominent. But Palitzsch did not want to bother with any of us. There were more important things to do. This time, he needed us. He gave brief orders to the block elder next to him, who in turn addressed the corpse carriers: ‘Obojski, Teofil, get men for two platforms. To the SK and hurry!’ Palitzsch was waiting for us outside Block 11. Twilight was falling.

The heavy wooden gate to the yard of the penal company opened. We pushed the carts into the yard and turned them around to face the gate. In the yard, the whole crew of the SS was already there, with commander Fritzsch and camp surgeon Entreß in charge. We waited around, while the SS-people were still arguing; then they called Gienek and Teofil. They were given gas masks. Palitzsch and some block leaders put on gas masks as well. All of them went to the entrance of the block basement. They stayed down there for quite some time. We waited silently. Night fell. It became completely dark in the yard. Only above the entrance to the Bunker there was a weak light-bulb, which threw some light on the group of SS-men who were waiting next to the steps leading into the block.

The first one to come back out was Palitzsch, behind him the other men from the SS. They had taken off their masks. Hence, the gas from the Bunker had already dissipated. After some time, Obojski and Teofil turned up.

We were now split up into groups, each one with a different task. Some of us were to go into the Bunkers to get the corpses out of the cells, others carried them up the stairs, yet others had to undress them. The rest of us had to move the naked corpses further into the yard for them to be loaded onto the waiting carts.

I pressed myself into the group that had to do the work in the basement, so as to be far away from the SS and from Palitzsch; I was very much afraid of him.

Down below the air was sticky, and it was hot; the smell of corpses was everywhere. All cells had been opened, and we could see the corpses of the gassed inside all pressed together. Where the patients had been put, there was a little more space. Some bodies were right in the door, [they had] collapsed, one on top of the other. With those we started. The bodies were clinging to one another and were hard to separate. We dragged them into the corridor, one by one, for the others to move them upstairs.
The deeper we advanced into the cells, the more difficult it was to get the bodies out. The impression was macabre. Pressed together in those tiny cells, they still stood in the same position they had been in two days ago. Their faces were blue, almost purple-black. Their wide-open eyes seemed to pop out of their sockets, their tongues stuck out from their mouths, the exposed teeth gave them horrible faces. At first, two of us would carry one corpse, but that created a mess on the stairs with everyone getting in the way of everyone else.

Work proceeded slowly, and so we started to work separately. Instead of carrying them, we now dragged the corpses behind us by one hand or one foot. That was quicker and easier. For disinfection, the whole Bunker was sprinkled with chlorine [chlorinated lime], which made the work easier yet. The smell of chlorine would bite our noses, but it reduced the stench that the decomposing corpses were giving off. The stairs were the most difficult stretch. The heavy heads banged against the steps, the limp extremities caught on the steps and the thresholds, causing us much trouble.

Upstairs in the hall next to the washroom we dropped the corpses on the floor; other detainees then started to undress them, while we went back for more bodies. I noticed that there was much more air upstairs, and the work of undressing seemed to be easier, and so when I brought in my next corpse, I began to undress it, making use of the fact that there was already a pile of corpses there which the detainees working on them had not yet been able to deal with. But it turned out that the removal of the clothing from those slack and bloated trunks was not at all easier than carrying them, but the air was still fresher and cooler here.

The pockets released their load of money, notes, pictures, little things, souvenirs, and cigarettes, in short, all those things one was allowed to retain in a camp. Now all that was on the floor, mixed with the excrement and the wet chlorine, a real mess. Occasionally, an SS-man would kick the pile with his boot, stepping on what might have been a precious possession while its owner was still alive, perhaps his only souvenir; if the man saw something valuable, he acted as if he were disgusted, picked it up, played around with it for a while and then slipped it into his pocket. We were happy with the belts, which we needed for our work and which we were officially allowed to keep.

The first flat cart of Teofil’s group left the yard fully loaded. Now Gienek beefed up his crew, to which I belonged as well. The naked corpses that we had moved over the steps into the yard underwent a special treatment. The dentists, watched by the SS, checked the mouths of the dead, and if there were any gold teeth or golden jaws [sic] they pulled them out with their pliers. […]

The load grew and grew. It became more and more difficult to lift up the corpses. Gienek placed them closely together, like sheaves of wheat. One, two! The corpse, held by its hands and feet, flew up in a finely con-
trolled arc, for Gienek to catch. With his legs spread apart, he stood among those trunks, those arms, those feet, and those heads. He stacked the corpses tidily in layers, to get as many as possible on one cart. That way he saved us time and effort; we all wanted to get over with the job quickly.

I hid on the other side of the overloaded cart in order to get some rest and to be safe from the all-seeing eyes of those drunken SS-guards. Gienek announced ‘the cart is ready and loaded,’ jumping down from the top layer of those dozens of corpses. ‘Then move the baggage out!’ yelled one of those boozed-up Scharführers.

One, two!... We carted them well into the morning. Later, at our block, we got extra rations. But no one was in a position to eat anything. What we needed was sleep, to be able to go back to work the next night.

We knew that we would not be spared this. In the evening, we marched back to Block 11 as before. We no longer needed any instructions. We hitched ourselves up to the cart. The yard of the penal company vanished in the dark behind us, night fell earlier than before. The chlorine and the dirt melted into a slimy and smooth surface. The stench stayed in the air, with its nauseating smell of decomposing bodies. […]

The corpses were already partly decomposed. We made our job easier by tying belts around the hands, the feet, or the neck of the gassed bodies, so as not to touch them with our hands, and then we dragged the gluey and bloated corpses across the concrete or the dirt of the yard up to the cart. Then, in the usual way, we swung the bodies on the cart. […]

At the entrance to the crematorium, the water glistened on the concrete wet with rain. […]

That was the last load. On the double, we dragged the corpses, first through the large hall, then to the right, past the room where autopsies were performed on the corpses. Another alcove with urns and then this long hall, already half full of bodies, a body reservoir, in a way. A second door led to the furnace hall with its ovens. Detainees stripped down to their waist ran back and forth. The crew of the small crematorium could not keep up, so they fed two bodies simultaneously into the ovens. Our work was done, but they had work to do for the next so many days.”

3. Testimonies of the SS Personnel

Besides Rudolf Höss, whose testimony has already been examined in chapter I, other members of the SS made statements concerning the first homicidal gassing at Auschwitz.
Hans Aumeier,¹⁷¹ then SS-Hauptsturmführer, had been moved to Auschwitz on February 16, 1942, where he held the position of “I. Schutzhaftlagerführer” at the “Stammlager” until August 15, 1943. From October 1943 onwards, he was commander of the Vaivara camp in Estonia. In February of 1945 he commanded the Mysen camp in Norway, the country in which the British arrested him on June 11, 1945. In a report written on July 25, 1945, he states:¹⁷²

“If I remember correctly, it was in November or December of 1942 that the first gassing of some 50 – 80 Jewish detainees was undertaken. It took place in the mortuary of the crematorium in Lager I, under the direction of the camp surgeon, of Untersturmführer Grabener [sic], the L.K. [the camp commander] and some medical personnel. I was not present myself, and I did not know in advance that this gassing would be implemented.”

Pery Broad¹⁷¹ was transferred to Auschwitz on April 8, 1942, and assigned to the political department of the camp on June 18 of that year. On July 13, 1945, he drew up a memorandum, which disappeared immediately. It resurfaced on April 20, 1964, at the Frankfurt Auschwitz trial. In the edition of the document prepared by the Auschwitz Museum we read the following:¹⁷³

“In Block 11 there were cells with little windows, which did not offer a view, being situated as they were below ground level, but which at least allowed some air to reach the cells. There were also dark cells. A narrow ventilation duct ending in mysterious metal boxes attached to the outside wall provided little more than the minimum of air for respiration. Altogether forty Russians who had been pressed with brute force into one of those cells and who literally could not move, suffocated in it. Apart from these dark cells that had, after all, a floor area of some 8 square meters, four stand-up cells completed the torture chambers of this building. […]

One day they pulled the corpses of Russian POWs out of a dark cell. As they lay in the yard, they looked strangely bloated and bluish, even though they were still relatively fresh. Some older inmates who had participated in the World War [One], remembered having seen such corpses during the war. They suddenly realized what had happened here… Gas!

The first test for this most appalling crime that Hitler and his cronies were planning and even managed to carry out to a horrifying and unforgivable degree, had been run successfully.”

The former SS-Untersturmführer Maximilian Grabner who was in charge of the political department at Auschwitz between May of 1940 and September of 1943 and who, according to several witnesses, had participated personally

in the first homicidal gassing, made various statements in this respect. During an interrogation on September 1st, 1945, he stated:\footnote{Interrogation of Maximilian Grabner, Vienna, September 1, 1945. GARF, 7021-108-34, pp. 26.}

“Auschwitz detainees were murdered by gassing from early 1942 onwards, initially in Block 11. I have seen those gassings myself, the SS personnel walked around with gas masks, the detainees, 20-40 of them at a time, were herded into the cells. Then the cells were made [air-]tight and gas was fed in.”

He came back to this during the interrogation of September 26, 1945:\footnote{Interrogation of Maximilian Grabner, Vienna, September 26, 1945. APMO, sygn. Dpr.-ZOd/78, camp garrison trial, vol. 53, p. 65.}

“Furthermore, 2,000 Russians, probably partisans, who had been held in the Bunker of Block 11 in complete isolation from the rest of the camp, were gassed in two groups of 1,000 each. Originally they were to be shot, but gassing was adopted on the suggestion of Höss and Dr. Schwela. That was the first real gassing action.”

Having been extradited to Poland, Grabner drew up a “Bericht über das Lager Auschwitz” (Report on the Auschwitz Camp), in which he stated:\footnote{Maximilian Grabner, “Bericht über das Lager Auschwitz,” Krakow, September 17, 1947. APMO, sygn. Dpr.-ZO /53b, camp garrison trial, vol. 53b, p. 358.}

“In the winter of 1941-1942, two transports of allegedly Russian partisans were said to have arrived. Their admission was done in secret by Höss, Fritsch, Seidler, Dr. Schwela, Hössler, Pallitsch [Palitzsch], and possibly some blockleaders. As far as I was able to find out, the two transports had been taken to the camp to be executed.\footnote{“sie zu exekutieren” sic.} The order was carried out, also in secret, by those mentioned, and is said to have taken place in Block 11. At this action the first test gassing is said to have been implemented. Transfer papers were not visible either, and I do not know whether any were handed over when the transport arrived or sent to the camp later.”

Hans Stark, former SS-Unterscharführer, joined the political department at Auschwitz in June of 1941 and was attached to Grabner. According to several witnesses, he too had taken part in the first homicidal gassing. In 1959, during the preparation of the Auschwitz trial, he vehemently denied the accusations leveled against him by Erwin Bartel, which we have already dealt with, even though Stark had made other statements that were much more dangerous for himself.\footnote{Stark declared \textit{i.a.} to have performed a homicidal gassing in crematorium I at Auschwitz.}

Stark insisted that he was not involved in the first gassing:\footnote{Interrogation of Hans Stark on April 23, 1959. ZStL, AR-Z 37/58 SB 6, p. 948.}

“The Zyklon B was stored in the SS sick bay and was used for disinfection purposes. Who it was that hit on the idea of using it to gas people, I do not know, but SS personnel in the camp rumored that it had been tried for the first time on detainees in a cell of Block 11. Who ordered and who exe-
cuted this test, I do not know, but Schutzhaftlagerführer Fritsch is said to have been present at the test. That is all I know.”

During the hearing, Stark did not even refer to that rumor, asserting that the Soviet POWs had been shot, not gassed.180

“One time, I think it was perhaps in June, July, or August 1941, an order came through asking special commands to check to see whether there were commissars among the Russians. According to this order, such people were to be moved to the nearest concentration camp for liquidation. The first ones came to Auschwitz in September of 1941. These people were immediately moved to Block 11 and shot at the Black Wall. They were not admitted to the camp and not registered.”

During his pre-trial interrogation on March 29, 1961, former SS-Untersturmführer Henry Storch, who had been First SS Paramedic at Auschwitz, expressed himself in vague terms on the first homicidal gassing:181

“I think it was in the spring of 1941 that I learned of people having been killed for the first time by means of Zyklon B in the basement of Block 11 (penal block) of the main camp. Up to that time, this gas had been used exclusively for disinfection purposes. Disinfection being a matter handled by the administration, this Zyklon B was also procured by the administration. While I was at Auschwitz, neither the camp surgeon nor the pharmacy had to procure or distribute the Zyklon B. If this were to have changed later on, it should be possible to determine this on the basis of the pharmacy files – if still available – which list all incoming and outgoing pharmaceuticals and other things.

On the day after the gassing itself, I saw the dead in the basement, probably in the company of the then camp surgeon. No further gassing took place in those basement rooms.

As far as I remember, the dead were Polish POWs who were still completely dressed. I cannot state a precise figure, but there surely were more than one hundred of them. The corpses were lying in several rooms, in which they had probably been gassed. As far as I can say, none of them showed any signs of violence. The faces were not discolored and the bodies were not twisted up.

Regarding the gassing procedure as such, I was not informed about it, neither before nor after the event, because the camp leaders kept completely quiet about it, also toward staff who were not involved. I can therefore make no statements as to who ordered the gassing or who implemented it. I do know, however, that SS personnel were sent to Berlin more or less at the same time in order to become acquainted with the handling of this very dangerous Zyklon B gas. As far as I know, this training took place not at

181 Staatsanwaltschaft…, op. cit. (note 109), vol. 46, pp. 8217-8219.
some SS institution, but at a private company, the name of which I have never known.

The people involved were medical personnel, who were detailed to this short training course in order to learn about the handling of Zyklon B for the purpose of disinfestation. I know for a fact that only disinfestation was the topic, because at that time no one had yet thought about gassing people. The idea of killing people probably arose when the gas was used for disinfestation. The dangerous character of Zyklon had become known in the camp due to the great care that had to be taken for disinfestations. [...].

I had entered the cellar at the request of my superior, camp surgeon Dr. Popiersch, in order to confirm that there was no longer any risk of toxic gas as a result of the basement’s ventilation. That was the only reason why the camp surgeon had asked me to come along.

He himself had to ascertain the death of these people and to confirm that the cellar was now safe for use. To whom he reported about our findings, I have not learned. The whole operation of the inspection took less than a quarter of an hour.

I must add in this connection that neither the camp surgeon nor I, the pharmacist, had been informed previously about the gassing as such. No one came to see me to ask for advice regarding the planned gassing. I am positive that Dr. Popiersch did not know about this, either, as he would surely have spoken to me about it.”

During the 65th session of the Auschwitz Trial (July 13, 1964), under the leading questions of the Prosecutor and the President Judge, which implied the answers desired, Henry Storch tried clumsily to somehow make his previous statements match those of the indictment. On the other hand, while in prison, he had read the so-called memoirs of Rudolf Höss, so that “he had learned more about this.”

First he corrected the date of the alleged first gassing, which initially was too inconsistent with the theory of the prosecution:

“Prosecutor Vogel: Do you know when this gassing was conducted in the basements of Block 11?

Storch [pausing]: Maybe in August

Vogel: But according to the testimonies we have so far it was in the fall.

Storch: Yes

Vogel: So a few months later still.

Storch [interrupts]: I just told you. [...] Yes, I just said, in – what did I say?

Vogel: August.

Storch: August. Yes, August, September, I don’t want to commit myself here.

183 Ibid., pp. 12146-12148.
Vogel [interrupts]: In a previous interview you felt it was in the spring. But this certainly was not the case.

Storch: No, I don’t think so. I think it was, I do not mean late that year, but around August, September. Maybe I’m wrong here.”

Storch also put in doubt that the alleged victims were Poles (“but I cannot say in good conscience that they were Poles”)\(^\text{184}\) and tried to muddy the waters regarding the number of victims, before reiterating that they could have been about 100 (“Yes, I just said that there were several dozen. 8 times 12 is 96”),\(^\text{184}\) then saying that they could also have numbered “several hundred.”\(^\text{185}\) He confirmed, however, that the basement cell doors had been removed and that the corpses were found in individual rooms.\(^\text{186}\)

Storch also provided further details about his own task:\(^\text{187}\)

“The gassing was certainly conducted with Zyklon B. None of us knew for certain what was happening, except for those who participated directly. The next morning the camp physician, Dr. Popiersch, ordered me to go with him into the basement to ascertain from the smell, the perception, that there were no traces of Zyklon, so that the basement could be cleared.”

Since the gassing had allegedly occurred with hydrogen cyanide, whose smell remotely resembles some aspects of the scent of bitter almond, Dr. Popiersch and Storch went down into the gassed basement to check by sniffing whether that smell could still be perceived.\(^\text{188}\) They found all the windows open and did not perceive any smell of bitter almonds.\(^\text{189}\)

I will conclude this chapter with a testimony regarding Karl Fritzsch. On Good Friday of 1945, at camp Dora, then a subcamp of the Buchenwald camp, there was a “Führerbesprechung” (staff meeting), in which a certain “Standartenoberjunker” (ensign) Alfred Kurske participated. He later talked to his friend SS-Hauptsturmführer Karl Kahr, who was interrogated by an unknown person at Landshut on September 19, 1945. At that time he declared:\(^\text{190}\)

“SS-Hauptsturmführer Fritsch told me that he was the inventor of the Auschwitz gas chambers and claimed to have built them himself. He was always playing around with his revolver and bragged about personally having laid flat thousands of detainees at Auschwitz.”

---

\(^{184}\) Ibid., p. 12160.  
\(^{185}\) Ibid., p. 12161.  
\(^{186}\) Ibid., p. 12126.  
\(^{187}\) Ibid., p. 12122.  
\(^{188}\) Ibid., p. 12124.  
\(^{189}\) Ibid., p. 12129.  
\(^{190}\) Minutes of the interview conducted at Landshut on September 19, 1945, with the former SS-Hauptsturmführer Dr. Karl Kahr. NO-1948, p. 3.
Chapter IV: Critical and Comparative Source Analysis

Are the testimonies presented in the preceding chapter reliable and in agreement? Can we draw from them a coherent reconstruction of the alleged first homicidal gassing? And who has proposed such a reconstruction and used an irreproachable historiographic method?

A trustworthy and unambiguous answer to these questions can derive only from a critical and comparative analysis of the testimonies, which would examine their assertions from all essential points of view of this alleged event, beginning with the place itself and the date on which it took place.

This chapter is devoted to such a verification, carried out in consideration of the following fundamental issues.

1. The Location of the First Gassing

A message from the secret resistance at Auschwitz dated July 2nd, 1942, notes the following with respect to the first homicidal gassing:191

“The first use of gas chambers took place in June of 1941. A transport of 1700 ‘incurably ill’ patients was formed, which was [allegedly] sent to the Dresden sanitarium, but actually went to the building that had been transformed into a gas chamber.”192

Polish historiography furnishes a different version of this alleged event. In the first edition of the Auschwitz Kalendarium, Danuta Czech writes on July 28, 1941:193

“Arrival of a special commission in accordance with Himmler’s order, a member of which was Dr. Schumann. This commission reviewed all invalids, cripples, and those chronically ill who had been selected under the pretext that they would be sent to a different camp with lighter work. Section leader Hößler took this transport of 557 detainees to the mental institution of Königstein in Saxony. Hößler reported to Höss that these detainees were gassed in the shower room by the introduction of carbon monoxide through the shower heads.”

In a later article entitled “The first selection for gas at Auschwitz – the transport to the ‘sanitarium’ at Dresden,” Stanisław Kłodziński looks specifically into this alleged event. He asserts that the gassing of these detainees oc-

---

192 “do budynku przebudowanego na komorę gazową”
193 D. Czech, op. cit. (note 18), pp. 106f.
occurred not at Königstein, but “near Sonnenstein, some 20 km from Dresden.”

In the second German edition of the Auschwitz *Kalendarium*, Danuta Czech “corrects” the previous entry and writes under the same date that the detainees were gassed at Sonnenstein near Pirna. The historicity of this event is based only on indirect testimonies, in particular that of Rudolf Höss. We have no direct testimony from persons who might have been present at the killing or seen the corpses of the alleged victims. All the testimonies collected by Stanisław Klodziński, in fact, refer exclusively to the departure of the transport from Auschwitz. Hence, even if such a transport actually did leave the camp, there is no proof that it was exterminated by way of a gassing.

After having spoken about the special commission of Dr. Schumann, Rudolf Höss, the most important witness with respect to this alleged gassing, stated during his trial only:

“In accordance with Schumann’s orders, Hössler took these detainees to the mental health institute at Königstein in Saxony, where patients had been liquidated before. As Hössler reported to me, the patients from Auschwitz were led into a bathroom in that institution and killed by means of carbon monoxide introduced into the bathroom through the openings of the showers. Only this one transport from Auschwitz went to Königstein.”

Without giving any details, Rudolf Höss affirms in a general way that the above-mentioned special commission arrived at Auschwitz “in 1941.”

Maximilian Grabner, too, mentions this alleged gassing, but places it eight months later:

“The first gassings, ordered from Berlin under the designation 14f13, signed by Glücks, took place in March of 1942. I have seen this order myself and have made a copy. The matter was handled by Obermedizinalrat Schumann and concerned the ridding of the camp of incorrigibles, professional criminals, physically disabled, contagious persons, and incurables. There were some 600 altogether. The detainees in question were not gassed in the Auschwitz camp, but near Dresden, I believe.”

Thus, Danuta Czech’s account has no greater value than the information of July 2nd, 1942, cited initially, but it differs from the latter not only with respect to the date and number of victims, but also as to the place of this first gassing, which it says occurred neither at Königstein nor at Sonnenstein, but “in the building transformed into a gas chamber,” therefore not in the basement of Block 11 either, because the latter had undergone no architectural

---

change for the alleged gassing. Finally, the witness Leon Głogowski declared explicitly that the first gassing test was implemented at Birkenau on July 28, 1941, with 50 persons. Finally, according to Hans Aumeier, Bruno Baum and Kurt Leischow, the first gassing was performed in Crematorium I at Auschwitz.

Therefore, the testimonies do not even agree on the location of the first homicidal gassing: Bunker 11 or the Auschwitz Crematorium I or Birkenau or Königstein or Sonnenstein.

2. The Date of the First Gassing

According to the Auschwitz Kalendarium, the first homicidal gassing was carried out in the basement of Block 11 at Auschwitz on September 3-5, 1941. Stanisław Kłodziński has corrected that date, claiming that this gassing occurred on September 5/6-8/9. Polish historiography of the immediate post-war period makes it September 15. In 1946, Filip Friedman, director of the “Central Jewish Historical Commission in Poland,” published a book on Auschwitz, in which he wrote:

“*The first victims were gassed on September 15, 1941, in Block II [sic], in a former munitions store building. A number of Russian prisoners, 600 to 700, and several hundred Polish prisoners were used for this first experiment.*”

That date was also accepted by Nachman Blumental, another member of the Commission, as well as by Ota Kraus and Erich Kulka. Judge Jan Sehn, however, although he had interviewed a number of witnesses who claimed to know about the first gassing, limited himself to a general reference to the summer of 1941. This dating was also used in the verdict of the Höss trial, whereas in the indictment in the trial of the camp garrison the alleged event was moved to the autumn of 1941 without explanation. Even the verdict of the Frankfurt Auschwitz Trial, despite (or precisely because of) the numerous witnesses called, was forced to place it approximately “in the fall of 1941.”

All the datings are completely without foundation. Strictly speaking, any kind of dating is, in principle, completely without foundation, because no archival document has been found for this first gassing and because all available

---

testimonies are mutually contradictory as to this and all other fundamental issues.

For Rudolf Höss, the first homicidal gassing took place after the end of November of 1941. Maximilian Grabner asserted that the gassings in Block 11 began in “early 1942.” Hans Aumeier placed the event “in the month of November or December 1942.” The witness Kula is certain the date was August 14, 1941, that day being the first anniversary of his deportation to Auschwitz, but two years later he stated that the event took place in July of 1941. Zbigniew Baranowskij spoke of August 15, 1941. Some testimonies have the alleged gassing occur in September of 1941 (reports from the Resistance of November 15 and 17, 1941, and an article in the Polish Fortnightly Review: September 5/6, 1941; Ludwik Banach: “it was on September 5, 1941;” Josef Vacek: “early September”). Others say the month of October (report from the resistance of October 24, 1941; Józef Koczorowski; Ludwik Rajewski). Walter Petzold asserts with assurance the date of October 9, 1941; Henry Storch refers instead to the spring of 1941.

At the Frankfurt Auschwitz Trial the witness Alexander Lebedev, who had been deported to Auschwitz on January 16, 1943, and who had received the ID 88349, reported that he had heard from two witnesses, a Polish officer named Rasiński and a German “professor of medicine,” that the gassing took place on September 13, 1941. Asked by the Presiding Judge regarding the date, Lebedev pointed out that two versions existed in this respect, the 3rd and the 13th of September, but that he had heard the second version. The witness Czesław Głowacki did however place the alleged event “in early 1942.”

The date of the first homicidal gassing is therefore absolutely undetermined and, in the absence of any archival documents, undeterminable. It varies within a range of at least six months, between spring or summer of 1941 and January of 1942.

Among the detainees, there is not even agreement on the time of day for the gassing. While many witnesses place it after the evening roll call and curfew for the block itself or the camp in general, Michael Kula, from whom Danuta Czech has taken the gist of her account mentioned in the introduction, asserts that the gassing was done during the day while the detainees had stretched themselves comfortably out in the sun.

3. The Preparations for the First Gassing

Some witnesses report that prior to the alleged gassing, building work went on in Block 11. Building materials were brought in from the Industriehof to wall up the windows of the block (Marian Zawodny). For this purpose, sand, lime, and cement were dumped in front of Block 11 (Edward Sobczyk). Other

witnesses say only one truckload of earth was unloaded and the windows of the basement were not walled up, only covered with earth (Jan Wolny; Tadeusz Kopyt; Michael Kula), and that this happened before the Russian prisoners of war were moved into the basement. Another witness (Edward Liszka) says it happened after they had been shut in, and it was sand, not earth, that was used. Witness Walter Petzold asserts instead that the windows were covered “mit Holzverschlägen” (with wooden shutters) before the transport of victims arrived at Block 11.

4. The Victims of the First Gassing

The report from the Resistance of October 24, 1941, does not mention that some of the victims were sick camp inmates, but that the victims were 850 Russian prisoners of war. In the report of December 15, 1941, there were 500 victims, all prisoners of war. Rudolf Höss does not speak about sick inmates either and relates only that Fritzsch “stuffed the various cells located in the cellar full with Russians.” Similarly, Pery Broad and Maximilian Grabner speak only of Russian prisoners of war. The testimonies do not allow us to decide the nature of those prisoners, because they were either: “officers” (Eugeniusz Motz, Feliks Mylyk, Leon Czekalski), “culture officers” (Jiří Beranowský), “officers and noncoms” (report of October 24, 1941), “probably… partisans” (Maximilian Grabner), “only officers” (Feliks Mylyk, Ludwik Banch), “political commissars” (Michał Kula, Michael Kruczek), “Soviet commissars” (Roman Taul), or simple soldiers (“Almost all of the Soviet prisoners were very young, not older than 18, and without military ranks.” Kazimierz Halgas). For witness Henry Storch, on the other hand, they were “Polish POWs.”

Other accounts agree on the fact that the victims were both Russian prisoners of war and sick inmates from the camp, but disagree on the respective numbers and total, and they are at variance with the testimonies that mention only Soviet POWs, as shown by the Table 2 (p. 78).

Maximilian Grabner speaks of two gassings, each involving 1,000 Russians, whereas for Pery Broad the victims of the first gassing were not more than 40, because it took place in a single cell similar to one in which 40 Russians had died from suffocation for lack of air – thus, that was the greatest number of people who could be pressed into one cell. Hans Aumeier, on the other hand, mentions 50-80 Jewish inmates. Finally, according to the report of July 2nd, 1942, the victims were exclusively sick inmates, 1,700 to be exact.

The testimonies which include sick inmates among the victims are moreover in disagreement on the subject of which SS doctor ordered the selection in the hospital blocks for the gassing. For Roman Taul it was Dr. Schwela, but for Josef Vacek it was Dr. Jungen, whereas Zenon Rozanski and Wiesław
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Kielar saw Dr. Entress, who, it must be remembered, was not yet at Auschwitz at the time in question.

Finally, there is also disagreement among the witnesses with respect to the transportation of the alleged victims to Block 11. Wiesław Kielar declares that the sick were carried to Block 11 by the paramedics, but not into the basement – that job was carried out by the detainees from the penal company. Konrad Szweda, on the other hand, affirms that the paramedics carried the sick right into the cells in the basement.

The henchman of the gassing was Palitzsch, if we believe Zbigniew Tryczyński, whereas for Michał Kula it was Palitzsch and “Tom Mix,” or Palitzsch and “the strangler.” For Walter Petzold and Eugeniusz Motz, however, it was Breitwieser.

5. The Removal of the Gassed

5.1. Persons Who Did the Work

Two categories of witnesses claim to have done this work, each one excluding the other: the paramedics and the members of the penal company. In fact, the removal of the gassed corpses was carried out:

### Table 2: Claimed Victims of the First Gassing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Witness</th>
<th>POWs</th>
<th>Detainees</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Josef Vacek</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report of Oct. 24, 1941</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Polish Fortnightly Review</em></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan Krokowski</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Józef Koczorowski</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zygmunt Smużewski</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludwik Banach</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120 political detainees</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zenon Rozanski</td>
<td>1,473</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erwin Bartel</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazimierz Hałgas</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report of Nov. 15, 1941</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report of Nov. 17, 1941</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report of Dec. 15, 1942</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalia Zarembina</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zbigniew Baranowskij</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Petzold</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Petzold</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michał Kula</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michał Kula</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200 + ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugeniusz Motz</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100-150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>300-350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiesław Kielar</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>appr. 1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
by Josef Vacek, paramedic, “together with 30 paramedics,”
by Walter Petzold, paramedic, with a group of 30 paramedics,
by Bogdan Gliński, paramedic, with “more than 20” doctors and paramedics,
by Kazimierz Hałgas, paramedic, with “at least 80” paramedics,
by Ludwik Banach, detainee in the penal company, with “several tens of colleagues,”
by Zenon Rozanski, also a detainee of the penal company, with a group of “20 men.”

As far as the method of removal is concerned, the corpses were taken out one at a time by single detainees (witness Kielar) and at the same time passed from hand to hand in a sort of human “chain” (witness Rozanski).

5.2. Beginning of the Removal

The removal of the gassed corpses from the basement of Block 11 began
– “next day” according to the article in the *Polish Fortnightly Review,*
– “the following night” for Josef Vacek,
– “one to two days later” for Eugeniusz Motz,
– two days later for Wiesław Kielar and Czesław Głowacki,
– “three days later” for Bogdan Gliński,
– “three days later … in the middle of the night” for Wojciech Barcz,
– in the evening of the third day, after the evening roll call for Kazimierz Hałgas and Jan Wolny,
– “the fourth night” for Natalia Zarembina,
– the sixth day for Walter Petzold.

5.3. Duration of the Removal

The removal of the gassed corpses took:
– “all day” for the article in the *Polish Fortnightly Review,*
– “one night” according to Feliks Myłyk,
– “all of the next night” for Zygmunt Śmużewski,
– “until late into the night” according to Zenon Rozanski,
– “all night” for Tadeusz Kurant,
– “until 5 a.m. the next morning” Kazimierz Hałgas,
– “two nights” Władysław Tondos and Wiesław Kielar,
– “three nights through” for Josef Vacek,
– “several nights” for Natalia Zarembina.

5.4. Disposition of the Bodies Removed

Some witnesses declare that the corpses of the victims were undressed before being taken away. This happened in the yard outside Block 11 according
to Kazimierz Halgas and Władysław Tondos; or inside Block 11 in the ground-floor hall for Wiesław Kielar. But for Walter Petzold the bodies were not undressed at all (“But those clothes could not be removed from the corpses, because their flesh had become jelly-like and stuck to the clothing.”), whereas for Kurt Leischow the Russian prisoners had been gassed naked.

As far as the disposition of the bodies is concerned, most of the witnesses maintain that they were taken to the crematorium and cremated (Josef Vacek, Feliks Myłyk, Zygmunt Śmujewski, Ludwik Banach, Kazimierz Halgas, Władysław Tondos, Wiesław Kielar, Walter Petzold). Others say they were interred at Birkenau: for Michał Kula, they “were […] taken away towards Brzezinka [Birkenau] where they were buried”; also for Wojciech Barcz “they were moved out of the camp,” where they were buried in mass graves. Finally, Jan Wolny combines both versions: some of the corpses were taken to the crematorium and cremated, and the remainder were buried in Birkenau in a mass grave.

6. The Gassing

6.1. Location of the Gassing

Even among the testimonies that place the first homicidal gassing in the basement of Block 11, there is disagreement as to the rooms where the alleged crime was carried out. Pery Broad and Hans Stark affirm that the alleged gassing took place in a single cell; Josef Vacek and Michał Kula mentioned the “Gaskammer” of Block 11, which never existed. Some witnesses found the corpses only in the cells (Bogdan Gliński, Wojciech Barcz, Wiesław Kielar), whereas Zenon Rozanski saw so many corpses crowded into the basement corridor(!) that they had no room to collapse and remained standing on their feet. Similar to this, Kazimierz Halgas claimed to have seen both corpses and remainders of Zyklon B “in the corridors and the cellars.” Furthermore, the doors of the cells were locked and hermetically closed for the above witnesses and for Konrad Szweda, but according to Henry Storch the doors had been removed altogether.

6.2. Gassing Technique

Only three witnesses describe the technique used in the alleged gassing: Michał Kula, Wojciech Barcz, and Konrad Szweda. Before we look at these accounts, we must first stress some important points.

Witness Kula:

“Both the sick inmates and the Russian POWs were lodged in the Bunker of Block 11. The little windows of those Bunkers were covered with fine earth to make them air-tight. An SS-man – a Blockführer – whose name I
do not know but who was called ‘Tom Mix’ by the detainees, threw the gas into the Bunkers through the door to the corridor. After that, the door was closed.”

We will later consider the rest of the account.

Witness Barcz:

“The sight we faced when the doors were opened was something like opening a tightly packed suitcase. The corpses fell towards us. I think that there were perhaps 60 corpses in one of those small cells, packed so tightly, that even when they were dead, they could not collapse. One could see that they had tried to get near the ventilation trap through which, by the way, the gas had been thrown in.”

Witness Szweda:

“After one o’clock at night, when the last cell had been closed, the gassing started. Several SS-men, through small openings above the doors, dumped into each [cell the contents of] two cans which contained the gas in the form of small blue crystals. Then the openings were hermetically closed.”

Hence, Kula declared that the Zyklon B was thrown into the corridor of the basement from the entrance door (cf. photos 9-11). That makes sense only if the victims were in the corridor, which is indirectly confirmed by the witness when he says that “Palitzsch put on his gas mask, opened the door of the Bunkers and discovered that the people inside were still alive.” Actually, if the victims had been in the cells, Palitzsch would not have been able to find out anything by simply opening the door to the basement. We have already seen that this assertion is contradicted by witnesses Gliński, Barcz, Szweda, and Kielar, according to whom the victims were in the cells. Only witness Rozanski maintains that they were in the central corridor, piled up directly behind the access door to the basement.

Jean-Claude Pressac has this to say about the gassing technique which requires the introduction of Zyklon B “through the opening of the door prior to closing it.”

“It is frankly unrealistic to imagine an SS man with a gas mask on his face and a can of Zyklon B in his hand launching the contents of the can into the space of some thirty centimeters [one foot] between the heads of the crowded prisoners and the ceiling (because the toxic granules may well fall outside the room) and then trying to close the door on them without having this operation degenerate into a desperate revolt of the victims.”

This argument, which Jean-Claude Pressac presents with respect to an alleged homicidal gas chamber at the Majdanek camp, applies also to our

204 “przez małe otwory nad drzwiami”
205 The plural designates all the rooms in the basement together.
case. It is all the more applicable to our case, since the alleged victims were to a large extent Russian prisoners of war, unsubdued men who would not have let themselves be gassed like guinea-pigs without putting up a violent fight – which no witness has ever mentioned.

Instead, two witnesses declared that they saw the empty cans and inert residue of the Zyklon B on the floor of the basement corridor:

“On the floor there was something like broken lumps of sugar, also something green the shape and size of sweets.” (Ludwik Banach)

“In the corridors and the cellars, in which the corpses were lying, one could see granules spread around.” (Kazimierz Hałgas)

This means that, if the victims had been packed the way the witness Rozanski described, the gassing would actually have had to be done by launching the cans of Zyklon B over their heads.

Jean-Claude Pressac is perfectly accurate when he calls such a gassing technique decidedly “unrealistic.”

The witness Barcz asserts, on the other hand, that the victims were shut into the cells and the Zyklon B was thrown in through the “ventilation flap,” which is no doubt the “air hole” of the “dark cells,” such as the one in cell 20, which appears on drawing 4056 of June 26, 1944 (cf. document 7): an opening 10 cm × 10 cm in the outside wall, protected on the outside by a perforated sheet metal case bolted to a metal frame set in the wall, as shown in photos 30f. in the Appendix.

Under those conditions, a homicidal gassing would be somewhat problematic, to say the least. Once the sheet metal case had been dismantled, it would have been necessary to introduce the Zyklon B into a horizontal aperture having a square cross-section area of 10 cm × 10 cm (ca. 4" × 4") and a length of 65 centimeters (ca. 2 ft). Whichever way one looks at the implementation of this procedure, there is no way to keep the victims from plugging the small opening from the inside with pieces of clothing, thereby preventing the Zyklon B from reaching the cells. And besides, such a procedure for introducing the material would have been applicable, if at all, in only five cells – the dark cells – but not in the others. Then what technique might have been used for them?

Witness Petzold claims that the gassing was done by means of “specially placed window covers” and says later that “the lids … closed,” but mentions also that two days before the gassing the windows of the basement had been closed hermetically by “placing shutters on all windows.” It is clear that these non-existent “window lids” are only a literary device needed to render the description of the gassing more convincing.

All this does not even take into consideration that, according to other witnesses, the low-lying windows of the basement had previously been walled up or covered with earth.

207 The ceiling of the basement of Block 11 is 15 cm higher than that of the room mentioned by J.-C. Pressac, but this detail is irrelevant.
The witness Szweda asserts instead that the Zyklon B was fed into the cells through “small openings” or “little windows,” which were allegedly located above the doors of each cell. However, as shown by photographs 19 and 20 of the appendix, such windows did not exist. The cell doors had only a small inspection hole, some 5 cm (2”) in diameter, in the doors themselves and not “above” them, and it would have been impossible to use this hole for a gassing, to say nothing of an introduction of cans of Zyklon B.

Finally, the verdict of the Auschwitz Trial in Frankfurt asserts that Zyklon B was introduced “through holes,” without the least care to specify what they were and where they were located.

6.3. Duration of the Victims’ Agony

Witness Kula, after stating that the gas had been thrown into the basement of Block 11 during the evening of August 14, goes on:

“On August 15, at about 4 pm, Palitzsch walked across the roll-call yard directly to Block 11 with a gas mask. Because it was the Feast of the Assumption, we had the afternoon off and could thus observe the scene which I will now describe. Mietek Borek and Waclav Ruski, two assistants at Bunker 11, told me that Palitzsch put on his gas mask, opened the door of the Bunkers, and discovered that the people inside were still alive.

Actually, they moved around only on all fours and were very weak, but they were still alive. So, Tom Mix was called, and he threw in the contents of another can of gas. The Bunkers were reopened only in the evening of August 16, 1941. None of those who had entered were still alive.”

Hence, the victims were still alive at least 15 hours after the introduction of the Zyklon B.

Let us note that this version has received official consecration by having made its way – via Jan Sehn – into the Auschwitz Kalendarium.” It is, however, in blatant contradiction with the version of Rudolf Höss, who stated that the Zyklon B “caused the immediate death” when his deputy Fritzsch implemented the first homicidal gassing. Aside from any other considerations, we must remember that Rudolf Höss, as opposed to the witness Kula, knew the toxicology of hydrogen cyanide.

Actually, assuming the reality of a homicidal gassing, even a single can of Zyklon B – in the most unfavorable case a can of 100 grams – spread in the central and lateral corridors (a total of 341 m³ from which we must deduct the volume occupied by the 850 victims, i.e., some 64 m³, hence an effective volume of some 277 m³ of air), would have released within an hour or two a theoretical amount of gas yielding a concentration of 0.36 g/m³ or 0.36

---

208 The witness Szweda indicates that the gassing began at around 1 a.m.

209 Depending on the ambient temperature. I am not taking into consideration the losses by adsorption on the walls, which in this case are of no importance.
mg/liter. Such a concentration is considered to be immediately fatal.\textsuperscript{210} Hence, considering the slow release of the toxic gas from the carrier, the victims would have died within several tens of minutes at the latest rather than within hours.

One could of course claim that the evaporation of the poison from the carrier material possibly took several hours because of the conditions prevailing in the basement, primarily the low temperature, and that on account of this delay the victims might have still been alive after some 15 hours, as Kula asserts. But such an assumption is groundless. First of all, two witnesses assert that in spite of a ventilation over two days, “it was very hot” (Czesław Głowacki) and “down below the air was sticky and it was hot” (Wiesław Kielar).

Secondly, even if the temperature in the basement had been below zero centigrade (highly improbable, if not impossible, for Auschwitz in the middle of August or early September), this would not have adversely affected the vaporization of the hydrogen cyanide. From tests carried out in 1940-1941 on the disinfection of military barracks by means of Zyklon B – with temperatures between -4 and -8°C in the rooms to be disinfested – it was found that “in all cases, the essential part of the vaporization has taken place after one or two hours.”\textsuperscript{211} The evaporation time of about two hours for the release of the major part of the hydrogen cyanide from its inert carrier for temperatures between 15 and 28°C was confirmed by other German and Soviet experiments.\textsuperscript{212}

Hence, even under the most adverse climatic conditions, the victims would inevitably have died within a couple of hours at the latest, and the first ones to die would have been the ones nearest the door to the basement (through which the Zyklon B is said to have been dumped), \textit{i.e.}, the very people that Palitzsch is said to have found still alive after 15 hours of gassing.

The witness Szweda asserts that two cans of Zyklon B were dumped into each cell. Assuming an average volume of some 18.5 m\textsuperscript{3} for the cells and an average of 40 victims in each cell (occupying some 3 m\textsuperscript{3} of space), in the remaining 15.5 m\textsuperscript{3} of space, two cans containing 100 grams each would have yielded a maximum concentration of 13 g/m\textsuperscript{3} or 13 mg/l of air after an hour or two – a concentration 43 times as high as the immediately fatal concentration, and the death of the victims would have occurred within several tens of minutes at the latest.

However, according to SS-\textit{Unterscharführer} Arthur Breitwieser, who in his position as “\textit{Desinfektor}” carried out the disinfections, the cans of

\textsuperscript{210} Referring to the absolute concentration. The evaporation time of most of the hydrocyanic acid from its inert carrier material was, according to experimental data, about two hours.


\textsuperscript{212} Cf. my article, \textit{op. cit.} (note 43), p. 154.
Zyklon B then in use contained 1 kg of hydrogen cyanide, hence the above results may have to be multiplied by a factor of ten!

As far as the quantity of Zyklon B employed for the alleged homicidal gassing, it was 3 kg according to the witness Kula (two in the first phase and one more in the second phase of the gassing), 2 kg per cell (there were 28 cells in the basement) according to Szweda, or at least three to five cans according to Motz.

6.4. Test for Residual Gases

Witness Storch claimed to have checked whether any gas was left in the basement of Block 11 after the homicidal gassing and the ventilation, having been ordered to do so by Dr. Popiersch. The test method described by him is somewhat suicidal, to say the least:

“Dr. Popiersch said that it was a hydrogen cyanide preparation smelling of bitter almonds. I went down into the basement. [...] No smell was noticeable. All windows had been opened. My task was fulfilled.”

The whole matter took “a quarter of an hour at the outside.” Thus, the SS paramedic Henry Storch would have gone down into the basement of Block 11, obviously without a gas mask, in order to find out whether there was still a smell of bitter almonds?

As I have stressed in chapter I, because of the extreme toxicity of the substance, the use of hydrogen cyanide for disinfection was regulated by appropriate mandatory procedures meant to eliminate the risk of an accidental poisoning. They were known and applied by all disinfectors, including those at Auschwitz. According to these dispositions, when a disinfection with hydrogen cyanide had been carried out, it was necessary to ventilate the rooms for at least 20 hours, to take outside and beat all carpets, blankets, mattresses, etc., if living quarters had been treated, and finally, before the rooms could again be made accessible, to run a test for residual gas (Gasrestprobe). In a specific article, to which I refer the reader, I have described the relevant mandatory dispositions and procedures.

---


214 According to other witnesses, one could smell in the basement the stench of the decomposing bodies and the acrid odor of the chlorine spread on the floor (Kazimierz Halgas; Jan Wolny; Wiesław Kielar). The witness Gliński declared, moreover, that in the basement “there were still vapors of the gas.”

215 The smell of hydrogen cyanide is rather mild and cannot be detected at all by many people. It certainly would have been impossible to smell it in the presence of hundreds of corpses. Also, comparing the smell of HCN with the smell of bitter almond is misleading, as the most intense scents coming from bitter almonds are not caused by HCN, but by all kinds of other ingredients covering the smell of HCN. Editor’s remark.
Here, suffice it to say that the test for residual gas was carried out by means of a special apparatus called *Gasrestnachweisausrüstung* (residual gas detection equipment), contained in its integrated case, issued mandatorily to personnel authorized to carry out disinfections by means of hydrogen cyanide. The test included the use of a paper strip that took on a more or less intense blue color depending on the concentration of the toxic vapors in the air. This strip was then compared with a graded color scale of different shades of blue corresponding to the varying concentrations and the varying degrees of risk posed by the hydrogen cyanide vapors.

That was the normal chemical method used when testing for residual gas. ‘Going by the nose,’ as Henry Storch claimed to have done, was pure and suicidal folly.

Testimony as to the latter method is all the more outrageous as the witness Storch, in his capacity as SS paramedic responsible for the custody of Zyklon B in the hospital, could not possibly have been unaware of this fundamental safety procedure. Furthermore, if the gassing had been performed by the disinfector Arthur Breitwieser, as claimed by a number of witnesses, the ‘nose’ method applied by Henry Storch would appear even more absurd.

No witness mentions the test for residual gas, which was, at the time, both necessary and customary. The witnesses Gliński and Kielar claim to have entered the basement of Block 11 without a gas mask, accompanied by SS personnel likewise unprotected by a mask.

Neither does any witness mention another normal and necessary operation: the removal of the spent gypsum carrier of the Zyklon B. The witnesses Banach and Halgas declare, rather, that Zyklon B residues and empty cans were still on the floor of the corridors after the ventilation of the basement.

### 6.5. Have the Witnesses Ever Seen HCN Victims?

The witnesses who described the aspect of the corpses of the alleged gassing victims quite uniformly agree on the fact that the bodies “were “greenish” (Kula), “bluish” (Banach), “green” (Halgas), “blue” (Wolny), “bluish” (Kuranst), “blue, almost purple-black” (Kielar), “bluish” (Weber), “bluish” (Gemański), “blue-black” (Petzold), “bluish” (Broad), whereas Natalia Zarembina speaks of a “ghostly pallor.”

In the book by Ferdinand Flury and Franz Zernik mentioned in chapter I, one can read this:216

> “In the case of hydrogen cyanide poisoning, the venous blood takes on a bright red color; this is due to the fact that the oxygen transported by the arterial blood is no longer absorbed by the tissue, causing the blood to be returned into the veins in its arterial state.”

---

The light red color of the death spots, which is frequently observed after a hydrogen cyanide poisoning, is reported to be explainable as a post-mortal oxidation of the blood caused by a diffusion of oxygen."

The consequence of a hydrogen cyanide poisoning is thus “a reddening of the skin.”

The answer to the question raised above is therefore categorical: the witnesses mentioned never did see corpses of people who had died from hydrogen cyanide poisoning. Their description is obviously derived from an elementary reasoning based on the German term Blausäure (blue acid), the German term for hydrogen cyanide: if the acid is “blue” (which it isn’t), then the corpses of any persons gassed must be “blue” as well.

For the same reason, Zyklon B is called “Blaugas” by the witness Petzold, and made up of “blue crystals” by the witness Szweda. It is well known that Blausäure is so designated “because it was originally produced from Berlin Blue.”

Similarly, hydrogen cyanide cannot cause the skin of the victims to peel (Michał Kula), shreds of the corpses to stick to one’s hands (Czesław Głowacki), the lungs of the victims to burst, or their flesh to turn into jelly (Walter Petzold).

7. Conclusion: Critical Assessment of the Testimonies

The testimonies of the former detainees and SS personnel examined in this chapter are in total mutual contradiction on all essential points, i.e.:
– on the location of the first gassing,
– on the duration of the first gassing,
– on the date of the first gassing,
– on the hour of the first gassing,
– on the preparations for the first gassing,
– on the physician present at the first gassing,
– on the perpetrator of the first gassing,
– on the nature of the victims of the first gassing,
– on the number of the victims of the first gassing,
– on the persons who removed the corpses,
– on the beginning of the removal of the corpses,
– on the duration of the removal of the corpses,
– on the disposition of the corpses removed,
– on the technique of the gassing,
– on the duration of the agony of the victims,

218 = Prussian or Iron Blue, a compound mainly consisting of cyanide and iron; Otto Lenz, Ludwig Gaßner, op. cit. (note 111), p. 15.
– on the number of Zyklon B cans used for the gassing.
Regarding the only point on which they universally agree, the skin color of those allegedly gassed, they are in error, demonstrating that the witnesses never saw the body of a person who died from hydrogen cyanide poisoning.
The technique of the gassing as described by the witnesses is moreover practically impossible to implement, and the result of such a gassing (the victims survived for 15 hours) is physiologically impossible.

8. The Value of the Testimonies

In the field of historiographic method it is generally recognized that a direct testimony may be in error and may contain deviations from the truth. As we can read in a manual on historiographic method, leaving aside intentional changes,219

“we must take into account involuntary changes, real and true ‘errors’ on the part of the person speaking due to insufficient preparation, deficient information, a weakening of the memory, an interpretation of the events in the light of later events, the interpretation of the present in the light of a future hoped for but which was not to be, etc.”

Furthermore

“there are also cases in which he who hears or sees may misinterpret that which he hears or sees, because he does not know the exact terms of the question that is being discussed, because he is prejudiced, or has preconceived ideas etc.. He who sees may not remember correctly what he saw, he may have reconstructed the series of events in the particular perspective in which he was able to view them, drawing partly from his own direct experience and partly from what was related to him by others.”

Finally, we need to consider

“the involuntary errors made by the observer: errors due to a slackening of attention or inattention, to his being disturbed or excited, to the ingenuity of someone trying to piece together a coherent and logical account by making a ‘mosaic’ of contrasting testimonies.”

All this is perfectly understandable, even natural, in a way. One can also accept that direct testimonies may contain some contradictions on some point or points. But when we are facing contradictions on all essential points of the testimonies and a description of events that are manifestly impossible, as is the case here, may we really believe that the witnesses are acting in good faith?

Which honest judge – to invoke a legal comparison – would dare condemn a defendant on the basis of testimony of this kind?

As far as the testimonies of the SS personnel are concerned, we have already seen that those of Höss and Storch are decidedly unreliable. The other

219 Gina Fasoli-Paolo Prodi, Guida allo studio della storia medievale e moderna, Patron editore, Bologna 1983, pp. 120f.
witnesses cited are particularly important, because they served in the political department of Auschwitz, which was, no doubt, best informed about the events in the camp, not to mention Maximilian Grabner and Hans Stark, who are said to have participated personally in the first homicidal gassing (Zenon Rozanski; Erwin Bartel). These witnesses should have had a precise and detailed knowledge of the alleged event. However, their accounts are unbelievably terse and superficial, besides being in contradiction with each other and with the accounts of the former detainees. Nor may we assume they were reluctant or hostile witnesses, because their depositions, on the contrary, show an all too visible eagerness to please the inquirers by embracing their charges, even if this meant hurting their own case.

To what extent this is true is demonstrated by this surprising declaration on the part of Maximilian Grabner:220

“While I was head of the political department at Auschwitz, some 3 to 6 million persons were murdered in this or a similar way.”

Three to six million victims at Auschwitz for the mere period of May 1940 through September 1943!

As head of the political department at Auschwitz at the time of the alleged gassing (in which he is claimed to have personally participated), Maximilian Grabner is the witness who ought to be best informed on the alleged event – much better informed than the former detainees. Instead, he makes statements which are not only at variance with those of all other witnesses, but which are based explicitly on hearsay:

“In the winter of 1941-1942 two transports of allegedly Russian partisans are said to have arrived. […] The execution of this task was undertaken, also in secret, by those mentioned, and is said to have taken place in Block 11. On that occasion the first trial gassing is said to have been performed.”

The killing of the victims “allegedly” took place in Block 11. In other words, the testimony of Maximilian Grabner is based on mere rumors. He had no direct knowledge of the alleged event.

This is all the more true for Pery Brod, who arrived at Auschwitz in April of 1942. For that reason alone his testimony is based on hearsay.

Like Grabner, the witness Hans Stark, moved by the same desire to cooperate with his interrogators and by his acceptance of the general thesis of homicidal gassings, had no direct knowledge and relied on rumors that allegedly made the rounds among the SS personnel (“SS people in the camp related, however…” or “it was said…”), whereas, on account of his position in the political department of the camp and his alleged participation in the claimed gassing, he should have been the principal source of information. Instead, he knew so little about the alleged event that he was, in fact, not even mentioned at the Auschwitz trial.

221 i.e. by means of gassing.
The testimony of Karl Kahr is indirect, and there is no confirmation that his source, Alfred Kurske, did in fact receive information from SS-
Hauptsturmführer Fritzsch. This in itself is reason to doubt its reliability. This also reflects the political climate of the time: Karl Kahr exhibits the same zeal for pleasing his captors that we have noticed in the case of other SS witnesses and defendants.

As far as the specific content of the testimony is concerned, we note that Fritzsch may well have claimed to be the “inventor” of the alleged homicidal gas chambers (only in the sense that he performed the first gassing), but surely not that he had built that gas chamber himself.

Martin Broszat points out that Rudolf Höss had Schutzhaftlagerführer Fritzsch transferred “for incompetence”222 – a strange way of thanking the “inventor of the gas chamber.” Fritzsch was sent to the Flossenbürg camp in February of 1942. Rudolf Höss described him as being incapable of discipline and too fond of personal initiatives. The camp commander alerted the inspectorate of concentration camps to the behavior of his subordinate several times until Glücks finally decided to transfer Fritzsch.223

9. Danuta Czech’s Historiographic Method

The second German edition of the Auschwitz Kalendarium, as opposed to the first, has its source information printed in the margins. As far as the first homicidal gassing is concerned, the sources are as follows:

For September 3rd, 1941:

Höss trial; volume 2, p. 97 (witness Kula); volume 4, p. 21 (witness Kro-
kowski); volume 4, p. 34 (witness Kocзорowski); volume 4, p. 99 (witness Taul); volume 4, p. 128 (witness Myłyk); volume 54, p. 207 (witness Gliński); volume 78, p. 1 (witness Smużewski).

These references are accompanied by the general reference “Statements by former detainees.” The names are not given, but the references mentioned concern the witnesses whose names I have placed in parentheses. In Danuta Czech’s listing there is some imprecision due, no doubt, to an oversight. The last two references certainly concern the witnesses Gliński and Smużewski who, however, testified at the trial of the camp garrison and not at the Höss trial; also, Smużewski’s testimony is on pp. 12f., not on p. 1.

For September 4 (morning)

Höss trial; volume 2, p. 21, declaration of Jan Krokowski; volume 2, p. 97, declaration of Michał Kula.

---

223 AGK, NTN, 103, Höss trial, vol. 21, pp. 256-259.
The names are indicated by Danuta Czech. Jan Krokowski’s deposition is, however, in volume 4.

For September 4 (afternoon):
Höss trial, volume 2, p. 97: declaration of Michał Kula; Wiesław Kielar, Anus Mundi (Frankfurt/Main 1979), p. 92.

For September 4 (night)
Höss trial; volume 4, p. 21 (witness Krokowski); volume 54, p. 208 (witness Gliński); volume 55, p. 101 (witness Banach).

The names have not been indicated by Danuta Czech. Aside from the witness Gliński, witness Banach also testified at the trial of the camp garrison; the editor of the Kalendarium mentions instead the witness Kielar (op. cit., pp. 92-94).

For September 5:
Wiesław Kielar, op. cit., pp. 95-98.

Summarizing, we can say that Danuta Czech’s account is based on the following witnesses: Kula, Krokowski, Koczorowski, Taul, Myłyk, Gliński, Smużewski, Banach, and Kielar.

In the book on historiographic method cited above, among the “involuntary alterations” of the truth we have “the ingenuity of someone trying to piece together a coherent and logical account by making a ‘mosaic’ of contrasting testimonies,” but there are also voluntary and intentional “alterations of the truth,” which consist in the compilation of a coherent and logical account starting from a ‘mosaic’ of contrasting testimonies. Danuta Czech’s account is a prime example of this kind of working method, as emerges from the following analysis of her employment of the sources:

– The date of the beginning of the first homicidal gassing (September 3rd, 1941) is derived by Danuta Czech from Banach’s testimony, according to which the removal of the corpses was done on September 5, 1941. But the witness Kula, who is Danuta Czech’s main source, says explicitly and with certainty that the date was August 14, 1941.

– The name of the SS surgeon who did the selection of patients was taken by Danuta Czech from Taul’s testimony, but the witness Kielar asserts that this physician was Dr. Entress who, in the first German edition of the Kalendarium, is listed as being one of the participants in the first homicidal gassing. In the meantime, Danuta Czech had learned that Dr. Entress was not yet at Auschwitz in September of 1941, in fact, under the date of December 11, 1941, we can read in her work:224

---

“Camp surgeon SS-Untersturmführer Friedrich Entress arrives from concentration camp Groß-Rosen and takes over the same function at Auschwitz.”

The choice of Dr. Schwela was thus mandatory.

Danuta Czech takes the number of sick detainees selected (250) from Kula’s testimony, that of the Russian POWs (600) from the testimonies of Krokowski, Koczorowski, Myłyk, and Gliński. However, the witness Krokowski claimed that 400 sick detainees were selected, the witness Smużewski provides a total of 980 victims, and the witness Banach speaks of 800 Russians, including 120 political detainees.

Danuta Czech writes that in the morning of the day after the day of the gassing (September 4), Palitzsch opened the doors “of the cells” and noted that “some” of the Russian prisoners of war were still alive. The source is the account given by Kula, who affirms, however, that this happened in the afternoon of the following day (“on August 15, around 4 p.m., Palitzsch, with a gas mask…”). He also stated that Palitzsch opened the door of the Bunker, i.e. the door of the basement and not of the cells, and noted that “the people” inside – all of them, obviously, not just some – were still alive.

Danuta Czech asserts, moreover, that during the night of September 4, i.e. again the day following the day of the gassing, Palitzsch assembled “20 detainees from the penal company of Block 5a, as well as all paramedics from the [inmate] sick bay” and two other detainees who started straight away to remove the corpses. But according to Kula, the basement of Block 11 was reopened in the evening of August 16, i.e. two days after the day of the gassing. Witness Kielar as well asserts that the removal of the corpses began after two days, in the evening of the second day, to be exact, whereas the witness Gliński stated that it began after three days.

This same witness asserts moreover that this operation was carried out by 20 physicians and paramedics whom Danuta Czech transforms into “20 detainees from the penal company of Block 5a,” whereas witness Banach declared that it was performed by “several tens” of detainees from the penal company. Witness Gliński, who was a paramedic, asserts that the operation was accomplished only by physicians and paramedics, whereas the witness Banach, who was part of the penal company, declared that only detainees from the penal company took part in it. Hence: paramedics or detainees from the penal company. Danuta Czech resolves this elegantly by speaking of paramedics and detainees from the penal company.

Danuta Czech writes that the corpses of the gassed were taken to the crematorium and cremated, but witness Kula affirms that they were were “not cremated in the crematorium but taken away towards Brzezinka [Birkenau] where they were buried.”
Danuta Czech asserts finally that the removal of the corpses to the crematorium took two nights and ended during the night of September 5. But the witnesses Mylk and Smuzewski assert that this job was done in one night. Note that Rudolf Höss is not one of the witnesses cited by Danuta Czech. The reason is simple: his testimony, available to – and verifiable by – everyone, is too much in disagreement with Danuta Czech’s account, because he stated that Zyklon B provoked “the immediate death” of the victims.

10. Historical Reconstruction of the First Gassing

The compositions of Danuta Czech are only one example of a method that Robert Jan van Pelt has called “convergence of proof.” Concerning the first homicidal gassing, he himself has furnished a sample of this – albeit on a much more modest scale. After having cited the article of the Polish Fortnightly Review of July 1st, 1942, van Pelt comments:

“It is important to note that after the war various witnesses confirmed that in early September the Germans had used Block 11 in Auschwitz as an experimental gas chamber.”

But as proof of those “various witnesses” he comes up with only one, Wojciech Barcz. Two pages further on, he refers to the little book by Natalia Zarembina and concludes:

“As we know today, the account was correct: both Pery Broad and Rudolf Höss would later corroborate it.”

Let us note, first of all, that neither of the two sources confirms the dating asserted by van Pelt (“in early September”). Barcz mentions autumn of 1941 in a general way and Zarembina gives no date at all. The article mentioned speaks of 1,000 victims: 700 Bolsheviks and 300 Poles. Zarembina has 800 victims: 500 Bolsheviks and 300 Poles, whereas Barcz has no figures. The removal of the bodies, for the article mentioned, takes place the day after the gassing, for Barcz it is three days later, for Zarembina the fourth day. Barcz has the corpses buried in mass graves, Zarembina has them burned in the crematorium. According to Höss, the gassing cannot have taken place before November of 1941 and concerned only Soviet prisoners of war, whereas Broad tells of the gassing of Russian POWs in a single cell.

This, then, is what van Pelt’s “convergence of proof” reduces to, in the end.

The sources available allow us to recreate a painting that is somewhat different from the fantastic tableau painted by Danuta Czech and Robert Jan van Pelt, a painting that shows us clearly what that “convergence of proof” really is: a rigged-up method which consists of creating a coherent and logical ac-
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count on the basis of a “mosaic” of contradictory and contrasting testimonies on all important points. In fact, the “reconstruction” that can be made on the basis of the testimonies looks like this:

One day, some time between the spring of 1941 and November/December of 1942, at Auschwitz, in the old crematorium or in the basement of Block 11, or else at Birkenau, the first gassing of human beings was implemented. If giving exact dates, witnesses speak of either August 14 or 15, September 3-5, or 5-6, or 5-8, or October 9, 1941. The gassing was done after the evening roll call, during curfew, so that no one could see what was happening, or else in broad daylight before the eyes of the detainees who were enjoying a day off in the sun. The windows of the basement had been walled up or covered with earth or filled with sand or closed by wooden boards ahead of time.

In the half-underground basement of Block 11 either only Russian prisoners of war were locked in, they were only officers, or officers and noncoms, or simple soldiers, or partisans, or political commissars, or perhaps they were, in fact, not Russians but Poles, or they were Russian POWs and Polish detainees. The victims of the gassing numbered either 60, or 200, or 400, or 500, or 600, or 680, or 700, or 850, or 1,473 Russian POWs, plus 100-150, or 190, or 196, or 200, or 220, or 250, or 257, or 260, or 300, or 400, or 1,000 Polish detainees. What is certain, in any case, is that their total number was either 200, or 300, or 320, or 500, or 696, or 800, or 850, or 857, or 980, or 1,000, or 1,078, or 1,400, or 1,663.

The sick inmates had been selected in the hospital blocks either by Dr. Schwela, or Dr. Jungen, or possibly Dr. Entress. These sick patients were carried into the cells of Block 11 by paramedics or perhaps by members of the penal company. Palitzsch all by himself or together with “Tom Mix,” or with “the strangler,” or maybe Breitwieser by himself threw into the corridor or into the cells a total of three cans or perhaps two cans into each cell. The Zyklon B was introduced through the door or through the ventilation flap or through openings above the doors to the cells. Gassing was done either in the cells, or in a single cell, or in the corridor, or in the “gas chamber,” and the doors of the cells were either hermetically closed or had been removed.

The victims died immediately or perhaps stayed alive for 15 hours. The corpses were removed either the following day, or the following night, or 1-2 days later, or 3 days later, or on the 4th day, or the 6th day, either only by paramedics, 20 or 30 or 80 of them, to be exact, or perhaps only by 20 detainees from the penal company. The work took either a whole day, or a whole night, or 2 nights, or 3 nights. The corpses were undressed either in the corridor, or in Block 11, or in the yard outside, or not at all.

The bodies of the victims were either taken to the crematorium and cremated, or perhaps taken to Birkenau and buried in mass graves, or perhaps some cremated and the others buried.
Any “historical reconstruction” different from this can only be the result of a preparatory, completely arbitrary screening of the testimonies and of the statements by individual witnesses, hence a manipulation and deception.

The only reasonable conclusion one can draw from this inextricable mess of contradictions is the total historical and technical unreliability of the testimonies in respect to the alleged first homicidal gassing.

If there ever was one.
Chapter V: 
Sources Not Speaking of the First Gassing

1. Testimonies

The technical and historical unreliability of the sources concerning the first homicidal gassing at Auschwitz, which we have examined in the preceding chapters, has later been confirmed by other authoritative sources which entirely fail to mention any such an event. Some of these sources are of fundamental importance. This would be inexplicable if it were true, as Jerzy Brandhuber asserts, that

"the fact and the circumstances of the first test killings of human beings by means of gas were generally known among the detainees of the Auschwitz concentration camp."

One of the first detailed reports about Auschwitz is an article published on March 14, 1942 by the German-language New York newspaper *Neue Volkszeitung*, drawn up on the basis of the testimony given by a detainee released from Auschwitz in November of 1941. This report speaks of the brutality with which the SS treated the detainees, and describes the extremely harsh conditions in the camp. But there is no mention of the first gassing in it.

The first detailed description of the alleged Auschwitz gas chambers is contained in reports from five detainees who escaped from the camp. These were published anonymously by the “War Refugee Board” in November of 1944. The detainees in question were:
- Alfred Wetzler (registered on April 13, 1942, ID 29162) and Rudolf Vrba (registered under the name of Walter Rosenberg on June 29, 1942, ID 44070) – both escaped from Auschwitz on April 7, 1944;
- Czesław Mordowicz (registered on December 17, 1942, ID 84216) and Arnost Rosin (registered on April 17, 1942. ID 29858) – both escaped on May 27, 1944;

---


– and Jerzy Tabeau, writing as an unnamed “Polish major” (registered on March 26, 1942, under the name of Jerzy Wesołowski, ID 27273).

Though they are full of details regarding the most important events in the camp, none of these reports mentions the first gassing in Block 11. To be exact, they mention no gassings in the Bunker of Block 11, nor any in the old crematorium.

Of great significance in this respect is the testimony of the “Polish major,” whose identity was only revealed many years later. It narrates the story of Auschwitz from the very early days and describes in particular the events connected with Bunker 11. The text, with its subtitles, is as follows:231

“IV. Executions

From its beginnings until the summer of 1941, the Auschwitz camp was exclusively a concentration camp, never a place for executions. The first execution took place in the summer of 1941, quite unexpectedly. After the evening roll call, as far as I remember, 18 names were read out, all of them people from Krakow. These persons were led to the clothing depot, were given some old rags to wear (only shirts and trousers), taken to the gravel pit, and shot. Anyone still alive was finished off with a revolver. The other detainees had been prohibited from watching this execution. But it was handled in such a way and at such a location that, in fact, it could be seen from anywhere in the camp. After the execution, a detail of inmates were sent to bury the dead. This incident caused great desperation among all detainees who were now convinced that being sent to the camp was equivalent to being condemned to death for crimes against the Reich.

Ever since then executions took place at shorter or longer intervals; usually Tuesday and Friday were set aside as execution days. In time, a special place was set up for the executions. It was on the grounds of the same camp. The location for this was between Blocks 10 and 11.

The Preparations.

The executions now take place always in the morning and in the following way: immediately after the morning roll call, the block secretary reads out the numbers for the individual blocks from cards that he has received from the general administration. When the cards said: ‘Straight away after the morning roll call to the section leader,’ it was clear that those numbers would be shot. The block secretary, after reading out the numbers, collected the persons concerned and took them to the camp secretariat, where those from the other blocks arrived as well. They checked once again the numbers, the names, the dates of birth against the general list. Then those people were put together in groups of five by the camp elder and the block men (all of them detainees) and taken to the execution site at Block 11.

If the execution was to take place a few hours later, they would be locked in the Bunker there. If it was to be right away, they would be taken to the washroom. Here, they had to undress, their numbers were marked on their calves with a copying-pencil. After these preparations they were taken in groups of two to the black wall where the execution was done. This walk was handled by the block man of Block 11 or by the Bunker capo (a Jew).

The End.

The execution was done in the following way: the two condemned men were taken by their hands, led out from the block, and placed at either side of the wall. Initially, they had to kneel on one knee and lower their heads a little; later the execution was done with them standing. The henchman approached these people, put his revolver to their head at the place where the backbone meets the skull, and released the shot. The weapon used was an air rifle. After the shot, there was almost no sound. If death was not immediate, there was another shot when the man was lying on the ground. At these executions there were always some detainees present, from the ‘corpse carrier’ detail. After each of these double executions, they placed the bodies into a large box, which they piled up near the wall. The blood on the ground was covered with sand. After that had been done, the area was free to receive the following two. When the executions were over, the remains were transferred to the mortuary at Block 28 to stay there for the rest of the day. In the evening, the corpses were loaded on a large cart, together with other corpses of those who had died or had been slain in some other way during the day. The cart was pulled by the detainees to the crematorium. Later, the bodies were transferred immediately after the execution, if there were only a few of them, or a truck was used. During the transfer of the corpses, block curfew was ordered; the detainees were not allowed to leave the block until the curfew was lifted. In general, they [the SS] tried hard – but without any success – to shroud the executions in a veil of great secrecy.

That was the way it started, as mentioned, in the summer of 1941. Most executions occurred in 1942, though, once the penal company had been moved from Auschwitz to Birkenau, end of February or early May. They took the ‘Muselmen’ along with the transports at that time (a Muselman was someone all exhausted from hunger and hard physical work). Most of the healthy and strong ones came into the penal company. For crimes done while still free, they wore a red spot in front and back, and a black spot for crimes committed inside the camp. The company numbered some 500 men. Every few days, 10-15 of them were selected and shot. The others had to work hard and wait for their turn.
By now – say around mid-May – mass executions began at Auschwitz. Once, twice, or three times a week large groups of 40 to 60 persons were rounded up and shot. Unrest in the camp grew when the situation had not changed by mid-June. Once, when 120 persons were executed, the situation became so tense that a break-out loomed. The camp administration knew this perfectly well, for one day at roll call the detainees were informed that the executions had been stopped and the death penalty revoked. This explanation was received with distrust, but calmed the situation to some extent. There was indeed a break which, however, did not last longer than a month and a half or two months. Then executions were renewed, but in small groups and not very often. Then October 1942 came and brought the most extensive execution of Poles at Auschwitz; 247 persons from the districts of Lublin and Podhale were executed. The camp reacted in terror and apathy. That was the end of a series of executions of those who had been brought into the camp on the basis of death sentences. Sometimes it happened that somebody among those who were to be executed was sick or in the sick bay; then the execution was performed in the sick bay by injection. That is how the well-known artist Witold Zacharewicz was murdered. This is not to say, though, that after October of 1942 there were no more executions of people sent to Auschwitz with a death sentence. Only the method was changed. Earlier, all Aryan detainees who arrived were registered and given a number. Now, i.e. after October of 1942, they were split into two groups right away: one for those who were to come into the concentration camp and a second for those condemned to death. The latter no longer got a number but were moved straight away from the camp administration into the Bunker at Block 11, where the execution was carried out immediately or after a few days. The idea was to keep the executions secret (they were often performed late at night) so as not to cause unrest among the detainees by shooting ‘civilians.’ In the camp, ‘inmate’ meant a detainee with a number. ‘Civilian’ meant a person without a number, i.e. not a member of the camp. As long as the executions are restricted to civilians, the inmates are not really impressed. In spite of these various changes, the execution of inmates did not stop, only a different reason was found for doing the same thing.

Bunker

There is now a strict policy against so-called camp crimes, i.e. offenses against camp rules. Even the slightest thing might get people into the Bunker, with only little chance of ever coming out. The judges were the gentlemen from the political department (the camp Gestapo). Thus, these gentlemen were the masters of life and death for each inmate. That caused much spying in the camp. Even the mere suspicion of a political nature could be a reason for being locked up in the Bunker, or having contact
with civilians. Spreading political news, even commenting in a group on the OKW\textsuperscript{232} reports printed in the newspapers, some petty larceny, an attempt to escape, all that was reason enough for being locked up in the Bunker. The latter was always overcrowded. Afterwards, it had to be ‘cleaned,’ which went like this: The Gestapo head Grabner and all of his staff walked into the Bunker, usually after some merriment, hence not quite sober, going from cell to cell. As soon as the cell door was opened, each inmate in succession had to state his number, the reason why he was there, and how long he had already been there. It was luck for the inmate if the camp leader had with him the list of those sentenced to death. Often this was not the case. Then the life of the inmate depended not upon his sentence but upon the humor of the commander. If the impression was good, the inmate could stay and wait for another such visit. Many, though, opted voluntarily to be executed. In such a case they were beaten and ill-treated. After such a visit, 85-90\% of the Bunker inmates went to the wall and so there was room for others.”

In this context, the “Polish major” also mentions section leader Palitzsch, an alleged perpetrator of the first homicidal gassing according to others:\textsuperscript{233}

> “From the beginning, these executions have been performed by one person. Now it is Oberscharführer Palitzsch.”

Throughout 1941 and early 1942, the “Polish major” continues, there were no executions of Jews. They began only in the spring of 1942:\textsuperscript{234}

> “Only from the spring onwards, the rounding up and extermination of the Jews started because of their descent.”

This most important witness has a lot to say about the atrocities perpetrated in Block 11, but tells us nothing about the first homicidal gassing.

This alleged first gassing was unknown also to Stanisław Jankowski, who made a detailed deposition on April 13, 1945. This witness, who was deported to Auschwitz on March 27, 1942, spent several months in Block 11, making his ignorance of any massacre in the Bunker of that block all the more surprising. He declared:\textsuperscript{235}

> “I was housed in Block 11, in which all the Jews at Auschwitz – a total of 50 – had been brought together. There were also Aryans in this block. In the Bunkers of this block there was also the penal company. Furthermore, there were ‘free men’ in this block, i.e. people who were to be released and who were now undergoing a quarantine in the camp. I remember that when I was explained my work, which both Aryans and Jews were doing, the Oberscharführer, an SS man, turned to us Jews saying that this was the first time in the history of German National Socialism that Jews were working

\textsuperscript{232} Oberkommando der Wehrmacht = Supreme command of the Wehrmacht.

\textsuperscript{233} Ibid., p. 76.

\textsuperscript{234} Ibid., p. 66.

and being housed under one roof with Germans. In the yard in front of the block, there was a double gibbet and the famous ‘black wall’ where detainees were shot. Conditions at Auschwitz at the time were harsh, but when comparing them with those then prevailing at Birkenau, one is tempted to consider them something like living in a boarding school. I stayed in Block 11 until autumn of 1942, spending the first five weeks in the hospital, the so-called sick bay.”

Speaking of the beginning of the alleged gassings, he says only:

“I declare that at that time, late 1942, there were as yet no gas chambers at Auschwitz. The only gassing during that period, known to me, happened in November or December of 1942. At that time, more than three hundred and ninety persons, only Jews of different nationalities who were employed with the Birkenau Sonderkommando, were gassed. This gassing was implemented in the mortuary at that time. I heard from people working in the crematorium that even earlier some gassings had taken place in that mortuary and other rooms of the crematorium.”

The SS camp surgeon at Auschwitz, Friedrich Entress, who arrived at Auschwitz on December 11, 1941, asserted in his sworn statement of April 14, 1947, that “the first gassings” at Auschwitz-Birkenau began “in the summer of 1942.” He had no knowledge of the first gassing in Block 11 nor of later gassings in Crematorium I at Auschwitz.

The first homicidal gassing at Auschwitz is noted neither in the report of the Soviet investigating commission published in Pravda on May 7, 1945, which mentions only the fate of Soviet prisoners, nor in the report on German crimes in Poland compiled by the Poles for the Nuremberg Trial, which mentions only less serious crimes, such as the execution of 189 detainees on May 28, 1941.

The lack of historical reliability of the sources regarding the first homicidal gassing in the Bunker of Block 11 at Auschwitz is further confirmed by the sworn testimony of an eyewitness, who is fundamental both because of his function in the Auschwitz camp in the latter half of 1941 and because of the authority and prestige he later enjoyed in his position as director of the Auschwitz Museum: Kazimierz Smoleń.

---
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He was deported to Auschwitz on July 6, 1940, and in July of 1941 became “Schreiber” (secretary) in the political department, i.e. in the Gestapo office of the camp. In that position he was one of the detainees best informed about what was happening at Auschwitz. Here is what he asserted in a sworn statement given at Krakow on December 15, 1947, specifically on the fate of the Soviet prisoners of war:243

“In early October of 1941 the first transports of Russians came to Auschwitz. As I was already working as Schreiber in the political department, together with my comrades, I had to register the new arrivals. Within a week, 10,000 Russian POWs arrived from Stalag VIIIB/Lamsdorf and from another Stalag, the number of which I have forgotten, Neuhammer-upon-Queis.

The POWs arrived in the camp in a terrible state; they were starving, full of lice, and had to undress outside the camp. Even though it was already very cold, they had to go into a cold disinfection bath and, naked and wet as they were, they were driven into the camp.

In the Auschwitz camp, 9 blocks were separated from the rest of the camp by an electrically charged fence, and the gate had the inscription ‘POW camp – work camp.’ Thus, the Russian POW camp consisted of the following blocks: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24. The residential Blocks 3, 23, and 24 had [a ground floor and] an upper floor; these were called 3a, 23a, and 24a.

SS-Oberscharführer Hans Stark was in charge of registration of the POWs, and I, in my capacity as detainee Secretary, participated in it, together with several companions.”

Having minutely described the intricacies of the registration, Smoleń goes on to say:

“Registration of the 10,000 POWs took some 3 weeks. During that time, 1,500 of them died and we sent their green cards and ID tags to Berlin.

In November of 1941, a special Gestapo commission from the Kattowitz office, headed by Dr. Mildner, came to the camp. This commission consisted of Dr. Mildner and three persons from the SD [Sicherheitsdienst] who spoke Russian. The three men from the SD were given detainees from the camp as interpreters, and I and another comrade were assigned to the special commission by the political department. Thus, I was able to observe all the work of this special commission.”

Smoleń relates that this special commission had the task of interrogating each one of the Russian POWs and classifying them into three groups:

A. “politically unacceptable,” a group that included the category “fanatical communist”
B. “politically trustworthy”

243 Sworn statement of Kazimierz Smoleń before Kurt L. Ponger, USA civilian, Interrogator Evidence Division, Office of the Chief of Counsel for War Crimes, Krakow, December 15, 1947. NO-5849.
C. “suitable for reconstruction”
The witness continued:

“300 of the POWs were selected for being commissars and particularly important activists, and received the remark ‘fanatical communist.’ These prisoners were immediately taken away from the interrogation room to Block 24a that had been arranged as a Bunker. There, they were received by Oberscharführer Stark who took their old POW tag and replaced it with a new number, running from Au1 through Au300. These ‘Au’ numbers were tattooed on the left side of their chests and they were held in complete isolation from the other prisoners of the Russian camp.

The activity of the special commission came to an end after a month; as far as I can remember, the distribution of the prisoners into the various categories was approximately as follows:

Group AU: 300 prisoners
Cat. A: 700
Cat. B: 8,000
Cat. C: 30

From my work in the political department I know that the 300 prisoners marked ‘Au’ were executed in smaller groups.

The conditions in the Russian camp were so bad that on average 250 prisoners died every day. Until February of 1942, some 8,000 had died or had been executed. The 1,500 remaining were moved to the Birkenau camp.

Thus, at Birkenau, there was a Russian camp that was occasionally added to by transports which, however, never contained more than 2,000 prisoners, By the middle of 1942, all but 150 of them had died or been executed.”

At the end of his sworn declaration, Kazimierz Smoleń summarizes the crimes perpetrated by the SS against the Russian prisoners of war at Auschwitz:

“Summarizing, I wish to say: Living conditions in the Russian POW camp at Auschwitz were considerably worse than in the concentration camp. The Russian POWs were given less and worse food, mainly less bread, were not allowed to write, and were prohibited from using the washrooms. It is obvious that the camp died out within a couple of months. Added to this, there were frequent selections with those unable to work being executed by the hundreds. Both the detainees marked ‘Au’ and the others to be executed were shot in the back of the neck or gassed in Block 11.”

This is the only time Kazimierz Smoleń mentions gassings in Block 11, very vague and unspecific, clearly betraying its foundation in hearsay.

Two items in the testimony of Kazimierz Smoleń irrefutably prove the historical unreliability of the account of the first homicidal gassing given by Danuta Czech in the Auschwitz Kalendarium.
First, if the gassing had really occurred, Kazimierz Smoleń, in view of the position he held in the political department at Auschwitz at the time, and especially on account of his having been assigned to Mildner’s special commission, could not have remained in the dark about it. On the contrary, he would have spoken about it with a wealth of details, as he did when he devoted an entire page of his sworn statement – rendered with the specific aim of denouncing the crimes perpetrated by the SS against the Russian POWs – to a somewhat irrelevant topic such as the process of registering the prisoners.

This witness’s ignorance appears even more incredible, if one considers that, according to judge Jan Sehn, the first gassing was allegedly carried out in accordance with the very decisions arrived at by Mildner’s special commission:

“The first transport of Soviet POWs arrived on October 7, 1941. [...] In November 1941, a special commission, comprising three Gestapo officials led by Dr. Rudolf Mildner, chief of the Katowice Gestapo, arrived in Oświęcim from Katowice. This commission examined the POWs and, in accordance with the order of the RSHA chief dated July 17, 1941, divided them into four groups. For this process, it made use of secret lists containing information about Soviet political and official leaders. The commission decided categorically about the groupings, inclusion in the first two groups amounting to a death sentence. The entire first group – some 300 POWs – was shot in gravel pits or in the yard of Block 11. The execution order was issued by SS-Obersturmführer Seidler, then second Lagerführer.

On the initiative of the first Lagerführer, SS-Hauptsturmführer Karl Fritzsch, the POWs in the second group (some 900), together with those chosen from later drafts, were massacred [sic] by means of cyclon B. Fritzsch packed the men into the cellars of Block 11, put on a gasmask, and discharged the poison among them.

The whole block had to be aired for two days after this gassing.”

We must remember that Jan Sehn is the judge who questioned the witnesses, from whose statements Danuta Czech drew her account of the first homicidal gassing at Auschwitz. The fact that at the end of 1947 Kazimierz Smoleń did not know anything about this alleged event can only be explained if this event never occurred.

When questioned by me on this point, Kazimierz Smoleń declared through a spokesman:

“As far as the testimony given for the [American] Nuremberg trial by the former concentration camp detainee Kazimierz Smoleń – as you certainly know – it responded to the specific questions put to him by the tribu-

---

nal, therefore he could not relate exhaustively and in detail all the events which he had witnessed."

This justification is not acceptable. Actually, in the sworn statement mentioned, Kazimierz Smoleń did not answer “specific questions” but freely told the story of the Russian prisoners of war at Auschwitz in the years 1941-1942, stressing the crimes committed by the SS against them. The excuse that he had not described the first homicidal gassing because he had not been specifically questioned about it is therefore unfounded. This is confirmed by his vague remark about the prisoners having been “gassed in Block 11.”

Secondly, as “the first Russian transports” arrived at Auschwitz “in early October 1941,” according to his own statement, which is confirmed by Jan Sehn and by existing documents in the archives (see next section), it is not possible that 600 Russian prisoners were gassed there on September 3, 1941.

Furthermore, because, according to judge Jan Sehn, the first gassing was of people sentenced to death by Mildner’s special commission that arrived at Auschwitz “in November of 1941” and finished its work “a month later,” this first gassing could not, in any case, have taken place before December.

Finally, because the number of Soviet prisoners of war selected by this commission and assigned by December to the category “Au,” sentenced to death, was 300, it is all the more impossible that 600 of them were gassed on September 3, 1941.

Kazimierz Smoleń had already been questioned by Jan Sehn on December 10, 1946 in the preparation for the Höss trial. At that time, he described the fate of the Soviet prisoners of war in a very succinct manner, as in the sworn statement we examined earlier, but he attributes to the group “Au” a total of 1,000 prisoners instead of 300, asserting:246

“Some 1,000 were in this first group, and the whole group, diminishing day after day, was shot or gassed in Block 11.”

On July 30, 1947, Kazimierz Smoleń was questioned in preparation for the trial against the camp garrison at Krakow. He wrote a declaration of six pages on the crimes committed by the political department of Auschwitz, in particular those of Maximilian Grabner. He mentions briefly the “gassing of the detainees in the crematorium,” but does not dwell on the first gassing in Block 11.247

During the 49th session of the Frankfurt Auschwitz Trial, Smoleń, who at that time was the director of the Auschwitz Museum, stated:248

“In the fall of 1941 the Russian prisoners of war arrived. They were in a terrible state. We made cards for them. We made cards of different colors for them. We also assigned numbers to them. The numbers began with 1.

They were tattooed on the chests of the prisoners of war. Then came a commission of the Gestapo office in Katowice. It consisted of four members. They performed selections among the prisoners of war. The prisoners of war were interrogated by this committee and were also beaten. Then they were divided into four groups: a) fanatical communists; b) politically suspect; c) not politically suspect; d) suitable for reconstruction. Group a) contained about 300 people. Group b) consisted of about 600-800 persons. The largest group was that of the politically unsuspicious, and the last group d) was very small, numbering only 20-30 prisoners of war. The 300 fanatical communists were housed mainly in Block 24. Then they were led naked or only in their shirt to be shot in Block 11. I do not know if Stark has taken part in these shootings.

I do not know whether Stark participated in gassings. But about three million people were gassed. This figure covers the period until late 1943/early 1944. The Hungarian transports are not included in this figure.”

This confirms that he knew nothing of the first gassing, while his final sentence explicitly recalled the fable of the four million Soviet victims.

One of the “comrades” mentioned by Kazimierz Smolen, who had worked with him in the political department of the camp, was Erwin Bartel. This witness, whom we already met in chapter III, was questioned by Jan Sehn on August 27, 1947, in connection with the preparation for the trial of the camp garrison. Bartel relates all that he knew about Maximilan Grabner’s crimes, often mentioning Block 11:249

“Within a few days, towards the middle of October of 1941, a transport of Russian prisoners of war arrived at the camp, numbering over ten thousand. During the month of November of 1941, some 200 of them, labeled by the Germans as ‘politruks,’ were selected by the political department on the basis of documents possessed by the political department and were all tattooed with the letters ‘Au’ followed by a number from 1 to about 200. All those who had been so designated were locked up in a separate cell in Block 24. They died, together with the greater part of the Russians. In February of 1942, hardly 300 survived from the entire transport. […]

The head of the political department, up to the autumn of 1943, was SS-Untersturmführer Grabner. In the police, he had held the rank of Kriminalsekretär and later Oberkriminalsekretär. He was a member of the Sicherheitsdienst and had the letters SD on the sleeve of his uniform. In my presence in our office in the block leaders’ room he very calmly signed a letter, which Stark had coolly given to me and on the basis of which several detainees were shot in Block 11. In the spring of 1942, Stark and Palitsch [sic] carried out an execution by shooting in the yard of Block 11 and mistakenly, because of a similar name, shot a detainee who was not meant to

---

be executed. When they came back from Block 11, Stark was mad and attacked Palitsch, then he came to an agreement with Grabner, who ordered the execution of the prisoner sentenced to death, which Stark then carried out in Block 11. Grabner participated in the shooting of detainees in the gravel pit or in Block 11.”

Just like his colleague Kazimierz Smoleń, Erwin Bartel did not know anything about the first homicidal gassing in Block 11 either, and his declaration of 1959 was obviously a lie created specifically for the Frankfurt Auschwitz trial.

Kazimierz Smoleń’s ignorance in this matter is not surprising. It fully reflects the ignorance of all of his inmate colleagues, such as Erwin Bartel, as well as that of his superiors in the Auschwitz political department, the best-informed unit in the camp.

We have already seen that the staff of this office was unable to provide anything more substantial than conflicting and unverifiable rumors, in particular Maximilian Grabner and Hans Stark, who were Kazimierz Smoleń’s direct superiors and who had personally participated in the alleged gassing, as some witnesses claimed. They had no direct knowledge of this gassing, and that would be unexplainable if this gassing had actually occurred.

Another eminent witness who did not know anything about the first gassing was Hermann Langbein, who later became one of the most famous “historians” on Auschwitz. On August 8, 1945, Langbein wrote an account in Vienna accusing Maximilian Grabner, who was still in Austria at the time and had not yet been extradited to Poland. Langbein, who was interned at Auschwitz from August 21, 1941, until August 25, 1944, (ID 60355) made a declaration of more than 150 lines of text accusing Grabner, but did not have a single word to say about the first homicidal gassing, which Grabner is claimed to have organized. Instead, Langbein stated the following:250

“Of course, Grabner was present when the transports that came to Auschwitz underwent mass gassings. In the course of these transports, some 5,000,000 people were gassed. Grabner was present as well at the mass liquidations of Russians (1,200,000251 were murdered in the first winter alone).”

An auspicious beginning for a future historian on Auschwitz!

2. Documents

In the first German edition of the Auschwitz Kalendarium, the arrival of the first transport of Soviet prisoners of war at the camp is entered under the

250 Deposition by Hermann Langbein, Vienna, August 8, 1945. GARF, 7021-108-34, p. 22.
251 In the transcription attached to the documents of the camp garrison trial, this figure reads “12,000.” APMO, Dpr.-/ZOd/78, trial of the camp garrison, vol. 53, p. 36.
month of July 1941 (the day of the month is not indicated) in the following terms:252

“Several hundred Soviet prisoners of war were brought into the camp and housed in Block 11. These prisoners work in the gravel pit (moving out sand). Within a few days, the whole group was murdered while they were working by being shot with a short barrel, small caliber weapon or with shovels and hoes.”

The historicity of this event is not based on any documents, but only on testimonies, two to be exact, which Jerzy Brandhuber quotes as follows:253

“In accordance with a statement by the former detainee Ludwik R. (H.[öss] tr[jal], vol. 4, pp. 53-58) and Bogdan G. (trial of the members of the camp garrison at the Auschwitz camp..., vol. 54, p. 207)”

In the second German edition of the Auschwitz Kalendarium, Danuta Czech has the same references under July 18, 1941, but without the names of the witnesses:254

“Höss trial, vol. 4, pp. 53-58; Krakow Auschwitz trial, vol. 54, p. 207.”

The witnesses in question are Ludwik Rajewski and Bogdan Gliński. The first declared in this respect:255

“To the same fate, over 10,000 Russian prisoners of war were sent to the Auschwitz camp. They came to Auschwitz in the autumn of 1941 and were murdered there within the span of five months over the end of the year 1941 and early 1942. The first lot was murdered within three days in the gravel pit near the block leaders’ room of the main camp.”

The witness Gliński made the following declaration:256

“Not only I myself but other detainees as well remember well the following occurrence: a few weeks after the beginning of the German-Russian war the first large transport of Russian prisoners of war arrived at Auschwitz as a group of several hundred persons. These prisoners were housed in Block 11 and went to work every day, digging sand from a large pit – the Kiesgrube – which was located behind the camp kitchen, on the other side of the fence. Within a few consecutive days the entire group was killed in the most ferocious and shameful way.”

As the German attack on the Soviet Union took place on June 22, 1941, the chronological indication furnished by the witness (“a few weeks after”) certainly places the event in the month of July, but it is unclear how Danuta Czech was able to glean from this general statement that the exact date was

252 D. Czech, op. cit. (note 18), p. 106.
256 Deposition of Bogdan Gliński, September 19, 1947. APMO, Dpr.-ZOd/54b, trial of the camp garrison, vol. 54b, p. 212.
July 18, quite apart from the fact that the two statements are chronologically contradictory.

The truth regarding this event was revealed by another witness, Kazimierz Halgas: 257

“The July 3rd, also in the gravel pit, there was a major execution of some 70 detainees, mostly from Krakow, the last one for which an execution detail was used.

At that time there were as yet no Soviet prisoners of war in the Auschwitz camp. Reports about transports of Soviet POWs in July of 1941, claimed to have been integrated into the penal company (SK) and then killed without having been registered (numbered), cannot be proved in the light of the events at Auschwitz. Apparently we have here a confusion with the facts just mentioned.”

This confirms – if anyone still needed to be convinced – that historical veracity cannot be based solely on testimony, and no one can deny that, in the specific case of the first homicidal gassing, the testimonies absolutely do not demonstrate the historicity of the alleged event.

Hence, one cannot but examine the available documents.

At the National Museum of Auschwitz various documents relating to Soviet prisoners of war are being preserved. There are two that directly concern the topic of this chapter: the card file and the Totenbuch.

The card file contains 7,641 yellow cards, 14.7 by 10.5 cm, which run from “Gefangener. Nr. 2” (prisoner no. 2) through 9,997. The first 60 cards show the date October 6, 1941. 258

The Totenbuch (book of deaths) is a book of 470 pages; it contains the names of 8,320 Soviet prisoners who died within a span of 144 days. The first entry was made on October 7, 1941. 259

In the sworn statement already mentioned, Kazimierz Smoleń asserts that “in early October the first transports of Russians arrived at Auschwitz.” This agrees with the above documents, and it is otherwise evident that sending these transports to Auschwitz was ordered in conformity with the “directives for the treatment of Soviet prisoners of war in all POW camps” sent out on September 8, 1941, by the Wehrmacht Supreme Command. These dispositions specified that military and civilian Russians were to be identified in the POW camps and assigned to the following three groups:

a) politically undesirable
b) politically not dangerous
c) politically specially trustworthy

The decision as to the identity of the “politically undesirable” elements was made by the Einsatzgruppen of the Sicherheitspolizei and the SD. 260 These

dispositions were based on the directive of July 17, 1941 (*Einsatzbefehl Nr. 8*), concerning the installation of camps for prisoners of war of the Kommandos des Chefs der Sicherheitspolizei und des SD (Reinhardt Heydrich), to whom had been given authority over all detainees of the camp, including

“the selection and further treatment

a) of unacceptable elements among these [persons] for political, criminal, or other reasons, and

b) of those persons who can be used for the reconstruction of the occupied territories.”

Each week the heads of the Einsatzkommandos had to send a report to the Reichssicherheitshauptamt (RSHA), which then, on the basis of these reports, specified the measures to be adopted, one of them being execution.261

According to the dispositions cited, the Soviet POWs had to undergo a check to separate – and judge – the politically dangerous elements from those who might become collaborationists. If we follow Kazimierz Smoleń, the commission that came to Auschwitz in November of 1941 had exactly this task. This is accepted even by Danuta Czech, who writes in an entry for November 1941 (no date indicated), with specific reference to the statements by Kazimierz Smoleń:262

“A Gestapo special commission comes to concentration camp Auschwitz. It consists of three persons and is headed by Dr. Mildner, chief of the Katowice Gestapo. The commission, which acts in accordance with Einsatzbefehl No. 8 dated July 17, splits the Russian prisoners of war into the following groups

1) Fanatical communist: about 300 POWs
2) Group A: politically doubtful: about 700 POWs
3) Group B: politically trustworthy: 8,000 POWs
4) Group C: suitable for reconstruction: about 30 POWs

The group of so-called fanatical communists is marked with the letters ‘Au’ in the card file and the tattoo. The prisoners of this group are housed in the cellars of Block 24 and, together with those of Group A, the ‘politically doubtful’ cases, they are to be liquidated shortly. The commission is active for about a month.’

The Inspectorate of the Concentration Camps was also involved in the matter, being always on the lookout for manpower. On November 15, 1941, SS-Brigadeführer Richard Glücks sent a secret order to the concentration camps that specified the following:263

“The Reichsführer SS and Chief of the German Police has given his approval that execution will be deferred for those Russian POWs who,

---

261 PS-502.
263 GARF, 7021-108-47, p. 5 ; cf. document 11.
though sent to the concentration camps (commissars in particular) for exec-
ution, are in a physical shape such that they can be made to work in a 
quarry. For this measure [to be applied], approval from the Chief of the 
Security Police and the SD must be secured in advance.

Specifically, the following is ordered:

When execution transports arrive at the camps, the able-bodied Rus-
sians suitable for quarry work will be selected by the leader of the protec-
tive custody camp (E)\(^{264}\) and the camp surgeon. A list of names of the Rus-
sians thus selected is to be submitted in duplicate to this office.

The camp surgeon must certify that no medical objection exists against
the person concerned doing the work.

After approval by the Chief of the Security Police and the SD, transfer
of the Russian concerned into a quarry camp will be ordered by this of-

Two days later, on November 17, Maximilian Grabner, head of the politi-
cal department at Auschwitz sent the following letter to the leader of the
Auschwitz protective custody camp (E) Fritzsch:\(^{265}\)

"On the basis of the decree below, the names of the Russians exempted
from execution are to be made known to this office. We also ask you to in-
form us of those who fall under the order below, as lists of them have to be
sent to the IKL and the Chief of the Security Police. In this respect, coop-
eration with the camp surgeon of this camp is mandatory.

The persons concerned are the Russians listed in the annex\(^{266}\) and the
Russ[ian], prisoners of war selected by the Sonderkommando for execution
last week."

The “Sonderkommando” mentioned by Grabner was Mildner’s commis-
sion, which had drawn up a first list of Soviet POWs to be executed as early as
the second ten days of the month. It is therefore obvious that the first execu-
tions cannot have taken place before November 17.

On October 23, 1941, SS-Obersturmbannführer Arthur Liebehenschel,
representing the Inspekteur der Konzentrationslager (IKL, Inspector of the
Concentration Camps), sent a letter to all camps, including Auschwitz, on the
subject of “written notifications about Soviet-Russian POWs.”\(^{267}\) It specified
the procedures of registration and notification of death to be used for the Sovi-
et POWs, later described by Kazimierz Smoleń.

The documents available thus confirm that the first Soviet prisoners of war
reached Auschwitz on October 6, 1941, and the first mass executions of these
prisoners began more than a month later.

As far as detainees are concerned, there are three documents that directly
concern the subject of this study:

\(^{264}\) E = Erziehungshäftlinge, detainees in preventive custody for re-education.

\(^{265}\) GARF, 7021-108-47, p. 5a ; cf. document 12.

\(^{266}\) This document has not been found.

\(^{267}\) AGK, NTN, 119, pp. 60f.
1. the “Bunkerbuch” (Bunker register) for Block 11;
2. the register of the detainee sick bay in Block 28 – Block 20 of the old numbering system;
3. the “Sterbebuch” (register of deaths)

The “Bunkerbuch” does not provide us with any information regarding the alleged homicidal gassing of September 3-5, 1941. On September 5, three detainees are registered as having entered: Fritz Renner (ID 11179), Bruno Grosmann (ID 15083), and Roman Drost (ID 10992). They die on the same day (in the register of the Bunker, next to their names, there is the note “ver.”[storben] = deceased). On September 5, the civilian Ladislaw Maślak, who had entered the Bunker on August 10, is also entered as having died.268 The cause of death is not indicated for these four detainees. In his article on the first gassing at Auschwitz that we have cited a number of times, Stanislaw Klodziński states:269

“Dr. Tadeusz Iwaszko of the Auschwitz National Museum writes in a letter dated November 3, 1969, that in the register of Block 20 [according to the old numbering system], which has been preserved, there are significant entries for the period of the gassing. On September 5 and 10, 1941, a large number of detainees were struck out from the number of patients in Block 20. This raised the suspicion – in conformity also with the assertions of Dr. Kazimierz Halgas, Roman Taul, and the detainees employed in the central ‘writing room’ of the camp – that the detainees gassed were ‘struck out from the number’ of the patients in small groups over a certain period of time. This means that these detainees, in spite of having been gassed, ‘were held’ alive for a while longer in the sick bay and the camp register and that, little by little death notices were sent to the families on various dates.’”

The register of Block 28 does contain the names of 95 detainees “released to the camp” on September 5, 1941, of three released on September 6, of one released on September 7, and of 36 detainees released on September 10,270 a total of 135 detainees. If these detainees had really been gassed between September 3 and 4, 1941, their names would have to be contained in the register of deaths as having occurred on those dates.

The first of these registers, the “Sterbebuch” of 1941, volume one,271 contains the death certificates of 1,498 detainees numbered in sequence. Between September 1st and 10, we have 502 death certificates of detainees deceased between July 29 and September 8.272 For the first week of September we have 219 deceased detainees distributed in the following way:

---

269 S. Klodziński, op. cit. (note 5), pp. 92f.
272 The first certificate, dated August 4, refers to detainee Peter Pakosch who died on July 29,
Among these detainees we should be able to find the 135 detainees released from the sick bay at Block 28 and assumed gassed. If we compare the two registers, we find, however, that only 14\textsuperscript{273} of the 135 names of detainees released from the sick bay are in the “Sterbebuch,” see Table 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last name</th>
<th>First name</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Date of death</th>
<th>Block 28 no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matula</td>
<td>Eugeniusz</td>
<td>11865</td>
<td>6 September</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Najda</td>
<td>Stanisław</td>
<td>13866</td>
<td>6 September</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jop</td>
<td>Piotr</td>
<td>17651</td>
<td>6 September</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Przepiora</td>
<td>Kazimierz</td>
<td>18219</td>
<td>6 September</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawaszkiewicz</td>
<td>Zygmunt</td>
<td>13621</td>
<td>6 September</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiencek</td>
<td>Tadeusz</td>
<td>8213</td>
<td>6 September</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kucharski</td>
<td>Edward</td>
<td>17804</td>
<td>6 September</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciesielski</td>
<td>Kazimierz</td>
<td>2387</td>
<td>7 September</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turno</td>
<td>Edward</td>
<td>15807</td>
<td>7 September</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cebo</td>
<td>Antoni</td>
<td>10993</td>
<td>7 September</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miecznikowski</td>
<td>Piotr</td>
<td>11980</td>
<td>7 September</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janakiewcz</td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>12250</td>
<td>7 September</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byra</td>
<td>Antoni</td>
<td>14238</td>
<td>7 September</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korzeniewski</td>
<td>Stanisław</td>
<td>1933</td>
<td>7 September</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The suspicion raised by Stanisław Klodziński in his article just cited therefore does not appear to be justified. First of all, the comparison of the registers is necessarily based on names only, \textit{i.e.} there may be prisoners with identical names, because the Sterbebücher do not carry the ID numbers of the deceased detainees. The Leichenhallenbuch, instead, is based on ID numbers, which allows the detainees to be precisely identified. This does not, however, invalidate the procedure, because if the 135 detainees released from the sick bay be-

\textsuperscript{273} As opposed to the Italian edition, this list does not contain the name of Stanislaw Nowak (ID 12430, released from the hospital in Block 28 on September 10), to whom I had assigned the date of death of September 3, because in the existing Sterbebücher there are 12 persons by the name of Stanislaw Nowak. As only general data, without the ID number, are reported in these registers, it is impossible to ascertain which Stanislaw Nowak was detainee No. 12430.
between September 5 and 10 had been gassed in the beginning of that month, their names would have come up at least once in the Sterbebücher anyway.

Instead, among the 128 names of detainees who died between September 4 (the “certain” date of death of those gassed) and September 8, there are only 14 names identical to the names of those released from the sick bay, but – though it is highly likely – it is not certain that they are the same persons. Moreover, even if this can be demonstrated beyond doubt, there is no proof that the 14 detainees in question were killed – let alone gassed.

It is not possible to undertake a comparison between those released from the sick bay at Block 28 and the detainees deceased during the rest of the month, because Sterbebuch No. 1 ends on September 10, 1941, Sterbebuch No. 2 is lost, and Sterbebuch No. 3 starts on October 21, 1941 (death certificate No. 3001 for Jan Krasicki, who died on October 18). But the Leichenhallenbuch (register of the deceased in the mortuary of Block 28), which begins with October 7, 1941, makes up for the missing Sterbebuch No. 2 as far as the period October 7 through 17 is concerned. It thus documents in an irrefutable manner the death at much later dates of 13 detainees released between September 5 and 10 from the sick bay of Block 28, see Table 4.

Table 4: Dead inmates released from sick bay of Block 28 and registered in the Leichenhallenbuch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last name</th>
<th>First name</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Date of death</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Entry no.</th>
<th>Block 28 no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remiczewski</td>
<td>Edmund</td>
<td>12556</td>
<td>Oct. 9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owczarz</td>
<td>Taddäus</td>
<td>17017</td>
<td>Oct. 9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zukowski</td>
<td>Nikolaus</td>
<td>19669</td>
<td>Oct. 10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kowalczyk</td>
<td>Teofil</td>
<td>18964</td>
<td>Oct. 12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kozlowski</td>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>12298</td>
<td>Oct. 13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larsata</td>
<td>Stanisław</td>
<td>6434</td>
<td>Oct. 16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kowalcyj</td>
<td>Januarius</td>
<td>12367</td>
<td>Oct. 18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slericki</td>
<td>Ludwig</td>
<td>18618</td>
<td>Oct. 18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chajnacki</td>
<td>Andreas</td>
<td>18256</td>
<td>Oct. 20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marusek</td>
<td>Johann</td>
<td>19830</td>
<td>Oct. 23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pios</td>
<td>Ignatz</td>
<td>19830</td>
<td>Oct. 23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wierzlowski</td>
<td>Johann</td>
<td>14005</td>
<td>Oct. 25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruski</td>
<td>Gustav</td>
<td>17231</td>
<td>Oct. 27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At least five detainees with identical names are registered in the Bunkerbuch at later dates: Borkowski, Johann; Drozd, Stanislaus; Kluzniak, Kasimir; Masdorf, Johann; Rutkowski, Stefan. Moreover, in the surviving Sterbebücher we find the names of the detainees listed in Table 5:

276 The period of September 8 through October 6 remains unaccounted for.
277 Franciszek Brol et al., op. cit. (note 68), pp. 69-85.
Table 5: Dead inmates released from sick bay of Block 28 registered later in a Sterbebuch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last name</th>
<th>First name</th>
<th>Block 28 no.</th>
<th>Date of death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ciesielski</td>
<td>Marian</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>June 17, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dabala</td>
<td>Josef</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>March 4, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dzieciol</td>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>March 14, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heinrich</td>
<td>Aleksander</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>May 22, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jakubowski</td>
<td>Wiktor</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Feb. 22, 1943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janus</td>
<td>Ferdynand</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>April 15, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jedrzejczy</td>
<td>Stanislaw</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>May 26, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jelonek</td>
<td>Jakob</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Nov. 14, 1941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Stanislaw</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Nov. 19, 1941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kluzniak</td>
<td>Kazimierz</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>May 25, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krol</td>
<td>Mieczyslaw</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>April 22, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kozlowski</td>
<td>Piotr</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>March 18, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcynski</td>
<td>Mieczyslaw</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>March 15, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merker</td>
<td>Viktor</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>March 4, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosdorf</td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>Oct. 19, 1943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polak</td>
<td>Edmund</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>May 5, 1943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skrzetuski</td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>Nov. 3, 1941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoroniewicz</td>
<td>Michal</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>April 5, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stasiak</td>
<td>Stefan</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>March 7, 1943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Szewcyk</td>
<td>Josef</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>March 2, 1943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For detainee Mieczyslaw Krol, the date of birth gives certainty that he is the detainee of the same name released from the Block 28 sick bay.\(^{278}\) For the others, there is the same degree of probability as for the 14 detainees of the first list.

According to the witness Władysław Tondos, 180 sick detainees were selected in Block 28 at the beginning of September 1941 for gassing in the Bunker of Block 11,\(^{279}\) so the victims of the first homicidal gassing would have been the 182 detainees released between September 5 and 17, who would then have been maintained on the sick bay list for another 12 days in an effort to cover up their assassination.

However, out of the 47 detainees released from the Block 28 sick bay between September 11 and 17, seven died during the month of October, as evidenced by the Leichenhallenbuch, see Table 6 (p. 117).

In the Sterbebücher there are another three detainees with identical names who died in November of 1941 (Wieczorek, Stanislaus; Burel, Thaddeus; Jewtuck, Joseph) and another four who died between February and May of 1942 (Dakowicz, Anton; Kaczmarski, Stephan; Kepinski, Stanislaus; Koza, Kasimir). The extent to which this group was part of those allegedly gassed

---


\(^{279}\) S. Klodziński, op. cit. (note 5), p. 84.
can be judged by the fact that Bogdan Gliński (ID 11958), released from the sick bay of Block 28 on September 13, was one of the notional eyewitnesses of the first homicidal gassing!

In his deposition of September 19, 1947, Gliński states that he saw the arrival of the Russian POWs destined to be gassed from sick bay Block 21 (new numbering), which was close to Block 11. He asserted that he fell ill with pneumonia in June of 1941 and also had a boil on his right thigh. For that reason he was housed in the detainee sick bay in Blocks 28, 21, and 19, where he was cured by August 1941 and then worked in those blocks as a janitor. He contracted typhus in the winter.

However, he claims to have been in Block 21 “as a patient” in early September, but he was dismissed not from Block 21 but from Block 28, where he was housed as a patient. On the register of Block 28, there is no record of the billeting dates of the detainees. It appears certain, nonetheless, that in early September Bogdan Gliński was in Block 28, not in Block 21. If what he says were true, then sick as he was he would have miraculously escaped the September 3 selection for the gassing, but would have been recruited the next day (three days later according to his own chronology) to carry out the extremely strenuous work of removing the corpses of the gassed from the Bunker in Block 11 and taking them to the crematorium, after which he would be hospitalized again, this time in Block 28, to be finally released on September 13.

It is therefore clear why the witness did not mention in his deposition that he had been billeted in Block 28. Furthermore, according to witness Władysław Tondos, he would have been selected to be gassed along with the 47 detainees officially registered as released some time up to September 17 (allegedly with a post-dated entry). Bogdan Gliński’s testimony is therefore unreliable and shines a light on the value of testimonies of this type.

---

3. Chemical Considerations

In response to the *Leuchter Report*, the Auschwitz Museum had the “Instytut Eksperyzyz Sądowych Jan Sehn” (Jan Sehn Institute for Forensic Testing) at Krakow carry out chemical tests in 1990 on a number of masonry samples gathered from various points of the Auschwitz and Birkenau camps.

In the corresponding study, dated September 24, 1990, Jan Markiewicz, Wojciech Gubała, and Jerzy Łabędź analyzed “samples of wall plaster from Block 11 at Auschwitz,” which did not show any presence of cyanides.\(^{281}\)

The analysis for cyanides\(^{282}\) entrusted in 1994 to the same institute by the Auschwitz Museum concerned *i.a.* nine samples of plaster taken from the Bunker of Block 11 and yielded the following results:\(^{283}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number, taken from cells of Block 11</th>
<th>CN(^{-}) concentration [(\mu g/kg)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>28, 24, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>20, 16, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The scale is in micrograms, *i.e.* millionths of grams. Therefore, the highest value (sample 13) corresponds to 28 millionths of a gram per kilogram of substance.

Markiewicz, Gubała, and Łabędź took 9 more samples “from dwelling accommodations, which were probably fumigated with Zyklon B only once (in connection with typhoid epidemic in 1942),” the analysis of which showed no presence of cyanides.\(^{284}\) However, samples taken by Germar Rudolf\(^{285}\) in barracks 3, 13, and 20 of construction section 1a at Birkenau showed a maximum concentration of 2.7 milligrams (2,700 micrograms) per kilogram.


\(^{282}\) On contact with iron oxides contained in some building materials (mortar and brick), hydrogen cyanide (HCN) forms soluble as well as an insoluble cyanides –ferrocyanides and finally Iron Blue or Prussian Blue (Berlin Blue): \(\text{Fe}_4(\text{Fe(CN)}_6)_3\). The stability of this compound is basically equal to that of the material in which it forms (Germar Rudolf, *op. cit.* (note 55), pp. 151-189). Even today, at Birkenau, this cyanide, recognizable by its intensive blue color, can be observed on vast surfaces of brickwork, both internal and external, of the disinfection installations of BW5a and b, where hydrogen cyanide was employed.


In practice, the cyanide values found in two of three samples taken from the cells of Bunker I1 do not prove anything, one way or the other, because they are so low that they can be made to fit either one of the hypotheses. Therefore, the final judgment concerning the reality of the first homicidal gassing depends on the critical historical and comparative analysis of the sources.
Conclusion

The conclusion that emerges from the analyses of the available sources we have examined in this study is unambiguous: The first homicidal gassing at Auschwitz in the official reconstruction by Danuta Czech is based solely on contradictory statements of self-styled eyewitnesses and is discounted by the documents. It therefore has no historical foundation.

The story was invented in October of 1941 by one of the centers for black propaganda within the secret resistance movement at Auschwitz, from the initial idea of an experimentation with undefined combat gases on human beings in an equally undefined “Bunker” or “shelter” or “concrete building” at Auschwitz. Only later, cued by the disinfestations with Zyklon B that became more and more widespread with the enlargement of the camp, did the propagandists introduce Zyklon B into their tales and place the first gassing in the basement of Block 11. The normal removal of corpses of registered detainees who had died in the camp from the Leichenhalle of Block 28 to the crematorium was used to progressively enrich the story.

In 1946, Judge Jan Sehn, facing the task of historicizing the divergent accounts of the witnesses to enable judicial proceedings to be launched, invented the nucleus of the story, which included the canonical literary elements of the number of victims, the various phases of the gassing, but not its date. In 1959, Danuta Czech, in an even more daring manipulation of the sources, resurrected and augmented Jan Sehn’s story and drew from a mélange of diverging testimonies a purely fictitious “convergence of proof” and attributed to it a precise but completely invented date: The first gassing had now become “history.” A “history” which reveals the inconsistency of the methods used by orthodox Holocaust historians and at the same time the superficial and uncritical passivity with which orthodox historians accept it as if it were the quintessence of truth that needs no further investigation.

---

286 One of the first gassing operations for purposes of disinfection was carried out between July 7 and 12, 1941, in Block 16 (= 21 according to the new numbering system): the detainees of this Block were temporarily housed in Block 14 (= 19) “wegen Vergasung von Block 16” (because of gassing of Block 16). RGVA, 502-1-214, p. 28.
Doc. 5: Plan of the Bunker of Block 11, unknown draftsman. Stanisław Kłodziński, “Pierwsze zagazowanie więźniów i jeńców w obozie oświęcimskim” (The first gassing of detainees and prisoners of war in the Auschwitz camp), Przegląd Lekarski (medical review), no. 1, 1972, p. 94.
Doc. 7: Original plan of the Bunker of Block 11 No. 4056 dated June 26, 1944. APMO, negative number 10270.
Doc. 9: Card file of Soviet prisoners of war. Card of a prisoner registered at Auschwitz on October 7, 1941, Document NO-5850.
**Doc. 10: Totenbuch** of Soviet prisoners of war, first page of registrations.

APMO, sygn. D-AuI-5/1 (Document NO-5850)
Doc. 11: Letter from Glücks dated November 15, 1941, directed to the commanders of all concentration camps on “Execution of Russian POWs.”
GARF, 7021-108-47, p. 5.
Konzentrationslager Auschwitz
Abteilung II

Auschwitz, 17.11.41

An die
Schutzhaftlagerführung des KL.-Au.,
Schutzhaftlagerführer "F",
Auschwitz.


In Frage kommen die auf der beiliegenden Liste aufgeführten Russen und die vom Sondereingang in der vergangenen Woche zur Exekution ausgesuchten russ. Kriegsgefangenen.

Der Leiter der Abteilung II

[Signature]

[Date: 17. XI. 1941]

Anlagen:

Doc. 14: Register of Häftlingskrankenbau of Block 28, pp. 4-17. APMO, sygn. D-AuI-5/2.
Doc. 14 continued.
Doc. 14 continued.

---

Nazywam się Bogdan Gliński, licząc lat 26, religii prawosławnej, narodowości polskiej, student medycyny WU w Krakowie, I. Dom Akademicki, ul. Jabłonowskich 10/12, bez przeszcza:

W lutym 1941 został aresztowany w Niszkowie, potem siedemnaście dni w więzieniu Montelupich w Krakowie i nastepnie w dniu 5 kwietnia 1941 został osadzony jako więzień polityczny w obozie w Oświęcimiu pod numerem więzieniowym 11956. Dnia 4 lub 5 marca 1943 - nie pamiętam dokładnie, gdyż przebywałem przez przeszało 4 miesiące do tego czasu w komorze - został z karannym transportem przesłany do obozu w Buchenwald, gdzie pozostawałem do końca. Do Oświęcimia został odtransportowany z Krakowa w grupie, liczącej około 1,000 mężczyzn. Wzięliśmy nas w wagonach kolejowych francuskich, błąskanych, szczelnie zamkniętych, bez średniej wentylacji i wskutek silnego upalu, a braku powietrza. W czołach, wielu więźniów w drodze umarło lub też osiało się. W obowiązku oświęcimskim najpierw pracowałem na tzw. "Stołów", w czerwcu 1941 zachorowałem na zapalenie płuc, a następnie miałem ilegalne na prawym usta, przeby-

---

Doc. 15: First page of minutes of deposition of Bogdan Gliński. Trial of the camp garrison. APMO, sygn. Drp.-ZOd/54d, p. 207.
Photographs

All photographs taken by Carlo Mattogno in October 1991.
Photo 2: Block 11, outside, wall facing NNE, yard. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991

Photo 3: Block 11, outside, wall facing NE, wall and gate of the yard. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 4: Block 11, outside, walls facing NE and SSW.
© Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991

Photo 5: Block 11, outside, wall facing NE, entry door and hallway.
© Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 6: Block 11, inside, ground floor, hallway seen from the entrance. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991

Photo 7: Block 11, inside, ground floor, hallway seen from the opposite side toward the entrance door. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 8: Block 11, inside, groundfloor and exit to the yard (top right); entrance door to Bunker (bottom left). © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991

Photo 9: Block 11, inside, steel door of the Bunker seen from the stairs. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 10: Block 11, inside, steel door of the Bunker seen from the stairs. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 11: Block 11, inside, steel door of the Bunker seen from the stairs. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991

Photo 12: Block 11, inside, Bunker, iron grid separating the left side of the Bunker from its right side. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 13: Block 11, inside, Bunker, left side, central hallway.
© Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 14: Block 11, inside, Bunker, right side, central hallway.  
© Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991

Photo 15: Block 11, inside, Bunker, right side, central hallway.  
© Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 16: Block 11, inside, Bunker, right side, central hallway.
© Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 17: Block 11, inside, Bunker, right side, cell no. 21.
© Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 18: Block 11, inside, right side, left-side lateral corridor (cells nos. 17-20). © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991

Photo 19: Block 11, inside, Bunker, right side, door to cell no. 16. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 20: Block 11, inside, right side, door to cell no. 25.
© Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991

Photo 23: Block 11, outside, wall facing NNE, one of the concrete covers surrounding the windows of a Bunker cell. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991

Photo 24: Block 11, outside, wall facing NNE, window of one of the Bunker cells surrounded by a concrete cover. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
**Photo 25:** Block 11, outside, wall facing NNE, window of cell no. 14.
© Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991

**Photo 26:** Block 11, outside, wall facing SSW, concrete covers surrounding the windows of the Bunker cells. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 28: Block 11, outside, wall facing SSW, window of one of the Bunker cells surrounded by a concrete cover. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991

Photo 29: Block 11, outside, wall facing SE, sheet-metal boxes covering the air-supply holes of the 4 stand-up cells (Stehzellen) of the Bunker. In the center underneath the large window: window of cell no. 21 (see photo 17). © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 30: Block 11, outside, wall facing SE, sheet-metal boxes covering the air-supply holes of the 4 stand-up cells of the Bunker. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991

Photo 31: Block 11, outside, wall facing SE, close-up of one of the sheet metal boxes. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
Photo 32: Block 11, inside, Bunker, right side, stand-up cells.
© Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
N SEPTEMBER 1941 FIRST EXPERIMENTAL MASS KILLING OF PEOPLE WITH CYCLON B TOOK PLACE IN THIS BASEMENT. 600 SOVIET PRISONERS OF WAR AND 250 SICK PRISONERS PERISHED THEN.

N SEPTEMBRE 1941 ON EFFECTUA DANS CES SOUTERRAINS LE PREMIER ESSAI DE Tuer EN MASSE A L'AIDE DU CYCLON B; 600 PRISONNIERS DE GUERRE SOVIETIQUES Y PERIRENT ALORS, AINSI QUE 250 DETENUS MALADES.

N DIESEM KELLERGESCHOSS VERSUCHTE MAN IM SEPTEMBER 1941 ERSMALS EINE MAssENTÖTFUNG VON MENSCHEN MIT ZYKLON B. DABEI WURDEN 600 SOWJETISCHE KRIEGSGEFANGENE UND 250 KRANKE HÄFTLINGE ERMORDET.

ЭТИХ ПОДВАЛАХ В СЕНТЯБРЕ 1941 Г. БЫЛ ПРОИЗВЕДЕН ПЕРВЫЙ ОПЫТ МАССОВОГО УМЕРЩВЛЕНИЯ ЛЮДЕЙ ГАЗОМ ЦИКЛОН Б. ТОГДА ПОГИБЛО 600 СОВЕТСКИХ ВОЕННОПОЛУТНЕНЫХ И 250 НАСТУПИВШИХ ЗАКЛЮЧЕННЫХ.

Photo 33: Block 11, inside, Bunker, right side, central hallway, plate commemorating the alleged homicidal gassing of September 1941. © Carlo Mattogno, Oct. 1991
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APMO: Archiwum Państwowego Muzeum w Oświęcimiu (Archive of National Museum Auschwitz)

FO: Foreign Office Papers (Public Record Office, Kew), England

GARF: Gosudarstvenni Archiv Rossiskoi Federatsii (State Archive of the Russian Federation) Moscow
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Note on Polish Sources

The documents of the Höss trial (Akta Krakowskiej Okręgowej Komisji Badania Zbrodni Niemieckich w sprawie byłego komendanta obozu koncentracyjnego w Oświęcim-Brzezince Rudolfa Hössa) consist of 21 volumes (vol. 1-21).

The document of the trial of the camp garrison (Akta sprawy byłych członków załogi obozu koncentracyjnego Oświęcim-Brzezinka) consists of 67 volumes (vol. 1 – 67), which include also the 21 volumes of documents of the Höss trial. The originals are in Warsaw in the Archives of the Central Commission of Investigation into the Crimes against the Polish People – National Monument (Archiwum Głównej Komisji Badania Zbrodni w Polsce, aktualmente Główna Komisja Ścigania Zbrodni przeciwko Narodowi Polskiemu) with a copy in the Auschwitz Museum.

The classification system of these documents varies for the two institutions. In the Warsaw Archives they are classified under the reference “NTN” (= Najwyższy Tribunal Narodowy, Supreme National Court) and the numbers 82 through 150, but some volumes that refer to the trial of the camp garrison have their own numbering (151 through 175). This does not facilitate the identification of the respective volumes. Where this identification became impossible, I have given only the archival reference. I have done the same for the references that I have taken from the Auschwitz Museum, indicating, wherever possible, the relevant volume in square brackets.
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THE HOLOCAUST HANDBOOK SERIES . . .

This ambitious series of scholarly books addresses various topics of the so-called Jewish “Holocaust” of the WWII era. They all have a highly critical, if not skeptical attitude toward the commonly held views on this topic and are usually referred to as “revisionist” in nature. These books are designed to have the power to both convince the common reader as well as academics in this field. The following books have appeared so far:

Lectures on the Holocaust. Controversial Issues Cross Examined—updated and revised Second Edition. By Germar Rudolf. Between 1992 and 2005 German scholar Germar Rudolf has lectured to various audiences about the Holocaust in the light of new findings. Rudolf’s sometimes astounding facts and arguments fell on fertile soil among his listeners, as they were presented in a very sensitive and scholarly way. This book is the literary version of Rudolf’s lectures, enriched with the most recent findings of historiography. It is a dialogue between the lecturer and the reactions of the audience. Rudolf introduces the most important arguments for his findings, and his audience reacts with supportive, skeptical, and also hostile questions. The Lectures read like an exciting real-life exchange between persons of various points of view. The usual arguments against revisionism are addressed and refuted. This book resembles an entertaining collection of answers to frequently asked questions on the Holocaust. It is the best introduction into this taboo topic for both readers unfamiliar with the topic and for those wanting to know more. Softcover, 566 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, #538, $30 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

The Hoax of the Twentieth Century. By Arthur R. Butz. With this book Dr. Butz, Professor of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, has been the first writer to treat the entire Holocaust complex from the revisionist perspective in a precise scientific manner. The Hoax exhibits the overwhelming force of historical and logical arguments which revisionism had accumulated by the middle of the 1970s. It was the first book published in the U.S. which won for revisionism the academic dignity to which it is entitled. It continues to be a major revisionist reference work, frequently cited by prominent personalities. This new edition comes with several supplements adding new information gathered by the author over the last 25 years. It is a “must read” for every revisionist and every newcomer to the issue who wants to learn about revisionist arguments. Softcover, 506 pages, 6”x9”, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, #385, $30 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

Distribution in the United States: Prices do not include S&H. Inside the U.S. add $5 on orders up to $50. Add $10 S&H on orders from $50.01 to $100. On orders over $100 add a flat $15 no matter the order size. Subscribers to The Barnes Review magazine may take 10% off book prices. Send order to TBR Book Club, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003, call 1-877-773-9077 toll free to charge to major credit cards or visit www.barnesreview.com.

Distribution Europe/Africa: Castle Hill Publishers, PO Box 243, Uckfield, TN22 9AW, UK
Distribution Australia/Asia: Peace Books, PO Box 3300, Norwood, 5067, Australia
For S&H on deliveries outside of America consult www.HolocaustHandbooks.com
**Dissecting the Holocaust. The Growing Critique of ‘Truth’ and ‘Memory.’** Edited by Germar Rudolf. *Dissecting the Holocaust* applies state-of-the-art scientific technique and classic methods of detection to investigate the alleged murder of millions of Jews by Germans during World War II. In 22 contributions—each of 30 pages—the 17 authors dissect generally accepted paradigms of the “Holocaust.” It reads as exciting as a crime novel: so many lies, forgeries and deceptions by politicians, historians, and scientists. This is the intellectual adventure of the 21st century. Be part of it! “There is at present no other single volume that provides a serious reader with a broad understanding of the contemporary state of historical issues that influential people would rather not have examined.” —Prof. Dr. A. R. Butz, Evanston, IL. “Read this book and you will know where revisionism is today. . . . Revisionism has done away with the exterminationist case.” —Andrew Gray, *The Barnes Review*. Second revised edition. Softcover, large format, 616 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, #219, $30 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

**Jewish Emigration from the Third Reich.** By Ingrid Weckert. Current historical writings about the Third Reich paint a bleak picture regarding its treatment of Jews. Jewish emigration is often depicted as if the Jews had to sneak over the German border, leaving all their possessions behind. The truth is that the emigration was welcomed and supported by the German authorities and occurred under constantly increasing pressure. Weckert’s booklet elucidates the emigration process in law and policy, thereby augmenting the perceived picture of Jewish emigration from Germany. Softcover, 72 pages, index, #539, $8 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

**The First Holocaust. Jewish Fundraising Campaigns With Holocaust Claims During and After World War One.** By Don Heddesheimer. Six million Jews in Europe threatened with a holocaust: this allegation was spread by sources like *The New York Times*—but the year was 1919! Don Heddesheimer’s compact but substantive *First Holocaust* documents post-WWI propaganda that claimed East European Jewry was on the brink of annihilation (regularly invoking the talismanic six million figure). It details how that propaganda was used to agitate for minority rights for Jews in Poland, and for Bolshevism in Russia. It demonstrates how Jewish fundraising operations in America raised vast sums in the name of feeding suffering Polish and Russian Jews, then funneled much of the money to Zionist and Communist “constructive undertakings.” *The First Holocaust* is a valuable study of American Jewish institutional operations at a fateful juncture in Jewish and European history; an incisive examination of a cunningly contrived campaign of atrocity and extermination propaganda two decades before the alleged WWII Holocaust—and an indispensable addition to every revisionist’s library. Softcover, 142 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, #386, $15 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.
Treblinka: Extermination Camp or Transit Camp? By Carlo Mattogno and Juergen Graf. It is alleged that at Treblinka in East Poland between 700,000 and 3,000,000 persons were murdered in 1942 and 1943. The weapons used were said to have been stationary and/or mobile gas chambers, fast-acting or slow-acting poison gas, unslaked lime, superheated steam, electricity, diesel exhaust fumes etc. Holocaust historians alleged that bodies were piled as high as multi-storied buildings and burned without a trace, using little or no fuel at all. Graf and Mattogno have now analyzed the origins, logic and technical feasibility of the official version of Treblinka. On the basis of numerous documents they reveal Treblinka’s true identity: it was a transit camp. Even longtime revisionism buffs will find a lot that is new in this book, while Graf’s animated style guarantees a pleasant reading experience. The original testimony of witnesses enlivens the reader, as does the skill with which the authors expose the absurdities of Holocaust historiography. Softcover, 365 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, $25 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

Sobibor: Holocaust Propaganda and Reality. By Juergen Graf, Thomas Kues and Carlo Mattogno. Between 25,000 and 2,000,000 Jews are said to have been killed in gas chambers in the Sobibór camp in eastern Poland in 1942 and 1943. The corpses were allegedly buried in mass graves and later incinerated on pyres. This book investigates these claims and shows that they are not based on solid evidence, but on the selective use of absurd and contradictory eyewitness testimonies. Archeological surveys of the camp in 2000-2001 are analyzed, with fatal results for the extermination camp hypothesis. The book also thoroughly documents the general NS policy toward Jews, which never included an extermination plan. Softcover, 434 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index. $25 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

Belzec in Propaganda, Testimonies, Archeological Research and History. By Carlo Mattogno. Witnesses report that at least 600,000, if not as many as three million, Jews were murdered in the Belzec camp, located in eastern Poland, between 1941 and 1942. Various murder weapons are claimed to have been used: diesel gas chambers; unslaked lime in trains; high voltage; vacuum chambers etc. According to witnesses, the corpses were incinerated on huge pyres without leaving any traces. For those who know the stories about Treblinka this all sounds too familiar. The author therefore restricted this study to the aspects which are different and new compared to Treblinka, but otherwise refers the reader to his Treblinka book. The development of the official image portrait about Belzec is explained and subjected to a thorough critique. In contrast to Treblinka, forensic drillings and excavations were performed in the late 1990s in Belzec, the results of which are explained and critically reviewed. These findings, together with the absurd claims by “witnesses,” refute the thesis of an extermination camp. Softcover, 138 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, $15 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.
Chelmno: A German Camp in History & Propaganda. Volume 23 in the Holocaust Handbook Series. The world’s premier revisionist scholar, Carlo Mattogno, focuses his microscope on the infamous German-run “death camp” located in Poland. It was at Chelmno that huge masses of Jewish prisoners—as many as 1.3 million—were rounded up and mercilessly gassed or shot. Mattogno, however, has examined reams of wartime documents and conducted on-site investigations at the site of the Chelmno camp and surrounding countryside. His resulting book challenges the conventional wisdom of what went on inside the Chelmno camp. Mattogno covers the subject from every angle, undermining the orthodox claims about the camp with an overwhelming body of evidence. Eyewitness statements, forensics reports, coroners’ reports, archeological excavations, the crematoria, building plans, official U.S. reports, German documents, evacuation efforts, the use of mobile gas vans for homicidal purposes—all come under the scrutiny of Mattogno. Here are the uncensored facts about Chelmno, not the propaganda. Softcover, 6 x 9, 191 pages, indexed, illustrated, bibliography, appendices, #615, $20 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

The Gas Vans: A Critical Investigation. (The perfect companion to the book about the Chelmno camp listed above.) By Santiago Alvarez and Pierre Marais. Those who think they know something about the “holocaust” insist the Nazis deployed mobile gas chambers and used them to exterminate as many as 700,000 innocent people. Surprisingly, however, up until 2011, no thorough monograph had ever appeared on the topic. Revisionist Santiago Alvarez remedied the situation with this tome. The Gas Vans: A Critical Investigation asks: Are the witness statements reliable? Are the documents genuine? Where are the murder weapons? Could they have operated as claimed? Where are the victim’s corpses? Etc. In order to get to the truth, Alvarez has scrutinized all known wartime documents, photos and witness statements on this topic (presented in more than 30 trials held over the decades in Germany, Poland and Israel); and has examined the claims made by the mainstream. The result of his research is mind-boggling. Softcover, 390 pages, 6×9, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, #607, $25 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

The Leuchter Reports: Critical Edition. By Fred Leuchter, Robert Faurisson and Germar Rudolf. Between 1988 and 1991, U.S. expert on execution technologies Fred Leuchter wrote four expert reports addressing whether the Third Reich operated homicidal gas chambers. The first report on Auschwitz and Majdanek became world famous. Based on chemical analyses of wall samples and on various technical arguments, Leuchter concluded that the locations investigated “could not have then been, or now, be utilized or seriously considered to function as execution gas chambers.” Subsequently, this first “Leuchter Report” was the target of much criticism, some of it justified. This edition republishes the unaltered text of all four reports and accompanies the first one with critical notes and research updates, backing up those of Leuchter’s claims that are correct, and correcting those that are inaccurate. Softcover, 227 pages, B&W illustrations, #431, $22 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.
Auschwitz: Plain Facts—A Response to Jean-Claude Pressac.
Edited by Germar Rudolf. French pharmacist Jean-Claude Pressac tried to refute revisionists with their own technical methods. For this he was praised by the mainstream, and they proclaimed victory over revisionists. In *Auschwitz: Plain Facts*, Pressac’s works are subjected to a detailed critique. Although Pressac deserves credit for having made accessible many hitherto unknown documents, he neither adhered to scientific nor to formal standards when interpreting documents. He made claims that he either could not prove or which contradict the facts. Documents do not state what he claims they do. He exhibits massive technical incompetence and he ignores important arguments. *Auschwitz: Plain Facts* is a must read. Softcover, 197 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, #542, $20 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

The Giant With Feet of Clay: Raul Hilberg and His Standard Work on the “Holocaust.” By Juergen Graf. Raul Hilberg’s major work *The Destruction of European Jewry* is generally considered the standard work on the Holocaust. The critical reader might ask: what evidence does Hilberg provide to back his thesis that there was a German plan to exterminate Jews, to be carried out in the legendary gas chambers? And what evidence supports his estimate of 5.1 million Jewish victims? Juergen Graf applies the methods of critical analysis to Hilberg’s evidence and examines the results in light of revisionist historiography. The results of Graf’s critical analysis are devastating for Hilberg. Graf’s *Giant With Feet of Clay* is the first comprehensive and systematic examination of the leading spokesperson for the orthodox version of the Jewish fate during the Third Reich. Softcover, 128 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, #252, $11 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

The Rudolf Report. Expert Report on Chemical and Technical Aspects of the ‘Gas Chambers’ of Auschwitz—Second expanded and revised edition. By Germar Rudolf and Dr. Wolfgang Lambrecht. In 1988, Fred Leuchter, U.S. expert for execution technologies, investigated the alleged gas chambers of Auschwitz and Majdanek and concluded that they could not have functioned as claimed. Ever since, Leuchter’s claims have been attacked. In 1993, Rudolf, a researcher from the prestigious Max Planck Institute, published a thorough forensic study about the “gas chambers” of Auschwitz. His report irons out the deficiencies and discrepancies of “The Leuchter Report.” *The Rudolf Report* was the first English edition of this sensational scientific work. This new edition analyzes all existing evidence on the Auschwitz gas chambers and offers even more evidence. The conclusions are startling. Appendix describes Rudolf’s persecution. Softcover, 457 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, #378, $33 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

Distribution in the United States: Prices do not include S&H. Inside the U.S. add $5 on orders up to $50. Add $10 S&H on orders from $50.01 to $100. On orders over $100 add a flat $15 no matter the order size. Subscribers to The Barnes Review magazine may take 10% off book prices. Send order to TBR Book Club, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003, call 1-877-773-9077 toll free to charge to major credit cards or visit www.barnesreview.com.
**Special Treatment in Auschwitz: Origin and Meaning of a Term.**
By Carlo Mattogno. When appearing in German wartime documents, terms like “special treatment,” “special action,” and others have been interpreted as code words signifying the murder of inmates. While the term “special treatment” in many such documents did indeed mean execution, the term need not always have had that meaning in German records. This book is the most thorough study of this textual problem to date. Publishing and interpreting numerous such documents about Auschwitz—many of them hitherto unknown—Mattogno shows that, while “special” had many different meanings, not a single one meant “execution.” This important study demonstrates that the practice of deciphering an alleged “code language” by assigning homicidal meaning to harmless documents is no longer tenable. Softcover, 151 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, #543, **$15** minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

**The Bunkers of Auschwitz: Black Propaganda vs. History.** By Carlo Mattogno. The so-called “Bunkers” at Auschwitz are claimed to have been the first homicidal gas chambers at Auschwitz specifically equipped for this purpose in early 1942. With the help of original German wartime files, this study shows that these “bunkers” never existed; how the rumors about them evolved as black propaganda created by resistance groups within the camp; how this propaganda was transformed into “reality” by historians; and how material evidence (aerial photography and archeological research) confirms the publicity character of these rumors. Softcover, 264 pages, illustrations, bibliography, index, #544, **$20** minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

**Auschwitz: The Central Construction Office.** By Carlo Mattogno. Based upon mostly unpublished German wartime documents from Moscow archives, this study describes the history, organization, tasks and procedures of the Central Construction Office of the Waffen-SS and Auschwitz Police. Despite a huge public interest in the camp, next to nothing was really known about this office, which was responsible for the planning and construction of the Auschwitz camp complex, including those buildings in which horrendous mass slaughter is erroneously said to have occurred. Softcover, 182 pages, B&W illustrations, glossary, #545, **$18** minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

**Distribution in the United States:** Prices do not include S&H. Inside the U.S. add $5 on orders up to $50. Add $10 S&H on orders from $50.01 to $100. On orders over $100 add a flat $15 no matter the order size. Subscribers to The Barnes Review magazine may take 10% off book prices. Send order to TBR Book Club, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003, call 1-877-773-9077 toll free to charge to major credit cards or visit www.barnesreview.com.

Distribution Europe/Africa: Castle Hill Publishers, PO Box 243, Uckfield, TN22 9AW, UK
Distribution Australia/Asia: Peace Books, PO Box 3300, Norwood, 5067, Australia
For S&H on deliveries outside of America consult www.HolocaustHandbooks.com
Auschwitz: The First Gassing—Rumor and Reality. New second edition. By Carlo Mattogno. The first gassing in Auschwitz is claimed to have occurred on Sept. 3, 1941, in a basement room. The accounts reporting it are the archetypes for all later gassing accounts. This study analyzes all available sources about this alleged event. It shows that these sources contradict each other in location, date, preparations, victims etc, rendering it impossible to extract a consistent story. Original wartime documents inflict a final blow to the tale of the first homicidal gassing. Softcover, 157 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, #515, $16 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

Auschwitz: Crematorium I and the Alleged Homicidal Gassings. By Carlo Mattogno. The morgue of Crematorium I in Auschwitz is claimed to have been the first homicidal gas chamber in that camp. This study thoroughly investigates all accessible statements by witnesses and analyzes hundreds of wartime documents in order to accurately write a history of that building. Mattogno proves that its morgue was never used as a homicidal gas chamber, nor could it have served as such. Softcover, 138 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, #546, $18 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

Auschwitz: Open Air Incinerations. By Carlo Mattogno. Hundreds of thousands of corpses of murder victims are claimed to have been incinerated in deep ditches in the Auschwitz concentration/work camp complex. This book examines the many testimonies regarding these incinerations and establishes whether these claims were technically possible. Using aerial photographic evidence, physical evidence and wartime documents, the author shows that these claims are untrue. A must read. Softcover, 132 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index, #547, $15 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

Concentration Camp Stutthof and its Function in National Socialist Jewish Policy. By Carlo Mattogno and Juergen Graf. The concentration camp at Stutthof near Danzig in western Prussia has never before been scientifically investigated by Western historians. Polish authors officially sanctioned by their Communist government long maintained that Stutthof was converted to an “auxiliary extermination camp” in 1944 with the mission to murder as many Jews as possible. This book subjects this concept to rigorous critical investigation based on literature and documents from various archives. It shows that extermination claims contradict reliable sources. Second edition, 128 pages, B&W & color illustrations, bibliography, index, #379, $15 minus 10% for TBR subscribers. LIMITED QTY: CALL FOR AVAILABILITY!
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Auschwitz Lies: Legends, Lies and Prejudices on the Holocaust
By Carlo Mattogno and Gernar Rudolf. “French biochemist G. Wellers exposed ‘The Leuchter Report’ as fallacious,” but he exposed only his own incompetence. “Polish Prof. J. Markiewicz proved with analysis that Zyklon B was used in the gas chambers of Auschwitz,” but Markiewicz fabricated his results. “Chemist Dr. Richard Green showed that the Revisionists’ chemical arguments are flawed,” yet Green had to admit that the Revisionists are right. “Prof. Zimmerman proved that the crematories in Auschwitz could cremate all victims of the claimed mass murder.” Accountant Zimmerman proved only his lack of knowledge. “Profs. M. Shermer and A. Grobman refuted the entire array of Revisionist arguments.” In truth they covered only a tiny fraction of Revisionist arguments, and botched their “refutation.” “Keren, McCarthy and Mazal found the ‘Holes of Death’ proving the existence of the Auschwitz gas chambers.” No, they twisted evidence to support their case and suppressed facts. These and other untruths are exposed for what they are: political lies created to ostracize dissident historians. Softcover, 398 pages, B&W illustrations, index, #541, $25 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

NEW TWO-VOLUME SET

Auschwitz: The Case for Sanity:
A Historical & Technical Study of Jean-Claude Pressac’s ‘Criminal Traces’ and Robert Jan van Pelt’s ‘Convergence of Evidence’

By Carlo Mattogno. Because Jewish theologian Deborah Lipstadt had called British historian David Irving a “Holocaust denier,” he sued her for libel. In her defense Lipstadt presented Prof. Robert van Pelt as an expert to refute revisionist assertions about Auschwitz. Ever since van Pelt has been praised as the defeater of revisionism and foremost expert on Auschwitz. This book is the revisionist response to Prof. van Pelt and Pressac. It shows that van Pelt’s study is “neither a scholarly nor a historical work; it is only a biased journalistic assemblage of poorly understood and poorly interpreted historical sources.” This is a book of prime political and scholarly importance! 2 vols. (370 + 390 pages), softcover, B&W illustrations, glossary, bibliography, index, #551, $45 minus 10% for TBR subscribers. Add $25 for a sturdy leatherette case to house the two volumes.

Distribution in the United States: Prices do not include S&H. Inside the U.S. add $5 on orders up to $50. Add $10 S&H on orders from $50.01 to $100. On orders over $100 add a flat $15 no matter the order size. Subscribers to The Barnes Review magazine may take 10% off book prices. Send order to TBR Book Club, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003, call 1-877-773-9077 toll free to charge to major credit cards or visit www.barnesreview.com.
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In the maverick tradition of one of the great historians of the modern era . . .

No topic is “too controversial” for The BARNES REVIEW, the most interesting history magazine published anywhere today. Commemorating the trailblazing path of the towering 20th Century revisionist historian, the late Harry Elmer Barnes, TBR's mission is to separate historical truth from propaganda and to bring history into accord with the facts. Founded in 1994 by veteran American nationalist Willis A. Carto—a personal friend of Barnes—The Barnes Review concurs with Rousseau’s maxim that “Falsification of history has done more to impede human development than any one thing known to mankind.” TBR covers all aspects of history from the dawn of man to recent events and also places a special focus on the philosophy of nationalism. As such, TBR proudly describes itself as a “journal of nationalist thought” and dares to be politically incorrect in a day when Cultural Marxism prevails in the mass media, in academia and in day-to-day life. TBR's editorial board of advisors encompasses historians, philosophers and academics from all over the face of the planet, intellectuals united in their desire to bring peace to the world by exposing the lies and prevarications of the past that have brought us to where we are today. If you believe everything you see in the “responsible” media or think that absolutely everything that appears in most college-level history texts is true, you might be shocked by
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